

Program Announcement

Department of Defense Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs

Gulf War Illness Research Program

Innovative Treatment Evaluation Award

Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-10-GWIRP-ITEA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----------|
| I. | Funding Opportunity Description | 2 |
| | A. Program Description..... | 2 |
| | B. Award Description | 2 |
| | C. Eligibility..... | 5 |
| | D. Funding..... | 5 |
| | E. Award Administration..... | 6 |
| II. | Timeline for Submission and Review | 6 |
| III. | Submission Process | 6 |
| | A. Step 1 – Pre-Application Components | 6 |
| | B. Step 2 – Application Components..... | 8 |
| IV. | Information for Application Review | 11 |
| | A. Application Review and Selection Overview | 11 |
| | B. Review Criteria..... | 11 |
| V. | Clinical Protocol and Supporting Clinical Documentation | 14 |
| VI. | Administrative Actions | 25 |
| VII. | Contact Information | 26 |
| VIII. | Application Submission Checklist | 28 |

I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. Program Description

The Gulf War Illness Research Program (GWIRP) was established in fiscal year 1994 (FY94) to study the health effects of deployment to the 1990-1991 Persian Gulf War on U.S. warfighters. Appropriations for the GWIRP from FY94 through FY09 totaled \$235.2 million (M). The FY10 appropriation is \$8.0M.

The GWIRP challenges the scientific community to design high-impact research that will improve the health and lives of veterans who have Gulf War Illness (GWI). GWI is characterized by multiple diverse symptoms that typically include chronic headache, widespread pain, cognitive difficulties, debilitating fatigue, gastrointestinal problems, respiratory symptoms, and other abnormalities that are not explained by established medical diagnoses or standard laboratory tests.

The population of veterans affected by GWI is a subset of the nearly 700,000 who served during the 1990-1991 Gulf War. Specifically, these Gulf War veterans were deployed to the theatre of operations in Southwest Asia, including Iraq, Kuwait, and Saudi Arabia. Studies indicate that approximately 25 to 32 percent (or 175,000 to 210,000) of Gulf War veterans continue to experience symptoms associated with their deployment as described above.

The GWIRP focuses its funding on innovative projects that have the potential to make a significant impact on GWI. These may take the form of identification of objective indicators of pathology that distinguish ill from healthy veterans, or studies to understand the underlying pathobiology of GWI. The GWIRP encourages risk-taking research; however, all projects must demonstrate solid judgment and sound rationale.

B. Award Description

The GWIRP Innovative Treatment Evaluation Award (ITEA) mechanism was first offered in FY09. In FY09, three Innovative Treatment Evaluation Award applications were received and two were recommended for funding.

The ITEA supports the initial evaluation of a treatment or intervention in smaller, early phase or pilot clinical trials (Phase II or I/II), and does not require preliminary data. The GWIRP is also offering a Clinical Trial Award (CTA), which supports proposals evaluating treatments of interventions in larger, more definitive clinical trials (Phase II or III), where preliminary and pre-clinical evidence indicates the potential for substantial benefit for veterans with GWI. (***Note: All studies involving interventions, regardless of design, are considered clinical trials.***) Those interested in applying for the CTA should review the appropriate Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity (<http://cdmrp.army.mil/funding/gwirp.htm>).

The intent of the ITEA is to provide support for the systematic evaluation of innovative treatment interventions not previously studied in ill Gulf War veterans, with the aim of improving their health and functional status. The results of preliminary studies funded by this award will support future development of larger efficacy studies of the proposed interventions.

This award mechanism is intended to evaluate a broad scope of treatment approaches with potential for widespread, cost-effective application for GWI. **A study protocol will be the main body of the application.** Treatment approaches may include pharmacologic or other physiological interventions, including either conventional or complementary treatments, or combinations of these approaches. A variety of experimental and non-experimental study designs are acceptable under this award mechanism. The proposed study design will depend on the specific treatment or intervention to be assessed, resources available to clinical investigators, and the level of evidence currently available to support the proposed treatment for GWI. Examples of potential prospective designs may include systematic case series, prospective outcome evaluation studies, small-scale randomized trials, a combination of these, or other innovative prospective methods. Projects of interest include innovative treatment approaches currently in clinical use that have not been systematically evaluated for effectiveness in treating GWI, but may have been evaluated in conditions with similarities to GWI. Also of interest are interventions based on biological alterations identified in veterans with GWI. Thus, the GWIRP will accept proposals not only for early pilot clinical trials supported by preliminary data, but also for those based on sound scientific rationale without support from preliminary data.

Important aspects of the Innovative Treatment Evaluation Award are:

- **Innovation:** The GWIRP views an innovative proposal as one that studies a previously untested treatment for GWI, including treatments that have been utilized for other chronic multi-symptom illnesses, though not yet studied in ill Gulf War veterans. For example, a pharmacological treatment or nutritional supplement suggested by previous research to be beneficial for fibromyalgia or chronic fatigue syndrome could be evaluated in veterans with GWI under the ITEA. However, the focus must be clearly on GWI and not on another disease process.
- **Preliminary Data:** Preliminary data is allowed in this award but is not required, and the data does not necessarily need to come from GWI research. If a proposal is submitted without preliminary data, the PI must provide a sound logical rationale to support potential effectiveness of the treatment in GWI. Conversely, if there is significant preliminary and preclinical data reflecting considerable development of a treatment with applicability to GWI, the proposal would not be deemed innovative, in keeping with the intent of the ITEA. In these instances, investigators are encouraged to apply to the GWIRP CTA, which supports Phase II or Phase III clinical trials (<http://cdmrp.army.mil/funding/gwirp.htm>).
- **Study Endpoints:** The proposed study must have clearly defined and focused health outcome endpoints. Outcomes of interest may include improvement in functional status, reduction in symptoms, or differences in objective clinical or laboratory measures, where applicable.
- **GWI Case Definition:** Proposals must clearly indicate how GWI cases, including any targeted illness subgroups, will be defined for purposes of the study. Principal Investigators (PIs) must provide a published case definition they intend to use to define their GWI population, or clearly state their own case definition to distinguish ill from healthy Gulf War veterans in their proposals. Any case definition must recognize the multi-symptom nature of GWI.

NOTE: The 2008 report of the Research Advisory Committee on Gulf War Veterans' Illnesses, Gulf War Illness and the Health of Gulf War Veterans, provides information on GWI case definitions (pp. 29-30, p. 57), previous treatment research in Gulf War veterans (pp. 36-39) and treatment research related to other multi-symptom conditions (pp. 285-287). The report is available online at: <http://www1.va.gov/RAC-GWVI>.

- **GWV Patient Access:** Proposals must clearly indicate how the population of Gulf War veterans will be accessed, accrual goals will be achieved, required clinical resources will be allotted, and how standards of care may impact the population under study. PIs are encouraged to collaborate with an investigator who has demonstrated access to a population of ill and healthy GW veterans, particularly investigators within the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, to improve access to ill Gulf War veteran populations.
- **IND Applications:** Investigational New Drug (IND) approval applications, if applicable, should be submitted to the Food and Drug Administration or be completed prior to submission to the ITEA mechanism. If IND/Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) approval is not received within 6 months following the award date, the Government reserves the right to revoke funding.
- **Initiation of Trial:** It is expected that the treatment to be used in the proposed clinical trial will be available in sufficient quantities at the time that the award is made. Further, it is expected that the clinical trial will be initiated within 12 months of the award date.

While Gulf War veterans are affected by Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS, or Lou Gehrig's disease) at twice the rate of veterans who did not serve in the Gulf War, the GWIRP will not accept proposals focusing solely on ALS research. The Office of the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP) is offering a separate ALS Research Program in FY10 (see <http://cdmrp.army.mil>). Additionally, studies whose principal focus is on treatment of psychiatric conditions will not be funded under this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

Proposals including retrospective or non-interventional study designs are not considered clinical trials and should be submitted to the FY10 GWIRP Investigator-Initiated Research Award (see <http://cdmrp.army.mil/funding/gwirp>).

Funding from this award mechanism cannot be used for preclinical research studies, studies demonstrating pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics, or safety only (Phase 0, Phase I studies). For descriptions of each type of clinical trial, please refer to FDA 21CFR 312.21, <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>, or the NIH PH398 Instructions (p.III-25).

Use of Human Subjects and Human Biological Substances: All Department of Defense (DOD)-funded research involving human subjects and human biological substances must be reviewed and approved by the USAMRMC Office of Research Protections (ORP), Human Research Protection Office (HRPO), in addition to local IRBs. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and directives governing all research involving human subjects that is conducted or supported by the DOD. These laws and directives are rigorous and detailed, and will require information in addition to that supplied to the local review board. Allow a minimum of 6 months for regulatory review and approval processes for studies involving human subjects.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for detailed information. ***Note: Local IRB submission or approval is not required at the time of submission to the ITEA.***

C. Eligibility

Independent investigators at all academic levels (or equivalent) are eligible to submit proposals. Refer to General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for general eligibility information.

D. Funding

- The maximum period of performance is **3** years.
- The maximum allowable funding for the entire period of performance is **\$450,000** in direct costs. However, investigators are encouraged to submit proposals for smaller-scale projects that require lower funding levels.
- The applicant may request the entire maximum direct cost amount for a project that may be less than the maximum 3-year period of performance.
- Regardless of the period of performance proposed, the applicant may not exceed the maximum direct cost. In addition to the direct costs, indirect costs may be proposed in accordance with the organization's negotiated rate agreement.

Within the guidelines provided in the General Application Instructions, funds can cover:

- Salary
- Research supplies
- Equipment
- Clinical research costs
- Travel between collaborating organizations or CDMRP required meetings
- Travel funds must be requested for the PI to attend one DOD military research-related meeting to be determined by the Office of the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP) during the award performance period.
- Travel costs of up to \$1,800 USD per year to attend scientific/technical meetings
- Other direct costs as described in the General Application Instructions for the Detailed Budget and Justification

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately \$1.44M of the \$8M FY10 GWIRP appropriation to fund approximately two Innovative Treatment Evaluation Award applications, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is contingent upon the availability of Federal funds for this program.

E. Award Administration

Quarterly technical progress reports will be required.

At the Government's discretion, the PI (and Clinical Study Coordinator, if applicable) may be requested to participate in a pre-award meeting.

ITEAs cannot be transferred to another institution. A change in PI will not be allowed except under extenuating circumstances that will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and at the discretion of the Grants Officer, provided that the intent of the award mechanism is met.

Awards will be made approximately 4 to 6 months after receiving a funding notification letter, but no later than September 30, 2011. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for general award administration information.

II. TIMELINE FOR SUBMISSION AND REVIEW

- **Pre-application Submission Deadline: 5:00 p.m. Eastern time (ET), May 14, 2010**
- **Invitation to Submit an Application: June 2010**
- **Application Submission Deadline: 11:59 p.m. ET, August 4, 2010**
- **Scientific Peer Review: October 2010**
- **Programmatic Review: December 2010**

Application submissions will not be accepted unless the pre-application process is completed by the pre-application deadline.

III. SUBMISSION PROCESS

Submission is a two-step process requiring both (1) pre-application submission through the CDMRP eReceipt system (<https://cdmrp.org/>) and (2) application submission through Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov/>). *Applications will be invited based on pre-application screening.*

Submission of the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is discouraged. The Government reserves the right to reject duplicative applications.

PIs and organizations identified in the application should be the same as those identified in the pre-application. If a change in PI or organization is necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the eReceipt help desk at help@cdmrp.org or 301-682-5507.

A. Step 1 – Pre-Application Components

All pre-application components must be submitted through the CDMRP eReceipt system by **5:00 p.m. ET on the deadline**. Because the invitation to submit an application is based on the contents of the pre-application, investigators should not change the title or research objectives after the pre-application is submitted.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in the CDMRP eReceipt system by separate tabs (Refer to the General Application Instructions for additional information on pre-application submission):

- **Proposal Information – Tab 1**
- **Proposal Contacts – Tab 2**
- **Collaborators and Conflicts of Interest (COI) – Tab 3**
- **Required Files – Tab 4**

Preproposal Narrative (three-page limit): The Preproposal Narrative is inclusive of any figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, pictures, pictorials, and cartoons.

The Preproposal Narrative should include the following:

- **Research Idea:** State the ideas and reasoning on which the proposed work is based.
- **Research Strategy:** Concisely state the project's objectives and specific aims.
- **Impact:** State explicitly how the proposed work will have an impact on accelerating the movement of a promising treatment for GWI into clinical application.
- **Innovation:** Describe how the proposed treatment is new or unstudied in the population of ill Gulf War veterans.
- **Personnel:** Briefly state the PI's qualifications to perform the described research project.

Pre-Application Supporting Documentation: The items to be included as supporting documentation for the pre-application are limited to:

- **References Cited (one-page limit):** List relevant references using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author(s), year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate). The inclusion of Internet URLs to references is encouraged.
- **Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (four-page limit per individual)**

- **Submit Pre-application – Tab 5**

Pre-Application Screening: Pre-applications will be screened by the GWIRP Integration Panel (IP), composed of scientists, clinicians, and consumer advocates. The pre-application screening criteria are as follows:

- **Research Idea:** How the focus of the research will advance treatments or interventions for GWI. How well the rationale supports the research focus.
- **Research Strategy:** How the specific aims support the research idea.

- **Impact:** How the research describes a potentially effective treatment or intervention for GWI. If successful, how the results of the proposed pilot trial will lead to future large scale clinical trials.
- **Innovation:** How the research is innovative in bringing a new or unstudied treatment or intervention to the population of Gulf War veterans. Innovation may include adapting treatments for a related disorder, such as fibromyalgia or chronic fatigue syndrome, for application to ill Gulf War veterans.
- **Personnel:** How the PI's qualifications are appropriate to perform the proposed research project.

Following the pre-application screening, PIs will be notified of whether or not they are invited to submit an application; however, they will not receive feedback (e.g., strengths and weaknesses) on their pre-application.

B. Step 2 – Application Components

Applications will not be accepted unless the PI has received a letter of invitation.

Applications are submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) through Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov/>). Applications must be submitted **by 11:59 p.m. ET on the deadline.**

Each application submission must include the completed application package of forms and attachments identified in Grants.gov for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

The Grants.gov application package consists of the following components (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for additional information on application submission):

1. SF 424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for detailed information.

2. Attachments Form

- **Attachment 1: Project Narrative (no-page limit):** Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf.” For the Innovative Treatment Evaluation Award application, the Project Narrative is the clinical protocol, which is the main body of the application. The clinical protocol must address the required components described in Section V, Clinical Protocol and Supporting Clinical Documentation.
- **Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation.** Start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf.” If documents are scanned to pdf, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. *Each component has no page limit unless otherwise noted.*
 - **References Cited:** List all relevant references using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author(s), year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate). The inclusion of Internet URLs to references is encouraged.

- List of Acronyms and Symbols: Provide a list of acronyms and symbols (e.g., PCR = polymerase chain reaction).
- Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to USAMRMC. Indicate if Government-owned facilities or equipment are proposed for use. Reference should be made to the original or present contract under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.
- Publications and/or Patent Abstracts (five-document limit): Include relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly available, then they must be included. Extra items will not be reviewed.
- Letters of Organizational Support (two-page limit per letter): Provide a letter (or letters if applicable), signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, reflecting the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project.

If the PI is a clinician, the organization must clearly demonstrate a commitment to the clinician's research.

- Letters of Collaboration (if applicable) (two-page limit per letter): Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work.
- Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.
- Letter(s) from appropriate authority showing approved access to Gulf War veterans or use of data from veterans (e.g., Defense Manpower Data Center Data Request System, collaborating investigators from the Veterans Administration, etc.) (if applicable).
- **Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit):** Upload as "TechAbs.pdf."
 - Use the outline below.
 - Background: Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work.
 - Objective/Hypothesis: State the objective/hypothesis to be tested. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the objective/hypothesis.
 - Specific Aims: State the specific aims of the study.
 - Study Design: Briefly describe the study design including appropriate controls.
 - Impact: Summarize briefly how the proposed project will impact Gulf War Illness therapeutic development.

- **Attachment 4: Public Abstract (one-page limit):** Upload as “PublicAbs.pdf.”

Clearly describe, in a manner readily understood by laypersons, the rationale and objective for the proposal. Do not duplicate the technical abstract.

Describe the ultimate applicability of the research.

- What types of patients will it help and how will it help them?
- What are the potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks?
- What is the projected time it may take to achieve a patient-related outcome?
- What are the likely contributions of this study in advancing GWI therapies?

- **Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit):** Upload as “SOW.pdf.” Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for detailed information.

- **Attachment 6: Detailed Budget and Justification (no page limit):** Upload as “Budget.pdf.” Use the Detailed Budget and Justification form (available for download on the Full Announcement page in Grants.gov). Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for detailed information.

- **Attachment 7: Subaward Detailed Budget and Justification (if applicable) (no page limit):** Use a separate Detailed Budget and Justification form for each subaward budget. Combine into a single file and upload as “SubBudgets.pdf.” Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for detailed information.

- **Attachment 8: Impact Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Impact.pdf.”

State explicitly how the proposed work will, if successful, accelerate the movement of a promising treatment for GWI into clinical application, or introduce a new, promising treatment for GWI.

- **Attachment 9: Innovation Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Innovation.pdf.”

State how the pilot trial demonstrates innovation in bringing a new or unstudied treatment or intervention to the population of ill Gulf War veterans. Innovation may include adapting treatments for a related disorder, such as fibromyalgia or chronic fatigue syndrome, for application to ill Gulf War veterans.

- **Attachment 10: Transition Plan:** Upload as “Transition.pdf.”

Outline how the results of the proposed pilot trial, if successful, will be used to design more advanced clinical trials in ill Gulf War veterans.

3. Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded) Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for detailed information.

- PI Biographical Sketch (four-page limit): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
- PI Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”

- Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (four-page limit each): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
- Key Personnel Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”

4. Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for detailed information.

IV. INFORMATION FOR APPLICATION REVIEW

A. Application Review and Selection Overview

All applications are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumer advocates using a two-tier review process. The first tier is a scientific peer review of applications against established criteria for determining scientific merit. The second tier is a programmatic review that compares applications to each other and makes recommendations for funding to the Commanding General, USAMRMC, based on scientific merit, the overall goals of the program, and specific intent of the award mechanism. The highest scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Additional information about the two-tier review process used by the CDMRP may be found at <http://cdmrp.army.mil/fundingprocess.htm>.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Each level of review requires panelists to sign a non-disclosure statement attesting that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of the non-disclosure statement can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. Organizational personnel and PIs are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization’s application. Violations by panelists or PIs that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment of their employing organizations from Federal awards. Furthermore, it is a crime for Federal officials to disclose confidential information of one party to another third party (Title 18 United States Code 1905).

B. Review Criteria

1. Peer Review: All applications will be evaluated according to the following criteria, which are of equal importance:

- **Clinical Impact**
 - How the results of the proposed pilot will affect potential clinical applications for GWI (e.g., treatment, symptom management, and/or quality of life).
 - If successful, how the results of the proposed pilot will be used to design more advanced clinical trials in ill GW veterans in the future.

- **Innovation**
 - How the proposed treatment is new or unstudied in the ill Gulf War veteran population.
 - If applicable, how the research demonstrates innovation by adapting treatments used for a related disorder, such as Fibromyalgia or Chronic Fatigue Syndrome, for application to the ill Gulf War veteran population.
- **Ethics**
 - How the risks to subjects are minimized, and evidence of a monitoring plan which is appropriate with the level of risk, as appropriate.
 - Evidence that procedures are consistent with sound research design and used when appropriate.
 - Selection of subjects is justified, informed consent is sought and appropriately documented, and appropriate safeguards are in place for vulnerable populations.
- **Intervention, Drug, or Device**
 - To what extent the treatment to be tested is available and appropriate for the proposed pilot trial.
 - Whether there is documentation that an IND/IDE application has been submitted or completed (if applicable).
 - Whether measures are described to ensure the consistency of dosing of active ingredients for nutritional supplements (if applicable).
- **Patient Recruitment and Accrual**
 - How the recruitment, informed consent, screening, and retention processes for volunteers will be conducted to meet the needs of the proposed pilot trial.
 - Evidence of a contingency plan to resolve potential delays (e.g., slow accrual, patient dropout) in pilot trial completion.
 - How the population of ill Gulf War veterans and/or data from Gulf War veterans will be accessed, accrual goals will be achieved, required clinical resources will be allotted, and how standards of care may impact the population under study.
 - How the protocol addresses the availability of volunteers for the pilot trial, the prospect of their participation, and the consideration of likelihood of volunteer attrition.
- **Personnel**
 - How the investigator's background and expertise are appropriate to accomplish the proposed work (i.e., statistical expertise, expertise in the disease, and clinical studies).
 - How the levels of effort of the investigator(s) is/are appropriate for successful conduct of the proposed trial.

- Evidence that a study coordinator with appropriate expertise is or will be identified at an appropriate level of effort, if applicable to the trial design.
- Evidence that the PI or named collaborator has access to a population of ill and healthy Gulf War veterans.
- **Statistical Plan** (if applicable to the research design)
 - How the statistical plan, including sample size projections and power analysis, is adequate for the proposed clinical trial and any proposed correlative studies.
- **Study Design**
 - How the scientific rationale and preliminary data (if provided), including critical review and analysis of the literature, and laboratory and preclinical evidence support the rationale for testing the intervention. If preliminary or preclinical data are not provided, how the rationale describes a logical and well thought-out approach to the proposed research.
 - How the research aims, hypotheses or objectives, experimental or non-experimental design, methods, data collection procedures, and analyses are appropriate and will provide a meaningful result from the study.
 - Whether a published case definition for GWI was included in the protocol, or if not, how clearly and appropriately GWI cases (and any targeted illness subgroups) are determined for the purposes of the study.
 - How appropriate the proposed outcome measures are for purposes of the study.
 - How the logistical aspects (e.g., communication plan, data transfer and management, and standardization of procedures) meet the needs of the proposed research.
 - How the inclusion, exclusion, and randomization criteria meet the needs of the proposed pilot clinical trial, as appropriate to the type of design.

The following will not be individually scored, but may impact the overall evaluation of the application:

- **Environment**
 - How the evidence indicates an appropriate scientific environment, clinical setting, and the accessibility of institutional resources to support the pilot trial at each participating center or institution (including collaborative arrangements), if more than one site is participating.
 - The evidence for appropriate institutional commitment from each participating institution (if more than one site is participating).
 - If applicable, how the intellectual and material property plan that is agreed upon by each participating institution is appropriate for the proposed pilot trial.
- **Budget**
 - Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed clinical trial and within the limitations of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

- **Application Presentation**
 - How the writing and components of the application influenced the review.

2. Programmatic Review: The following equally weighted criteria are used by programmatic reviewers to make funding recommendations.

- Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers
- Program portfolio composition
- Programmatic relevance
- Relative impact and innovation
- Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism

V. CLINICAL PROTOCOL AND SUPPORTING CLINICAL DOCUMENTATION

A. Required Elements of the Protocol: Upload as Attachment 1, “Protocol.pdf” on the Attachments Form in Grants.gov.

Please note that the protocol should address the following elements:

- Trial design.
- Intervention, drug, or device to be tested.
- Feasibility of the study.
- How the protocol addresses the availability of volunteers for the clinical trial, the prospect of their participation, and the consideration of likelihood of volunteer attrition.
- The statistical plan.
- The personnel involved in the study.
- Ethics and/or regulatory issues.

Protocol elements:

1. Protocol Title

2. Phase or Class: Designate the phase of the trial (i.e., Phase I, II, III, or a combination of phases), or class of device, if applicable. For descriptions of each type of clinical trial, please refer to <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm> and <http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>.

3. Principal Investigator/Study Staff: List the complete name, address, telephone and fax number, and email address of the PI. List the names of all key study personnel who will have significant involvement in the study; include their professional credentials (e.g., M.D. or R.N.), highest degree(s), job title, and employing institution.

4. Study Location(s): List all centers, clinics, or laboratories where the study is to be conducted. Provide the Federal-wide or DOD Assurance number for each institution engaged in study. Include the name, degree(s), title, employing institution, and complete address of the investigator(s) for each study site. **Multi-institutional Clinical Trials:** If the proposed clinical trial is multi-al, plans for communication and data transfer between the collaborating institutions, as well as how specimens and/or imaging products obtained during the study will be handled, should be included in the appropriate sections of the Clinical Protocol. In addition, a separate intellectual and material property plan agreed upon by all participating institutions should be provided for multi-institutional clinical trials.

5. Time Required to Complete the Study: State the month and year of the expected start and completion times.

6. Background (suggested limit: 10 pages): Include a literature review that describes in detail the rationale for conduct of the study. Include descriptions of any preliminary studies and findings that led to the development of the protocol. The background section should clearly support the choice of study variables and should explain the basis for the study questions and/or study hypotheses. This section should establish the relevance of the study and explain the applicability of the proposed findings.

Note: If the protocol was initiated using other funding prior to obtaining the DOD funding, explain the history and evolution of the protocol and declare the source of prior funding. Specifically identify the portions of the study that will be supported with DOD funds. For ongoing protocols, HRPO approval is required prior to initiation of any human subjects research activities supported by the USAMRMC.

7. Objectives/Specific Aims/Study Questions: Provide a description of the purpose and objectives of the study with detailed specific aims and/or study questions/hypotheses.

8. Study Design: Describe the type of study to be performed (e.g., prospective, retrospective, randomized, controlled, etc.) and outline the proposed methodology in sufficient detail to show a clear course of action.

- Define the study variables and describe how they will be measured.
- Describe the methods that will be used to obtain a sample of volunteers from the accessible population (i.e., convenience, simple random, stratified random).
- If applicable, describe the subject-to-group assignment process (e.g., randomization, block randomization, stratified randomization, age-matched controls, alternating group, or other procedures).
- Explain the specific actions to accomplish the group assignment (e.g., computer assignment, use of table of random numbers).
- Describe the reliability and validity of psychometric measures, if applicable.

9. Study Population: Describe the target population (to whom the study findings will be generalized) and the nature, approximate number and pertinent demographic characteristics of the accessible population at the study site (population from which the sample will be

recruited/drawn). Demonstrate that the research team has access to the proposed study population. Furthermore, discuss past efforts in recruiting volunteers from the target population for previous clinical trials (if applicable), any potential barriers to accrual, such as a change in the target population demographics, a change in medical practices, or competing clinical trials; and plans for addressing unanticipated delays (e.g., slow accrual). Volunteer selection should be equitable. The protocol should include justification of any age, race, ethnicity, or sex limitations provided.

10. Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria: List the inclusion and exclusion criteria in the protocol. Inclusion/exclusion criteria should take into consideration the specific risk profile of the studies to be conducted and the standard of care for that patient population. Ensure that exclusions are justified. Clearly state the exclusion criteria for volunteers with disease, taking medications, or from certain groups.

Inclusion of Women and Minorities in Study. Consistent with the Belmont Report and recent congressional legislation, special attention is given to inclusion of women and minorities in studies funded or supported by the USAMRMC. This policy is intended to promote equity both in assuming the burdens and in receiving the benefits of human subjects research. If women and/or minorities will be excluded from the protocol, an appropriate justification must be included.

11. Description of the Recruitment Process: Explain methods for identification of potential volunteers (e.g., medical record review, obtaining sampling lists, health care provider identification, etc.).

- Describe the recruitment process *in detail*. Address who will identify potential volunteers, who will recruit them, and what methods will be used to recruit them.
- If volunteers will be compensated for participation in the study, a detailed description of the compensation plan should be included in the protocol. Ensure that the compensation plan is fair and does not provide undue inducement. If the study requires multiple visits, a plan for pro-rating payments in the event of volunteer withdrawal should be considered.
- Describe the recruitment and advertisement materials. The recruitment materials should not be coercive or offer undue inducements, and should accurately reflect the study. An ombudsman should be considered for use with particularly vulnerable populations.
- Some important considerations for recruitment materials include:
 - Recruitment materials should not promise a cure or benefit beyond what is mentioned in the protocol or consent form.
 - If the volunteers will be paid, the amount of payment should not be presented in bold type, larger than other text, or otherwise overemphasized.
 - Recruitment materials should not promise “free medical treatment” when treatment is not the true intent of the study.

12. Sample Size Justification: A complete power analysis must be included in the protocol to ensure that the sample size is appropriate to meet the objectives of the study. The protocol should specify the approximate number of volunteers that will be enrolled. If the protocol involves multiple sites, the number enrolled at each site should be stated

13. Description of the Informed Consent Process: Specifically describe the plan for obtaining informed consent from volunteers. Provide the Informed Consent Form.

- Identify who is responsible for explaining the study, answering questions, and obtaining informed consent.
- Include information regarding the timing and location of the consent process.
- If applicable, address issues relevant to the mental capacity of the potential volunteer (e.g., altered capacity due to administration of any mind-altering substances such as tranquilizers, conscious sedation or anesthesia, brain injury, stress/life situations, or volunteer age).
- Address how privacy and time for decision making will be provided, and whether or not the potential volunteer will be allowed to discuss the study with anyone before making a decision.
- As consent is an ongoing process, consider the need for obtaining ongoing consent or for re-assessing capacity over the course of a long-term study, and describe any relevant procedures to assure continued consent.
- If volunteers who cannot give their own consent to participate will be included in the study, there must be a plan for the consent of the individual's Legally Authorized Representative (LAR) to be obtained prior to the volunteer's participation in the study. State law defines who may act as the LAR. The IRB of record should be consulted for guidance regarding who can serve as LAR for research at the study site.
- If illiterate volunteers are anticipated, the consent process to be followed for illiterate volunteers should be outlined in the protocol. The consent form should be verbally read/ explained to the volunteer in the presence of a witness. The volunteers must sign or make a mark (such as a thumbprint) to indicate agreement to participate, and the witness must sign to attest that the content of the written consent form was accurately conveyed to the volunteer.
- If it is anticipated that volunteers who do not speak the primary language of the host country will be enrolled in a trial, all documentation provided to volunteers (consent form, information sheets, etc.) should be translated with a copy provided to the HRPO for review at a later date. A plan should be included for ensuring that volunteers' questions will be addressed during the consent process and throughout the trial.

NOTE: When consent will be obtained in a language other than English, documentation that the foreign language version of the consent form is an accurate translation of the English version of the consent form must be provided to the HRPO at a later date. Documentation from a qualified translator certifying the translation must be provided along with the English and foreign language version of the consent forms. The documentation of translation should include the following statement: "I certify that this is

an accurate and true translation.” The signature, name, address, phone number, and, if available, fax number of the translator should also be included.

- If a waiver of all or parts of the consent process is being sought, or a waiver of documentation of consent is desired, include justification of why the waiver should be considered. This justification should include how the protocol meets the criteria set forth in 32 CFR 219 (Title 32 of the Code of Federal Register, Section 219). If consent to use existing samples or data in a future study was provided as part of another study protocol, this should be clearly explained. If the institution is a covered entity, justification for Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) waiver requests should also be provided.

Assent. When minors are included in a study, a plan to obtain assent (agreement) from those with capacity to provide it, or a justification for a waiver of assent should be provided. Age-appropriate assent forms should be developed for use with minors when assent is obtained. Capacity to provide assent should also be considered for other populations that cannot provide informed consent, and assent should be obtained whenever possible.

14. Volunteer Screening Procedures: List and describe any evaluations (e.g., laboratory procedures, history, or physical examination) that are required to determine eligibility/suitability for study participation and the diagnostic criteria for entry. Please note that some screening procedures may require a separate consent or a two-stage consent process. Informed consent must be obtained prior to initiation of any procedures for the purpose of determining eligibility.

15. Study Procedures/Study Interventions: Describe the study intervention or activity that the volunteer will experience. Provide sufficient detail in chronological order for a person uninvolved in the study to understand what the volunteer will experience and when it will occur. Provide a schedule of study evaluations and follow-up procedures. Provide all case report forms, data collection forms, questionnaires, rating scales, and interview guides, etc. that will be used in the study.

16. Description of Protocol Drugs or Devices: If the protocol uses a drug, biologic, device, or dietary supplement, provide the following information:

- For medical products regulated by the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, designate the protocol as Phase II or III clinical trials research (or class of device, if applicable).
- If the study is in support of an application to the FDA or other appropriate agency, provide the IND/IDE number and name of the sponsor.
- Provide complete names and composition of all medications, devices, or placebos.
- Identify the source of medications, devices, or placebos.
- Describe the location of storage for study medications.
- Describe the dose range, schedule, and administration route of test articles.
- Describe washout period, if used, in detail.
- Describe the duration of drug or device treatment.
- Declare concomitant medications allowed.

- Identify any antidotes and treatments available for potential side-effects.
- Describe the plan for disposition of unused drug.
- For FDA-regulated studies, describe the procedure by which the IND sponsor will monitor the protocol in accordance with Title 21 of the Code of Federal Register, Section 312 (21 CFR 312).

17. Laboratory Evaluations:

- **Specimens to be collected, schedule, and amount.** All specimens that will be collected for study purposes must be clearly stated in the protocol. The collection schedule and amount of material collected must also be clearly described. This may be represented using a table or schematic for more involved protocols.
- **Evaluations to be made.** All evaluations that will be made for study purposes should be stated in the protocol. Copies of all data collection forms must be provided. The protocol should explain how the results of laboratory evaluations will be used to meet the objectives of the study (or to monitor safety of volunteers).
- **Storage.** Specimen storage must be described in the protocol, to include where, how long, any special conditions required, labeling, and disposition. If there is a plan to store specimens for future use (either by the investigator or through an established repository), this should be outlined in the protocol. If samples will be collected for future use in other studies (and if this is not the sole purpose of the protocol), volunteers should be given the chance to opt out. Potential future uses of samples should be addressed to the degree possible. If volunteers are given a menu of options regarding sample donation for future research, procedures should be in place to ensure that volunteers' wishes for use of the samples are honored. Procedures for withdrawal of samples at the request of the volunteer should be described if samples will remain coded or identified.
- **Labs performing evaluations and special precautions.** The laboratory performing each evaluation should be clearly identified in the protocol, as well as any special precautions that should be taken in handling the samples. Special precautions that should be taken by the volunteer before, during, or after the laboratory procedure should be clearly defined. If transport of samples is required, provisions for ensuring proper storage during transport should be included in the protocol.

18. Data Analysis: Describe the data analysis plan. The data analysis plan should be consistent with the study objectives.

19. Data Management:

- **Methods used for data collection.** All methods used for data collection should be described in the protocol. Copies of data collection forms and any test instruments administered should be provided. Data collection forms should be adequate and accurate according to the data collection plan described in the protocol. Whenever possible, identifiers should be removed from data collection forms. Critical measurements used as endpoints should be identified.

- **Volunteer identifiers.** If unique identifiers or a specific code system will be used to identify volunteers, this process should be described in the protocol.
- **Confidentiality:**
 - The protocol should explain measures taken to protect the privacy of study volunteers and maintain confidentiality of study data. Strategies to protect the privacy and confidentiality of study records, particularly those containing identifying information, should be addressed. Investigators collecting particularly sensitive information should consider obtaining a Certificate of Confidentiality.
 - The protocol should address who will have access to study records, data, and specimens. The protocol should acknowledge that representatives of USAMRMC are eligible to review study records.
 - Requirements for reporting sensitive information to state or local authorities should be addressed in the protocol. Examples of sensitive information that may require reporting include positive HIV (human immunodeficiency virus), hepatitis, or tuberculosis test results, illegal residency, child or spouse abuse, or participation in other illegal activities. These requirements will vary from state to state. Investigators should consult with his/her IRB for assistance with state requirements.
- **Disposition of data.** Describe where data (both electronic and hard copy) will be stored, who will keep the data, how the data will be stored, and the length of time data will be stored. Note that records of IND studies must be kept for 2 years after an IND Application is approved/issued, or for 2 years after the IND is withdrawn. Records required for IDE studies should be retained for 2 years following the date that the investigation is terminated or completed, or the date that the records are no longer required for support of the pre-market approval application, whichever is sooner.
- **Sharing study results.** In cases where the volunteer could possibly benefit medically or otherwise from the information, the protocol should explain whether or not the results of screening and/or study participation will be shared with volunteers or their primary care provider, to include results from any screening or diagnostic tests performed as part of the study. The potential benefits of providing volunteers with the information should be weighed against the potential risks. It is generally not advisable to use experimental assays or techniques to guide clinical care.

20. Risks/Benefits Assessment:

- **Foreseeable risks.** The protocol should clearly identify all study risks. Study risks include any risks that the volunteer is subjected to as a result of participation in the protocol. Consider psychological, legal, social, and economic risks as well as physical risks. If the risks are unknown, this should be stated in the protocol. If applicable, any potential risk to the study personnel should be identified.
- **Risk management and emergency response:**
 - The protocol should clearly list all measures to be taken to minimize and/or eliminate risks to volunteers and study personnel, or to manage unpreventable risks. All safety measures in place to mitigate risk (e.g., core temperature monitoring,

- electrocardiogram monitoring, observation periods, special procedures to avoid disclosure of potentially damaging information) should be described.
- Planned responses such as dose reduction or stopping criteria based on toxicity grading scales or other predetermined alert values, and other safeguards should be detailed in the protocol.
 - If there is a chance a volunteer may require emergency care or treatment for an adverse event, the protocol should discuss the overall plan for provision of care for study-related injuries, to include who will be responsible for the cost of such care. For example, if a study sponsor or institution has committed to providing care for study-related injury at no cost to volunteers, this provision should be explained in the protocol. The clinical site must have adequate personnel and equipment to respond to expected adverse events, and the nearest medical treatment facility should be identified in the emergency response plan.
 - Any special precautions to be taken by the volunteers before, during, and after the study (e.g., medication washout periods, dietary restrictions, hydration, fasting, pregnancy prevention, etc.) must be addressed. If pregnant volunteers will be excluded from participation in the study, the method used to determine pregnancy status in women of childbearing potential must be specified. Also, the time that will elapse between the pregnancy test and exposure to study procedures or medical products must be stated, as well as how long the non-pregnant volunteer should use effective contraceptive practices after participating in the study. Please note that contraceptive practices may be necessary for male volunteers participating in certain types of studies. For IND studies, pregnancy testing is recommended within 48-72 hours before the start of the study. Consideration should be given to repeating testing prior to administration of test articles.
 - Any special care (e.g., wound dressing assistance, transportation due to side effects of study intervention impairing ability to drive) or equipment (e.g., thermometers, telemedicine equipment) needed for volunteers enrolled in the study must be described in the protocol.
 - **Potential benefits.** Describe real and potential benefits of the study to the volunteer, a specific community, or society. Ensure that the benefits are not overstated. ***NOTE: Payment and/or other compensation for participation are not considered to be benefits and must be addressed in a separate section.***
 - **Intent to benefit.** If volunteers cannot give their own consent to participate in an experimental study, and Title 10 United States Code Section 980 (10 USC 980) (http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=browse_usc&docid=Cite:+10USC980) applies, a clear intent to benefit each volunteer must be described in the protocol. Please refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for more information.

21. Study Personnel:

- **Roles and responsibilities of key study personnel.** Briefly describe the duties of key study personnel. Describe their roles in the study effort. A study coordinator is required

at an appropriate level of effort whose duties may include the following: Recruit and consent volunteers, maintain study records, administer study drug, take and record vital signs, and enter data into computer database. A key person must be identified who will be responsible for guiding the protocol through the IRB, HRPO, and other regulatory approval processes, coordinating activities from all sites participating in the trial, and coordinating participant accrual.

- **Conflicts of interest.** Investigators and key study staff must disclose any real or apparent conflicts of interest (financial or other). This information may be provided in the protocol or by submission of a conflict of interest declaration form. (Many institutions have a form for this purpose, as does the FDA. A Financial Disclosure Form for Investigators is also available on the HRPO website at <https://mrmc-www.army.mil/rodorphrpo.asp> that will meet this requirement.) Measures taken to mitigate the impact of conflicts of interest must be provided. Information regarding conflicts of interest should be disclosed to volunteers in the consent form. All protocols that support development of a drug, device, biologic, or other intellectual property require completion of a conflict of interest declaration by all investigators on the protocol. Other protocols may require conflict of interest statements on a case-by-case basis.

22. Roles and Responsibilities of Medical Monitor: The DOD requires that a medical monitor be assigned to greater-than-minimal-risk protocols. The specific roles fulfilled by the medical monitor should be outlined in the protocol.

NOTE: The HRPO requires that the medical monitor review all unanticipated problems involving risk to volunteers or others, serious adverse events, and all volunteer deaths associated with the protocol, and provide an unbiased written report of the event within 10 calendar days. At a minimum, the medical monitor should comment on the outcomes of the adverse event, and the relationship of the event to the protocol or test article. The medical monitor should also indicate whether he/she concurs with the details of the report provided by the PI. Reports for events determined by either the investigator or medical monitor to be possibly or definitely related to participation, and reports of events resulting in death should be promptly forwarded to the HRPO.

23. Study Organization and Management Plan: Provide an organizational chart and a timetable for completion for the clinical trial and publication. Provide a plan for ensuring the standardization of procedures among staff and across sites (if applicable). Provide a plan for real-time communication among collaborating institutions (if applicable).

24. Withdrawal from the Protocol: Volunteers may discontinue participation in the study at any time without penalty or loss of benefits to which the volunteer is otherwise entitled. The protocol should describe the procedure in place to support an orderly end of the volunteer's participation (e.g., exit exam or follow-up safety visits outside of the context of the research study, information regarding prorated payment for partial participation, etc.) and the consequences of a volunteer's decision to withdraw from the study. The anticipated circumstances under which the volunteer's participation may be terminated by the investigator or others should also be addressed (e.g., noncompliance, safety issues, loss of funding, etc.).

25. Modifications to the Protocol: Describe the procedures to be followed if the protocol is to be modified, amended, or terminated before completion. Note that any modification to the protocol, consent form, and/or questionnaires, including a change to the PI, must be submitted to the local IRB for review and approval. Major modifications to the study protocol and any modifications that could increase risk to volunteers must be submitted to the HRPO for approval *prior to implementation*. Some examples of major modifications include a change in PI, addition of a study site, changes in study design, and addition or widening of a study population. All other amendments will be submitted with the continuing review report to the HRPO for acceptance. Address the procedure for submitting amendments even if modifications to the protocol are not anticipated.

Protocol Deviations. Describe procedures and notifications to be made in the event of deviations from the approved protocol to include both the local IRB and the HRPO.

NOTE: *Any deviation to the protocol that may have an effect on the safety or rights of the volunteer, or the integrity of the study must be promptly reported to the HRPO.*

26. Reporting of Serious Adverse Events and Unanticipated Problems:

- Reporting procedures will differ from institution to institution, so it is important for investigators to identify the reporting requirements for all entities involved in review of the protocol, and to clearly define this procedure within the protocol.
- Serious adverse events and unanticipated problems can occur in any and all types of studies, not just experimental interventions or clinical trials.
- Include a definition of what constitutes an adverse event in the study. For IND or IDE studies, include definitions as described in 21 CFR 312.32 and the ICH (International Conference on Harmonization) E2A Guidelines (<http://www.ich.org/cache/compo/475-272-1.html>).
- Describe agencies or offices to be notified with point of contact information in the event of an unanticipated problem or serious adverse event.

All protocols should contain the following language regarding the HRPO reporting requirements for adverse events and unanticipated problems: “Unanticipated problems involving risk to volunteers or others, serious adverse events related to participation in the study, and all volunteer deaths related to participation in the study should be promptly reported by phone (301-619-2165), by email (hsrrb@amedd.army.mil), or by facsimile (301-619-7803) to the US Army Medical Research and Materiel Command’s Office of Research Protections, Human Research Protections Office. A complete written report should follow the initial notification. In addition to the methods above, the complete report can be sent to the US Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, ATTN: MCMR-ZB-P, 504 Scott Street, Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012.”

For protocols that have a medical monitor assigned, the following language should also be included:

“The medical monitor is required to review all unanticipated problems involving risk to volunteers or others, serious adverse events, and all volunteer deaths associated with the protocol, and provide an unbiased written report of the event to the USAMRMC ORP,

HRPO. At a minimum, the medical monitor should comment on the outcomes of the event or problem, and in the case of a serious adverse event or death comment on the relationship to participation in the study. The medical monitor should also indicate whether he/she concurs with the details of the report provided by the study investigator. Reports for events determined by either the investigator or medical monitor to be possibly or definitely related to participation, and reports of events resulting in death should be promptly forwarded to the HRPO.”

27. Continuing Review and Final Report: The protocol should acknowledge that a copy of the approved continuing review report and the local IRB approval notification will be submitted to the HRPO as soon as these documents become available. A copy of the approved final study report and local IRB approval notification will be submitted to the HRPO as soon as these documents become available.

B. Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments: If the study involves surveys, questionnaires, case report forms, data collection forms, rating scales, interview guides, or other instruments, include a copy of the most recent version of each of these documents with the protocol submission. For each instrument that is used, the following information at a minimum should be addressed.

- Information collected with study instrument must be related to the objectives of the study.
- Procedures for use of study instruments should be clear in the protocol. Study instruments should be coded to protect confidentiality whenever possible.
- For study instruments provided to and/or completed by volunteers, the study instrument should be legible and presented at a reading level appropriate to the population. Copies of instruments submitted for review must also be legible.

C. Additional Protocol Language Requirements

The following are reporting requirements and responsibilities of the PI to the USAMRMC ORP, HRPO, and should be reflected in the protocol:

- The protocol will be conducted in accordance with the protocol submitted to and approved by the USAMRMC ORP, HRPO, and will not be initiated until written notification of approval of the research project is issued by the USAMRMC ORP, HRPO.
- Accurate and complete study records will be maintained and made available to representatives of the USAMRMC as a part of their responsibility to protect human subjects in research. Research records will be stored in a confidential manner so as to protect the confidentiality of subject information.

The knowledge of any pending compliance inspection/visit by the FDA, OHRP, or other government agency concerning clinical investigation or research, the issuance of Inspection Reports, FDA Form 483, warning letters, or actions taken by any Regulatory Agencies, including legal or medical actions, and any instances of serious or continuing

noncompliance with the regulations or requirements will be reported immediately to USAMRMC ORP, HRPO.

VI. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

After receipt of pre-applications from CDMRP eReceipt or applications from Grants.gov, the following administrative actions may occur:

A. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application:

- Preproposal Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Preproposal Narrative is missing.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- Submission of an application for which a letter of invitation was not sent.
- Submission of an application for which a pre-application was not submitted.

B. Modifications

- Pages exceeding the specified limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Project Narrative and Preproposal Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.
- Following the application deadline, you may be contacted by CDMRP via email with a request to provide certain missing supporting documents (excluding those listed in Section V-A, Rejection). The missing documents must be provided by 5:00 p.m. ET on the second full business day following the date the email was sent. Otherwise, the application will be reviewed as submitted.

C. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the application:

- FY10 GWIRP Integration Panel (IP) member(s) is found to be involved in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting document. A list of the FY10 GWIRP IP members may be found at <http://cdmrp.army.mil/gwirp/panel10.htm>.

- Submission of the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.
- The application does not conform to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity description to an extent that precludes appropriate review.
- Direct costs as shown on the detailed budget form exceed maximum allowed by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
- Inclusion of URLs with the exception of links to published references.
- The proposed research is not a clinical trial.
- The PI does not meet the eligibility criteria as described in this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

D. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending organizational investigation. The organization will be requested to provide the findings of the investigation to the US Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA) Contracting/Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.

VII. CONTACT INFORMATION

A. CDMRP Program Announcement Help Desk: Questions related to Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity content or submission requirements should be directed to the CDMRP Program Announcement help desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. ET. Submit questions as early as possible. Response times will vary depending upon the volume of inquiries. Every effort will be made to answer questions within 5 working days.

Phone: 301-619-7079
Email: cdmrp.pa@amedd.army.mil

B. CDMRP eReceipt System Help Desk: Questions related to the submission of the pre-application through the eReceipt system should be directed to the CDMRP eReceipt system help desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET.

Phone: 301-682-5507
Email: help@cdmrp.org

C. Grants.gov Contact Center: Questions related to application submission through the Grants.gov portal should be directed to Grants.gov help desk, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Please note that the CDMRP Program Announcement and eReceipt system help desks are unable to provide technical assistance regarding Grants.gov submissions.

Phone: 800-518-4726
Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. If the application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

VIII. APPLICATION SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

| Grants.gov Application Components | Action | Completed |
|---|---|------------------|
| SF-424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form | Complete form as instructed | |
| Attachments Form | Upload Project Narrative (Project Narrative.pdf) as Attachment 1 | |
| | Upload Supporting Documentation (Support.pdf) as Attachment 2 | |
| | Upload Technical Abstract (TechAbs.pdf) as Attachment 3 | |
| | Upload Public Abstract (PublicAbs.pdf) as Attachment 4 | |
| | Upload Statement of Work (SOW.pdf) as Attachment 5 | |
| | Upload Detailed Budget and Justification (Budget.pdf) as Attachment 6 | |
| | Upload Subaward Detailed Budget and Justification (SubBudgets.pdf) as Attachment 7 | |
| | Upload Impact Statement (Impact.pdf) as Attachment 8 | |
| | Upload Innovation Statement (Innovation.pdf) as Attachment 9 | |
| | Upload Transition Plan (Transition.pdf) as Attachment 10 | |
| Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded) | Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field | |
| | Attach PI Current & Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field | |
| | Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field | |
| | Attach Current & Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field | |
| Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form | Complete form as instructed | |

Principal Investigator:

CDMRP Log Number:

Detailed Budget and Justification

Name of Principal Investigator: _____
Last Name *First Name* *Middle Name* *Suffix*

CDMRP log number: _____

Period of Performance: From: _____ Through: _____

| <i>DETAILED BUDGET FOR YEAR ONE</i> | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------|
| SENIOR/KEY PERSON & OTHER PERSONNEL | | TYPE APPT. (MONTHS) | ANNUAL BASE SALARY | EFFORT ON PROJECT | DOLLAR AMOUNT REQUESTED (OMIT CENTS) | | |
| NAME | ROLE ON PROJECT | | | | SALARY REQUESTED | FRINGE BENEFITS | TOTALS |
| | Principal Investigator | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| A. SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL COSTS | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|

Detailed Budget and Justification

| <u>DETAILED BUDGET FOR YEAR ONE (CONTINUED)</u> | |
|---|--|
| <u>OTHER DIRECT COSTS</u> | |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT (ITEMIZE) | |
| TRAVEL COSTS | |
| MATERIALS, SUPPLIES, AND CONSUMABLES (ITEMIZE BY CATEGORY) | |
| CONSULTANT COSTS | |
| SUBAWARD/CONSORTIUM/CONTRACTUAL COSTS (ITEMIZE, INCLUDE TOTAL COSTS INCLUDING DIRECT AND INDIRECT COSTS) | |
| RESEARCH-RELATED SUBJECT COSTS | |
| OTHER EXPENSES (ITEMIZE BY CATEGORY) | |
| B. SUBTOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS FOR INITIAL BUDGET PERIOD | |
| C. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS FOR INITIAL BUDGET PERIOD (A+B) | |
| D. TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS FOR INITIAL BUDGET PERIOD | |
| TOTAL COSTS FOR INITIAL BUDGET PERIOD (C+D) | |

Detailed Budget and Justification

| <u>BUDGET FOR ENTIRE PROPOSED PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE</u> | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| BUDGET CATEGORY TOTALS* | BUDGET YEAR ONE | ADDITIONAL YEARS OF SUPPORT REQUESTED | | | | TOTAL |
| | | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | |
| A. PERSONNEL COSTS | | | | | | |
| SALARY REQUESTED | | | | | | |
| FRINGE COSTS | | | | | | |
| B. OTHER COSTS | | | | | | |
| MAJOR EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| TRAVEL COSTS | | | | | | |
| MATERIALS, SUPPLIES, AND CONSUMABLES | | | | | | |
| CONSULTANT COSTS | | | | | | |
| SUBAWARD/CONSORTIUM/ CONTRACTUAL COSTS | | | | | | |
| RESEARCH-RELATED SUBJECT COSTS | | | | | | |
| OTHER EXPENSES | | | | | | |
| C. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS | | | | | | |
| D. TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS | | | | | | |
| TOTAL DIRECT COSTS FOR ENTIRE PROPOSED PERIOD OF SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS FOR ENTIRE PROPOSED PERIOD OF SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| TOTAL COSTS FOR THE ENTIRE PROPOSED PERIOD OF SUPPORT <small>THIS AMOUNT MUST MATCH WITH THAT ENTERED ON THE SF 424, BLOCK 16A</small> | | | | | | |

* Itemize all budget categories for additional years on the Justification page that follows.

Principal Investigator:

CDMRP Log Number:

Detailed Budget and Justification

JUSTIFICATION

FOLLOW THE BUDGET JUSTIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS EXACTLY. USE CONTINUATION PAGES AS NEEDED.

Principal Investigator:

CDMRP Log Number:

Detailed Budget and Justification

JUSTIFICATION

FOLLOW THE BUDGET JUSTIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS EXACTLY. USE CONTINUATION PAGES AS NEEDED.

Principal Investigator:

CDMRP Log Number:

Detailed Budget and Justification

JUSTIFICATION

FOLLOW THE BUDGET JUSTIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS EXACTLY. USE CONTINUATION PAGES AS NEEDED.

Principal Investigator:

CDMRP Log Number:

Detailed Budget and Justification

JUSTIFICATION

FOLLOW THE BUDGET JUSTIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS EXACTLY. USE CONTINUATION PAGES AS NEEDED.

Principal Investigator:

CDMRP Log Number:

Detailed Budget and Justification

JUSTIFICATION

FOLLOW THE BUDGET JUSTIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS EXACTLY. USE CONTINUATION PAGES AS NEEDED.

Principal Investigator:

CDMRP Log Number:

Detailed Budget and Justification

JUSTIFICATION

FOLLOW THE BUDGET JUSTIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS EXACTLY. USE CONTINUATION PAGES AS NEEDED.

Principal Investigator:

CDMRP Log Number:

Detailed Budget and Justification

JUSTIFICATION

FOLLOW THE BUDGET JUSTIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS EXACTLY. USE CONTINUATION PAGES AS NEEDED.

Principal Investigator:

CDMRP Log Number:

Detailed Budget and Justification

JUSTIFICATION

FOLLOW THE BUDGET JUSTIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS EXACTLY. USE CONTINUATION PAGES AS NEEDED.

Principal Investigator:

CDMRP Log Number:

Detailed Budget and Justification

JUSTIFICATION

FOLLOW THE BUDGET JUSTIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS EXACTLY. USE CONTINUATION PAGES AS NEEDED.

Principal Investigator:

CDMRP Log Number:

Detailed Budget and Justification

JUSTIFICATION

FOLLOW THE BUDGET JUSTIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS EXACTLY. USE CONTINUATION PAGES AS NEEDED.

Principal Investigator (Last, first, middle):

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Provide the following information for the key personnel on page 1 of the Detailed Budget and Justification form for the initial budget period.

| | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| NAME | | POSITION TITLE | |
| EDUCATION/TRAINING <i>(Begin with baccalaureate or other initial professional education, such as nursing, and include postdoctoral training.)</i> | | | |
| INSTITUTION AND LOCATION | DEGREE <i>(if applicable)</i> | YEAR(s) | FIELD OF STUDY |
| | | | |

RESEARCH AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE: Concluding with present position, list in chronological order, previous employment, experience, and honors. Include present membership on any Federal Government public advisory committee. List in chronological order the titles, all authors, and complete references to all publications during the past 3 years and to representative earlier publications pertinent to this application. If the list of publications in the last 3 years exceeds 2 pages, select the most pertinent publications. **PAGE LIMITATIONS APPLY. DO NOT EXCEED 4 PAGES FOR THE ENTIRE BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH PER INDIVIDUAL.**

Principal Investigator (Last, first, middle):

Principal Investigator (Last, first, middle):

Principal Investigator (Last, first, middle):