

Program Announcement

for the

Defense Health Program

Defense Medical Research and Development Program

Department of Defense

Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine Research Program Joint Program Committee 8

Reconstructive Transplantation Research Award

Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-14-DMRDP-CRMRP-RTRA

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 12.420

SUBMISSION AND REVIEW DATES AND TIMES

- **Pre-Application Deadline:** 5:00 p.m. Eastern time (ET), October 15, 2014
- **Application Submission Deadline:** 11:59 p.m. ET, October 29, 2014
- **End of Application Verification Period:** 5:00 p.m. ET, November 5, 2014
- **Peer Review:** December 2014
- **Programmatic Review:** February 2015

Change for Fiscal Year 2014: *The CDMRP eReceipt System has been replaced with the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP). Principal Investigators and organizational representatives should register in eBRAP as soon as possible. All pre-applications must be submitted through eBRAP. In addition, applications submitted through Grants.gov will now be available for viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP prior to the end of the application verification period.*

This Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is one of two documents with instructions to prepare and submit an application for this funding opportunity. The second document, the General Application Instructions, is available for downloading from Grants.gov.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Funding Opportunity Description.....	3
A. Program Description	3
B. Award Information and Focus Areas	3
C. Eligibility Information	7
D. Funding	7
II. Submission Information	9
A. Where to Obtain the Application Package	9
B. Pre-Application Submission and Content Form	10
C. Application Submission Content and Forms	10
D. Verification of Grants.gov Application in eBRAP	21
E. Submission Dates and Times	21
F. Other Submission Requirements.....	21
III. Application Review Information	22
A. Application Review and Selection Process.....	22
B. Application Review Process	22
C. Recipient Qualification	25
D. Application Review Dates	25
E. Notification of Application Review Results	25
IV. Administrative Actions.....	25
A. Rejection	25
B. Modification.....	25
C. Withdrawal.....	26
D. Withhold	26
V. Award Administration Information.....	26
A. Award Notice	26
B. Administrative Requirements	27
C. National Policy Requirements	27
D. Reporting.....	27
E. Award Transfers.....	27
VI. Agency Contacts.....	27
A. CDMRP Help Desk.....	27
B. Grants.gov Contact Center.....	28
VII. Application Submission Checklist.....	29

I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2014 (FY14) Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine Research Program (CRM RP) are being solicited for the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, Defense Health Program (DHP), by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA). The goal of the CRM RP is to fund innovative projects that have the potential to make a significant impact on improving the function, wellness, and overall quality of life for injured military Service Members and Veterans, their caregivers and family members, and the American public. CRM RP has oversight of the \$15 million (M) Congressional appropriation for FY14 Reconstructive Transplantation Research (RTR). The executing agents for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity are the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP) and the U.S. Army Medical Materiel Development Activity (USAMMDA).

The CRM RP challenges the scientific community to design innovative research that will foster new directions for and address neglected issues in the field of reconstructive transplantation (RT), specifically vascularized composite allotransplantation (VCA)-focused research, also known as composite tissue allotransplantation (CTA). VCA refers to the transplantation of multiple tissues such as muscle, bone, nerve, and skin, as a functional unit (e.g., a hand, or face) from a deceased donor to a recipient with a severe injury. Applications from investigators within the military Services and applications involving multidisciplinary collaborations among academia, industry, the military Services, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), and other Federal Government agencies are highly encouraged. Though the RTR Award mechanism supports groundbreaking research, all projects must demonstrate solid scientific rationale with military-relevant utility.

The CRM RP is one of six major program areas within the Defense Medical Research and Development Program (DMRDP). The CRM RP is administered with oversight from Joint Program Committee 8 (JPC-8), which consists of Department of Defense (DoD) and non-DoD medical and military technical experts relevant to the program area. The CRM RP mission is to focus on definitive and rehabilitative care innovations required to reset our wounded warriors, both in terms of duty performance and quality of life.

B. Award Information and Focus Areas

RT, also known as VCA, is a maturing field. The RTR Award mechanism was first offered in FY12. A total of 44 RTR Award applications were received, and 8 applications were funded. The FY14 RTR Award mechanism will give special consideration to projects aimed at modifying or restructuring clinical practice guidelines and projects intended to bring research findings rapidly into clinical practice. Investigators are encouraged to consider adaptation of approaches utilized in solid organ transplantation for standardization of processes and protocols.

The intent of the FY14 RTR Award is to support projects that will accelerate the movement of promising ideas in RT into clinical application. The mechanism is intended to support both new and established scientists across a broad spectrum of disciplines in research projects that are likely to have a major impact on RT.

To meet the intent of the FY14 RTR Award mechanism, applicants must either address one or more of the Focus Areas listed below and/or propose and describe a different unique focus area that is applicable to research for the injured military and/or Veteran population requiring RT of the face and extremities, to include genitourinary injuries of both men and women.

Projects can utilize preclinical animal models to address the Focus Areas. Investigators can submit applications that contain clinical research or clinical trials that address the Focus Areas. Projects that contain clinical research or clinical trials are eligible for additional funding (see [Section I.D., Funding](#)). The FY14 RTR Award Focus Areas include the following:

Immune Rejection

- Understanding mechanisms of immune rejection
- Immunomodulation approaches and mechanisms, e.g., tolerance induction, chimerism
- Optimizing immunosuppressive drug regimens

Tissue Preservation

- Understanding mechanisms of ischemic and/or reperfusion injury in composite tissue grafts
- Prolonging allograft preservation
- Mitigating ischemic injury

Graft Surveillance-Clinical Monitoring

- Histopathology
- Imaging
- Immune profiling, e.g., gene expression, graft rejection markers, cytokine screens
- Vasculopathy

RT Recipient Outcomes

- Rehabilitation to improve transplant function
- Patient selection criteria as correlates to VCA outcomes
- National shared data repository

Standardization of Processes and Protocol

- Collaboration in clinical protocol standardization, which may include endpoint selection, assay selection, and functional outcomes measures
- Techniques for tracking long-term outcomes
- Standardization of procedures for pathology

Unique Focus Area

- Describe how the work is applicable to research for the injured military and/or Veteran population requiring RT of the face and extremities to include genitourinary injuries of both men and women.

Funding from this award mechanism may support a clinical trial; however, use of funds to solely support the operative portions of additional RT procedures is discouraged. A clinical trial is defined as a prospective accrual of human subjects where an intervention (e.g., device, drug, biologic, surgical procedure, rehabilitative modality, behavioral intervention, or other intervention) is tested with a human subject for a measurable outcome with respect to exploratory information, safety, and/or efficacy. This outcome represents a direct effect on the human subject of that intervention or interaction. The term “human subjects” is used in this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity to refer to individuals who will be recruited for or who will participate in the proposed clinical trial. For more information on clinical research, a Human Subject Resource Document is provided at [https://cdmrp.org/Program Announcements and Forms/](https://cdmrp.org/Program%20Announcements%20and%20Forms/).

If the study proposed involves the use of a drug or biologic or an investigational device that has not been approved by the U.S Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use, the regulatory strategy for review and approval must be described. Please see the instructions for Attachment 14 for details.

If the clinical trial involves the use of a drug that has not been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the proposed investigational use, evidence that an Investigational New Drug (IND) exemption application that meets all requirements under the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Part 312 (21 CFR 312) has been submitted or will be submitted to the FDA **within 60 days of award** is required. If the investigational product is a device, evidence that an Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) application that meets all requirements under 21 CFR 812 has been submitted or will be submitted to the FDA **within 60 days of award**, or that the device is exempt from an IDE, is required. The Government reserves the right to withdraw funding if the IND or IDE application has not been submitted to the FDA within 60 days of the DoD award date or if the documented status of the IND or IDE has not been obtained within 6 months of the award date.

Department of Defense Collaboration and Alignment Encouraged

Alignment with current DoD research and collaboration with military researchers and clinicians is encouraged. The following websites may be useful in identifying information about ongoing DoD research interest within the FY14 RTR Award Focus Areas.

Armed Forces Institute of Regenerative
Medicine
<http://www.afirm.mil>

U.S. Army Medical and Materiel Command
<https://mrmc.amedd.army.mil>

Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine
Research Program
<https://crmrp.amedd.army.mil>

The United States Army Institute of Surgical
Research
<http://www.usaisr.amedd.army.mil>

Walter Reed National Military Medical Center
<http://www.bethesda.med.navy.mil>
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
<http://www.darpa.mil>
Office of Naval Research
<http://www.navy.mil/local/onr/>
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Office
of Research and Development
<http://www.research.va.gov/>

Naval Health Research Center
<http://www.med.navy.mil/sites/nhrc/Pages/default.aspx>
U.S. Naval Research Laboratory
<http://www.navy.mil/local/nrl>
U.S. Army Research Laboratory
www.arl.army.mil

New for FY14: Guidelines for Animal Research

All projects should adhere to a core set of standards for rigorous study design and reporting to maximize the reproducibility and translational potential of preclinical research. The standards are described in Landis, S.C., et al. A call for transparent reporting to optimize the predictive value of preclinical research, *Nature* 2012, 490:187-191 (www.nature.com/nature/journal/v490/n7419/full/nature11556.html). While these standards are written for preclinical studies, the basic principles of randomization, blinding, sample-size estimation, and data handling derive from well-established best practices in clinical studies. Projects that include research on animal models are required to submit Attachment 8, Animal Research Plan, as part of the application package to describe how these standards will be addressed. Applicants should consult the ARRIVE (Animal Research: Reporting *In Vivo* Experiments) guidelines to ensure relevant aspects of rigorous animal research are adequately planned for and, ultimately, reported. The ARRIVE guidelines can be found at <http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/page.asp?id=1357>.

Use of Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human Cadavers: All DoD-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USAMRMC) Office of Research Protections (ORP), Human Research Protection Office (HRPO), in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) of record. Local IRB approval at the time of submission is *not* required. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and requirements governing all research involving human subjects, human anatomical substances, or human cadavers that is supported by the DoD. These laws and requirements will necessitate information in addition to that supplied to the IRB. Allow a minimum of 2 to 3 months for HRPO regulatory review and approval processes. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 6, for additional information.

The CRM RP intends that data and research resources generated under awards funded by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity be made available to the research community (which includes both scientific and consumer advocacy communities) and to the public at large. For additional guidance, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section L.

C. Eligibility Information

- Independent investigators at all academic levels (or non-academic equivalent) are eligible to submit applications.
- Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.
- Eligible investigators must apply through an organization. Organizations eligible to apply include national, international, for-profit, non-profit, public, and private organizations.
- Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for general eligibility information.

D. Funding

- The maximum period of performance is **4** years.

Preclinical Studies Option

- To include projects that do not propose clinical research, but focus on preclinical research that addresses at least one RTR Award Focus Area. These studies may utilize animal models and/or human cell lines or samples, but do not contain a prospective, interventional human subjects study. The maximum allowable direct costs for the entire period of performance for a preclinical study are **\$750,000** plus indirect costs.

Clinical Research/Clinical Trial Option

- To include projects that contain clinical research or a clinical trial. The maximum allowable direct costs for the entire period of performance are **\$2,000,000** plus indirect costs.
- To qualify for the higher level of funding, the clinical research/clinical trial must be more than 50% of the proposed work and must be initiated by the second year of the project.
- All direct and indirect costs of any subaward (subgrant or subcontract) must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.
- The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum **4** years.
- Regardless of the period of performance proposed, the applicant may not exceed the maximum allowable direct costs. Indirect costs shall be proposed in accordance with the organization's negotiated rate agreement

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. *For all federal agencies or organizations collaborating with federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in Section II.C.4. of the General Application Instructions.*

For this award mechanism, direct costs:

Must be requested for:

- Travel costs for the Principal Investigator(s) [PI(s)] to disseminate project results at a DoD Regenerative Medicine Progress Review meeting. Costs associated with travel to this meeting, up to \$1,800, should be included in Year 3 of the budget. For planning purposes, it should be assumed that the meeting will be held in the National Capital Area. These travel costs are in addition to those allowed for annual scientific/technical meetings.

May be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary
- Research supplies
- Equipment
- Research-related subject costs – Clinical Research/Clinical Trial Option only
- Clinical research costs – Clinical Research/Clinical Trial Option only
- Travel between collaborating organizations
- Travel costs of up to \$1,800 per year to attend scientific/technical meetings in addition to the required meeting described above

Intramural (DoD), other federal agency, and extramural investigators are encouraged to apply to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. An intramural investigator is defined as a DoD military or civilian employee working within a DoD laboratory or medical treatment facility, or working in a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. Intramural applicants and collaborators are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective resource managers. It is permissible for an intramural investigator to be named as a collaborator on an application submitted by an extramural investigator. ***In such cases, the extramural investigator must include a letter from the intramural collaborator's Commander or Commanding Officer that authorizes the involvement of the intramural collaborator.***

As required of all applicants to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity, if PIs from federal agencies submit applications, they must submit through Grants.gov. Therefore, federal applicants must be familiar with Grants.gov requirements, including the need for an active System for Award Management (SAM) registration and a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. Refer to Appendix 3 of the General Application Instructions for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

Awards to extramural organizations will consist solely of assistance agreements (Cooperative Agreements and Grants). Awards to intramural agencies and other federal agencies will be executed through the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR) or Funding Authorization Document (FAD) process. Direct transfer of funds from the recipient to a federal agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4. Research & Related Budget, for additional information on budget considerations for applications involving federal agencies.

The CRM RP expects to allot approximately \$13.3M of the \$15M FY14 RTR appropriation to fund approximately 7 RTR Award applications, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is contingent upon the availability of federal funds for this program.

II. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Submission is a two-step process requiring both (1) pre-application submission through the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) (<https://eBRAP.org/>) and (2) application submission through Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov/>).

New for FY14: *The CDMRP has replaced its eReceipt System with eBRAP.* Submission remains a two-step process requiring both pre-application and application submission.

PIs must be registered in eBRAP in order to submit a pre-application and receive notification of the status of a pre-application or application. A key feature of eBRAP is that an organization's representatives and PIs are able to view and modify the Grants.gov application submissions associated with them, but only if the organization, Business Officials, and PIs are registered and affiliated to the organization in eBRAP (see *eBRAP User Guide* at <https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/UserGuide.pdf>). Upon completion of an organization's registration in eBRAP and approval by the CDMRP Help Desk, the organization name will be displayed in eBRAP to assist the organization's business officials and PIs as they register.

Note: Submission of either the pre-application to eBRAP or application to Grants.gov does not require registering an organization and affiliating its Business Officials and PIs in eBRAP; however, the ability to view and modify the Grants.gov application in eBRAP is contingent upon the registration and affiliation. ***Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP is strongly recommended, but not required. The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline. Any other application component cannot be changed after the end of the application verification period.*** If verification is not completed by the end of the application verification period, the application will be reviewed as submitted through Grants.gov, provided there is no cause for administrative rejection of the application (see [Section IV.A., Rejection](#)).

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application.

A. Where to Obtain the Application Package

To obtain the complete application package, including all required forms, perform a Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov/>) basic search using the Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-14-DMRDP-CRM RP-RTRA.

B. Pre-Application Submission and Content Form

All pre-application components must be submitted by the PI through eBRAP (<https://eBRAP.org/>).

PIs and organizations identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for additional information on pre-application submission):

- **Application Information – Tab 1**
- **Application Contacts – Tab 2**
 - Enter contact information for the PI and the organization’s Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (or equivalent). If the Business Official is not listed, they must be “invited” as prompted by the eBRAP system. This is the individual listed as “person to be contacted on matters involving this application” in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF424 form.
 - It is recommended that PIs identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.
- **Collaborators and Conflicts of Interest – Tab 3**

FY14 JPC-8 Regenerative Medicine Working Group members should not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to JPC-8 Regenerative Medicine Working Group members and pre-applications or applications, refer to [Section IV.C., Withdrawal](#), or contact the CDMRP at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.
- **Required Files – Tab 4**

Letter of Intent (LOI) (one-page limit): Provide a brief description of the research to be conducted. Include the Focus Area(s) under which the application will be submitted. If the project does not fit into one of the listed areas, choose “unique focus area”. LOIs are used for program planning purposes only (e.g., reviewer recruitment) and will not be reviewed during either the peer or programmatic review sessions.
- **Submit Pre-Application – Tab 5**

This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.

C. Application Submission Content and Forms

Each application submission must include the completed application package of forms and attachments provided in Grants.gov for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. The application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through the Grants.gov portal (<http://www.grants.gov/>).

New for FY14: Applications submitted through and validated by Grants.gov will be retrieved and processed by eBRAP to allow for review, modification, and verification. The PI and organizational representatives will receive an email request from eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the application submitted to Grants.gov. During this verification period, the PI may upload missing files (excluding those listed in [Section IV.A., Rejection](#)), replace files, and re-categorize files. These modifications must be completed by the end of the verification period.

Note: Changes to either the Project Narrative or Budget are not allowed in eBRAP; if such changes are required, the entire application package must be submitted through Grants.gov as a “Changed/Corrected Application” with the Previous Grants.gov Tracking ID **prior to the application submission deadline (which occurs earlier than the end of the application verification period).**

Grants.gov application package components: For the FY14 RTR Award, the Grants.gov application package includes the following components (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for additional information on application submission):

1. SF 424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.

2. Attachments Form

- **Attachment 1: Project Narrative (12-page limit):** Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf.” The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

Describe the proposed project in detail using the outline below.

- **Background:** Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work. Cite relevant literature. Describe previous experience most pertinent to this project.
- **Objectives/Specific Aims/Hypotheses:** Provide a description of the purpose and objectives of the proposed studies with specific aims and/or study design. Describe how the proposed study will address the Focus Area(s) selected. If the study is addressing a unique topic area, it must be described and the relevance to RT provided.
- **Research Strategy:** Describe the study design, methods, and analyses, including appropriate controls, in sufficient detail for assessment by scientific reviewers. Address potential problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches. If human subjects or human biological samples will be used, include a detailed plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples.

- **Statistical Plan and Data Analysis:** Describe the statistical plan if appropriate for the research proposed. Include a complete power analysis to demonstrate that the sample size for either studies using an animal model or human subjects is appropriate to meet the objectives of relevant studies.
- **Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation.** Start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf.” If documents are scanned to pdf, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. ***There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested may result in the removal of those items or administrative withdrawal of the application.***
 - References Cited: List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
 - List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.
 - Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether or not Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present Government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.
 - Publications and/or Patent Abstracts (five-document limit): Include relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly available, then a copy/copies of the published manuscript(s) must be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.
 - Letters of Organizational Support: Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable), signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project.
 - Letters of Collaboration: Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work
 - Letter(s) of Support for Use of Military and VA Populations or Resources (if applicable): Provide a letter(s) signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority for studies involving military Service Members, Veterans, military and/or VA-controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases.
 - Intellectual Property
 - Background and Proprietary Information: All software and data first produced under the award are subject to a federal purpose license in accordance with applicable DoD Grant and Agreement Regulations

(DoDGAR) requirements. Provide a list of all background intellectual property to be used in the project or provide a statement that none will be used. If applicable, state and identify the proprietary information that will be provided to the Government and indicate whether the applicant will require a waiver of the federal purpose license.

- Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.
- Commercialization Strategy: Describe the commercialization plan. The plan should include intellectual property, market size, financial analysis, strengths and weaknesses, barriers to the market, competitors, and management team. Discuss the significance of this development effort, when it can be anticipated, and the potential commercial use for the technology being developed.

- **Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit):** Upload as “TechAbs.pdf.”

The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Therefore, clarity and completeness within the space limits of the technical abstract are highly important. Technical abstracts should be written using the outline below:

- **Background:** Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work.
- **Focus Area:** State specific Focus Area(s) being addressed.
- **Objective/Hypothesis:** State the objective/hypothesis to be tested. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the objective/hypothesis.
- **Specific Aims:** State the specific aims of the study.
- **Study Design:** Briefly describe the study design including appropriate controls.
- **Impact:** Briefly describe how the proposed project will have an impact on RT and/or patient care.
- **Translation:** Briefly describe how the proposed project will translate promising, well-founded research findings into clinical applications in RT.
- **Military Relevance:** Briefly describe the relevance of the proposed project to the health care needs of injured military Service Members and Veterans, and/or their caregivers and family members.

- **Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit):** Upload as “LayAbs.pdf.”

The lay abstract is used by all reviewers. Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below.

- Clearly describe, in a manner readily understood by lay persons, the rationale and objective for the proposed work. Do not duplicate the technical abstract.
- Describe the ultimate applicability of the research. What types of patients will it help, and how will it help them? What are the potential clinical applications,

benefits, and risks? What is the projected time it may take to achieve a patient-related outcome?

- What are the likely contributions of this study to advancing the field of reconstructive transplantation research?

- **Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit):** Upload as “SOW.pdf.” Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.2., for detailed guidance on creating the SOW.

The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP “Program Announcement and Forms” web page (<https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm>). For the RTR Award mechanism, use the SOW format example titled “SOW for Advanced Tech Development Research” if proposing preclinical research or use the SOW format example titled “SOW for Clinical Research” if clinical research or a clinical trial is proposed. The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching.

- **Attachment 6: Impact Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Impact.pdf.” Describe the potential impact of this study on the field of reconstructive transplantation research and/or patient care. If applicable, address the impact on one or more of the FY14 RTR Award Focus Areas.

Include an assessment of the likelihood that a successful outcome to the research project will lead to a practical application in patients.

- **Attachment 7: Military Relevance Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Military.pdf.” Demonstrate how the proposed study is applicable to the health care needs and quality of life of injured military Service Members, Veterans, and/or their caregivers and family members. If the active duty military, Veteran, or military family member population(s) will be used in the proposed research project, describe the population(s), the appropriateness of the population(s) for the proposed study, and the feasibility of using the population. If a non-military population will be used for the proposed research project, explain how the population simulates the targeted population.

- **Attachment 8: Animal Research Plan (required if the proposed research involves animals, five-page limit):** Upload as “AnimalPlan.pdf.”

- When the proposed study involves animals, the applicant is required to submit a summary describing the animal research that will be conducted. Applicants should not submit a verbatim replica of the protocol(s) to be submitted to the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee as the Animal Research Plan. The Animal Research Plan should address the following points for each proposed animal study:
 - Briefly describe the research objective(s) of the animal study. Explain how and why the animal species, strain, and model(s) being used can address the scientific objectives and, where appropriate, the study’s relevance to human biology.

- Summarize the procedures to be conducted. Describe how the study will be controlled.
- Describe the randomization and blinding procedures for the study and any other measures to be taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias during animal treatment and assessment of results. If randomization and/or blinding will not be utilized, provide justification.
- Provide a sample size estimate for each study arm and the method by which it was derived, including power analysis calculations.
- Describe how data will be handled, including rules for stopping data collection, criteria for inclusion and exclusion of data, how outliers will be defined and handled, statistical methods for data analysis, and identification of the primary endpoint(s).

For applications proposing clinical research or clinical trials, please provide the following:

- **Attachment 9: Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures (no page limit):** Upload as “HumSubProc.pdf.” The Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures attachment should include the components listed below.
 - a. **Study Population:** Describe the target population (to whom the study findings will be generalized) and the nature, approximate number, and pertinent demographic characteristics of the accessible population at the study site (population from whom the sample will be recruited/drawn). Demonstrate that the research team has access to the proposed study population. Furthermore, discuss past efforts in recruiting human subjects from the target population for previous clinical trials (if applicable). Address any potential barriers to accrual and plans for addressing unanticipated delays. Include justification of any age, race, ethnicity, or sex limitations provided.
 - b. **Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria:** List the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the proposed clinical trial. Inclusion/exclusion criteria should take into consideration the specific risk profile of the studies to be conducted and the standard of care for that patient population. Provide detailed justification for exclusions.

Inclusion of Women and Minorities in Study. Consistent with the Belmont Report, “Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects,” and Congressional legislation, special attention is given to inclusion of women and/or minorities in studies funded or supported by the USAMRMC. This policy is intended to promote equity both in assuming the burdens and in receiving the benefits of human subjects research. Include an appropriate justification if women and/or minorities will be excluded from the clinical trial.
 - c. **Description of the Recruitment Process:** Explain methods for identification of potential human subjects (e.g., medical record review, obtaining sampling lists, health care provider identification).

- Describe the recruitment process in detail. Address who will identify potential human subjects, who will recruit them, and what methods will be used to recruit them.
 - Include a detailed description of and justification for the compensation plan if the human subjects will be compensated for participation in the study.
 - Describe the recruitment and advertisement materials. The recruitment materials should not be coercive or offer undue inducements and should accurately reflect the study.
- d. Description of the Informed Consent Process:** Specifically describe the plan for obtaining informed consent from human subjects.
- ***For the proposed study, provide a draft, in English, of the Informed Consent Form.***
 - Identify who is responsible for explaining the study, answering questions, and obtaining informed consent. Include a plan for ensuring that human subjects' questions will be addressed during the consent process and throughout the trial.
 - Include information regarding the timing and location of the consent process.
 - Address issues relevant to the mental capacity of the potential human subject (e.g., altered capacity due to administration of any mind-altering substances such as tranquilizers, conscious sedation or anesthesia, brain injury, stress/life situations, or human subject age), if applicable.
 - Address how privacy and time for decision making will be provided and whether or not the potential human subject will be allowed to discuss the study with anyone before making a decision.
 - Consider the need for obtaining ongoing consent or for re-assessing capacity over the course of a long-term study and describe any relevant procedures to assure continued consent.
 - Describe the plan for the consent of the individual's Legally Authorized Representative (LAR) to be obtained prior to the human subject's participation in the study. State law defines who may act as the LAR. The local IRB of record should be consulted for guidance regarding who can serve as LAR for research at the study site. Note: The PI must describe a clear intent to benefit for human subjects who cannot give their own consent to participate in the proposed clinical trial to be in compliance with Title 10 United States Code Section 980 (10 USC 980) (<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2011-title10/pdf/USCODE-2011-title10-subtitleA-partII-chap49-sec980.pdf>). If applicable, please refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for more information.
 - ***Assent.*** If minors or other populations that cannot provide informed consent are included in the proposed clinical trial, a plan to obtain assent (agreement) from those with capacity to provide it, or a justification for a

waiver of assent, should be provided. PIs should consult with their local IRB to identify the conditions necessary for obtaining assent.

- e. **Screening Procedures:** List and describe any evaluations (e.g., laboratory procedures, history, or physical examination) that are required to determine eligibility/suitability for study participation and the diagnostic criteria for entry. Please note that some screening procedures may require a separate consent or a two-stage consent process. Informed consent must be obtained prior to initiation of any procedures for the purpose of determining eligibility.
- f. **Risks/Benefits Assessment:**
 - **Foreseeable risks:** Clearly identify all study risks, including potential safety concerns and adverse events. Study risks include any risks that the human subject is subjected to as a result of participation in the clinical trial. Consider psychological, legal, social, and economic risks as well as physical risks. If the risks are unknown, this should be stated. If applicable, any potential risk to the study personnel should be identified.
 - **Risk management and emergency response:**
 - Describe how safety surveillance and reporting to the IRB and U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (if applicable) will be managed and conducted.
 - Describe all safety measures to minimize and/or eliminate risks to human subjects and study personnel or to manage unpreventable risks. Include safeguards and planned responses such as dose reduction or stopping criteria based on toxicity grading scales or other predetermined alert values.
 - Discuss the overall plan for provision of emergency care or treatment for an adverse event for study-related injuries, to include who will be responsible for the cost of such care.
 - Address any special precautions to be taken by the human subjects before, during, and after the study (e.g., medication washout periods, dietary restrictions, hydration, fasting, pregnancy prevention).
 - Describe any special care (e.g., wound dressing assistance, transportation due to side effects of study intervention impairing ability to drive) or equipment (e.g., thermometers, telemedicine equipment) needed for human subjects enrolled in the study.
 - **Potential benefits:** Describe known and potential benefits of the study to the human subject, a specific community, or society.
- **Attachment 10: Intervention (no page limit):** Upload as “Intervention.pdf.” The Intervention attachment should include the components listed below.
 - a. **Description of the Intervention:** As applicable, the description of the intervention should include the following components: complete name and composition, storage and handling information, source, dose, schedule,

administration route, washout period, duration of the intervention, and concomitant medications allowed. Description of devices should include detailed operational instructions, any potential risks to users, and intended benefits. Other types of interventions should be fully described.

Summarize key preclinical pharmacological findings, dosage studies, and other clinical studies (if applicable) that examine the safety of the intervention.

- b. Study Procedures:** Describe the interaction with the human subject to include the study intervention that he/she will experience. Provide sufficient detail in chronological order for a person uninvolved in the study to understand what the human subject will experience. Provide a schedule (e.g., flowchart or diagram) of study evaluations and follow-up procedures. Discuss how compliance with Good Laboratory Practices, Good Manufacturing Practices, and other regulatory considerations will be established, monitored, and maintained, as applicable.
 - c. Clinical Monitoring Plan:** Describe how the study will be conducted by and monitored for ICH E6 (International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use) Good Clinical Practices compliance, by an independent clinical trial monitor (or clinical research associate). The monitoring plan should describe the types of monitoring visits to be conducted, the intervals (based on level of risk), how corrective actions will be reported to the Sponsor and PI, and how they will be corrected and prevented by the clinical trial site/PI.
- **Attachment 11: Data Management (no page limit):** Upload as “Data_Manage.pdf.” The Data Management attachment should include the components listed below.
 - a. Data Management:** Describe all methods used for data collection to include the following:
 - **Identifiers:** Describe the unique identifiers or specific code system to be used to identify human subjects, if applicable.
 - **Confidentiality:** Explain measures taken to protect the privacy of study human subjects and maintain confidentiality of study data. Strategies to protect the privacy and confidentiality of study records, particularly those containing identifying information, should be addressed.
 - Address who will have access to study records, data, and specimens, including an acknowledgment that representatives of USAMRMC are eligible to review study records.
 - Address requirements for reporting sensitive information to state or local authorities.
 - **Data capture, verification, and disposition:** Describe how data will be captured and verified. Describe where data (both electronic and hard copy) will be stored, who will keep the data, how the data will be stored, the process for locking the database at study completion, and the length of time data will be stored. Describe the proposed database, how it will be

developed and validated, and its capability to safeguard and maintain the integrity of the data. For FDA-regulated studies, compliance with the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, part 11 (21 CFR 11) is required.

- **Sharing study results:** In cases where the human subject could possibly benefit medically or otherwise from the information, explain whether or not the results of screening and/or study participation will be shared with human subjects or their primary care provider, to include results from any screening or diagnostic tests performed as part of the study.

b. Laboratory Evaluations:

- **Specimens to be collected, schedule, and amount:** All specimens that will be collected for study purposes must be clearly stated. The collection schedule and amount of material collected must also be clearly described.
 - **Evaluations to be made:** Describe all evaluations that will be made for study purposes. Explain how the results of laboratory evaluations will be used to meet the objectives of the study (or to monitor safety of human subjects).
 - **Storage:** Describe specimen storage, to include location of storage, how long specimens will be stored, any special conditions required, labeling, and disposition. Outline the plan to store specimens for future use to include considerations for informed consent and providing human subjects with an opportunity to decline participation in the study.
 - **Labs performing evaluations and special precautions:** Identify the laboratory performing each evaluation, as well as any special precautions that should be taken in handling the samples. Special precautions that should be taken by the human subject before, during, or after the laboratory procedure should be clearly defined. If transport of samples is required, describe provisions for ensuring proper storage during transport.
- **Attachment 12: Study Personnel and Organization (no page limit):** Start each document on a new page. Combine into one document and upload as “Personnel.pdf.” The Study Personnel and Organization attachment should include the components listed below.
 - a. Organizational Chart:** Provide an organizational chart identifying key members of the study team including institution/center/department and name each person’s position on the project. A medical monitor (external to the study and not reimbursed by the study) and study coordinator(s) should be included. If applicable, include any external consultants or other experts who will assist with FDA applications. While there is no specified format for this information, a table(s) or diagram is recommended. Note: This item may be made available for programmatic review.
 - b. Study Personnel Description:** Briefly describe the roles of the individuals listed in the organizational chart on the project. Describe relevant experience and qualifications that demonstrate appropriate expertise for the given role.

- c. **Study Management Plan:** Provide a plan for ensuring the standardization of procedures among staff and across sites (if applicable). If the proposed clinical trial is multi-institutional, plans for communication and data transfer between the collaborating institutions, as well as how data, specimens, and/or imaging products obtained during the study will be handled, should be included. Provide a plan for real-time communication among collaborating institutions (if applicable).
 - **Attachment 13: Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments, if applicable (no page limit):** Upload as “Surveys.pdf.” The Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments attachment should include a copy of the most recent version of surveys, questionnaires, data collection forms, rating scales, interview guides, or other instruments. For each instrument, describe how the information collected is related to the objectives of the study.
 - **Attachment 14: IND/IDE Documentation, if applicable:** If submitting multiple documents, start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named “IND-IDE.pdf.”
 - Complete the IND/IDE Documentation Form, which is available for download on the Full Announcement page for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov.
 - If an Investigation New Drug (IND) or Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) application has been submitted, provide an explanation of the status of the IND or IDE application (e.g., past the critical 30-day period, pending response to questions raised by the Agency, on clinical hold). Provide a summary of previous meetings with the FDA on development of this product, if appropriate. A copy of the Agency meeting minutes should be included if available. Provide copies of communications from the FDA relevant to the most recent status of the IND or IDE application.
 - If the IND or IDE application is not yet submitted, describe the strategy to meet requirements for FDA approval. This includes what type of filing is anticipated, use of appropriate animal models or other information that demonstrates that this step is being considered in the experimental design.
 - If an IND or IDE is not required for the proposed study, provide evidence in the form of communication from the FDA or the IRB of record to that effect.
- 3. Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.3., for detailed information.
- PI Biographical Sketch (four-page limit): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
 - PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”

- Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (four-page limit each): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
 - Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.” Provide the time commitment of the PI on each of the projects.
4. **Research & Related Budget:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information.
 - Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf.”
 5. **Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for detailed information.
 6. **R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.6., for detailed information.

D. Verification of Grants.gov Application in eBRAP

For FY14, a new process has been initiated whereby organizational representatives and PIs can view their applications as submitted through Grants.gov and prior to peer review of the application. This will enable applicants to make modifications prior to scientific and programmatic evaluation of applications, provided the modifications are made by either the end of the application verification period or, for changes to the Project Narrative or Budget, by the application submission deadline.

After application submission to Grants.gov, eBRAP will retrieve and validate the application submission. eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI via email and instruct them to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the application. Files that fail eBRAP validation will be noted in both the email and in the Full Application Files tab. eBRAP does not validate the accuracy or completeness of content in the files. PIs are strongly encouraged to review all application components. If either the Project Narrative or the Budget fail eBRAP validation, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov prior to the application submission deadline, which occurs earlier than the end of the application verification period. ***The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline. Any other application component cannot be changed after the end of the application verification period.***

E. Submission Dates and Times

All submission dates and times are indicated on the [title page](#) of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in application rejection.

F. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for detailed formatting guidelines.

All applications must be submitted through Grants.gov. Applicant organizations and all subrecipient organizations must have a DUNS number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the SAM with an “Active” status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, for information on Grants.gov requirements.

III. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. Application Review and Selection Process

All applicants are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumer advocates using a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review of applications against established criteria for determining technical merit. The second tier is a programmatic review that makes recommendations for funding to the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, based on (a) technical merit and (b) the relevance to the mission of the DoD and CDMRP and to the specific intent of the RTR Award mechanism. The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at <http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/fundingprocess>. All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a non-disclosure statement that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization’s application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment from federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with Title 18 United States Code 1905.

B. Application Review Process

1. Peer Review: To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

- **Research Strategy and Feasibility**

- How well the scientific rationale supports the project as demonstrated by critical review and analysis of the literature, and/or laboratory/preclinical evidence.
- How well the hypothesis or objectives, aims, experimental design, methods, and analyses are developed for each project.
- The overall likelihood of success that the proposed SOW can be completed in the time and manner it was proposed.

- **Impact**
 - How well the proposed research will make original and important contributions toward the goal of advancing RT or patient care.
 - How the proposed research will impact one or more of the FY14 RTR Award Focus Areas or proposed unique focus area. How the importance of the proposed research in advancing RT is demonstrated.
- **Military Benefit**
 - How relevant the anticipated outcomes of the proposed research are to military Service Members and Veterans recovering from traumatic injury.
 - How well the research model, either animal or human, represents the targeted patient population that might benefit from the proposed intervention.
 - The potential immediate and/or long-term benefits of the proposed research on the health and well-being of Service Members, Veterans, and/or their families or communities.
- **Statistical Plan**
 - To what degree the statistical model and data analysis plan are suitable for the planned study.
 - How the statistical plan, including sample size projections and power analysis, is adequate for the study and all proposed correlative studies.

Applications that include a clinical trial will also be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

- **Clinical Impact**
 - How relevant the anticipated outcomes of the proposed clinical trial are to individuals undergoing reconstructive transplantation.
 - How well the sample population represents the targeted patient population that might benefit from the proposed intervention.
 - How the potential outcomes of the proposed clinical trial will benefit individuals in the short term.
 - How significantly the long-term benefits for implementation of the intervention may impact patient care and/or quality of life.
- **Intervention (if applicable)**
 - Whether there is evidence of support, indicating availability of the intervention from its source, for the duration of the proposed clinical research (if applicable).
 - How well the PI addresses the availability of human subjects for the clinical research and the prospect of their participation.
 - To what degree the intervention addresses the clinical need(s) described.
 - To what degree the PI has provided preclinical and/or clinical evidence to support the safety of the intervention.

- How the intervention compares with currently available interventions and/or standards of care.
- Whether a member of the study team holds the IND/IDE and whether the timeline proposed for IND/IDE application is appropriate (if applicable).
- For investigator-sponsored INDs, whether there is institutional support to serve as a sponsor and whether they are equipped to assume the monitoring required by the FDA.
- To what degree the data collection instruments (e.g., surveys, questionnaires), if applicable, are appropriate to the proposed study.
- Whether measures are described to ensure the consistency of dosing of active ingredients for nutritional supplements (if applicable).

In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

- **Personnel**

- Whether the composition of the study team is appropriate.
- To what degree the study team's background and expertise are appropriate to accomplish the proposed work (e.g., statistical expertise, expertise in the injury, including preclinical and clinical studies).

- **Environment**

- To what degree the scientific environment, clinical setting, and the accessibility of institutional resources support the research
- Whether there is evidence for appropriate institutional commitment.
- If applicable, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.

- **Budget**

- Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research and within the limitations of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

- **Application Presentation**

- To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

2. Programmatic Review: To make funding recommendations, the following equally considered criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:

- a. **Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers**

- b. **Relevance to the mission of the DHP, CRM RP, and FY14 RTR, as evidenced by the following:**

- Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
- Program portfolio composition

- Military relevance
- Relative impact

C. Recipient Qualification

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1.

D. Application Review Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated on the [title page](#) of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

E. Notification of Application Review Results

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

After receipt of applications from Grants.gov, the following administrative actions may occur:

A. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- LOI was not submitted.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.
- Submission of the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.

B. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.
- Following application submission to Grants.gov, the PI will receive an email request from eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the application submitted to Grants.gov. During this verification period, the PI may upload missing documents (excluding those listed in [Section IV.A., Rejection](#)), replace files, and re-categorize files. These modifications must be completed by the end of the application verification period; otherwise, the application will be reviewed as submitted.

C. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the application:

- A FY14 JPC-8 Regenerative Medicine Working Group member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. A list of the JPC-8 Regenerative Medicine Working Group members can be found at <http://cdmrp.army.mil/dmrdp/panels/rtrapanel14>.
- The application fails to conform to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity description to the extent that appropriate review cannot be conducted.
- Direct costs as shown on the Research & Related Budget exceed the maximum allowed by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- Inclusion of any employee of CDMRP review contractors in applications for funding without adequate plans to mitigate conflicts of interest. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for detailed information.
- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.

D. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending institutional investigation. The institution will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.

V. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Award Notice

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2015. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for additional award administration information.

Any assistance instrument awarded under this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity will be governed by the award terms and conditions, which conform to DoD's implementation of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars applicable to financial assistance. Terms and conditions of new awards made after December 26, 2014 may include revisions to reflect DoD implementation of new OMB guidance in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 2, Part

200, “Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards” (2 CFR part 200).

B. Administrative Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4 for general information regarding administrative requirements.

C. National Policy Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5 for general information regarding national policy requirements.

D. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section J, for general information on reporting requirements.

Quarterly technical progress reports will be required.

E. Award Transfers

Changes in PI are not allowed, except under extenuating circumstances that will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and at the discretion of the Grants Officer.

The institution transfer of an award supporting a clinical trial is strongly discouraged and in most cases will not be allowed. Approval of a transfer request will be on a case-by-case basis at the discretion of the Grants Officer.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section M, for general information on organization or PI changes.

VI. AGENCY CONTACTS

A. CDMRP Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the submission of the pre-application through eBRAP should be directed to the CDMRP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507

Email: help@eBRAP.org

B. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. federal holidays). Note that the CDMRP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726

Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the application package. If the application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

VII. APPLICATION SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Grants.gov Application Components	Action	Completed
SF-424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance	Complete form as instructed.	
Attachments Form	Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name "ProjectNarrative.pdf."	
	Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name "Support.pdf."	
	Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name "TechAbs.pdf."	
	Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name "LayAbs.pdf."	
	Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name "SOW.pdf."	
	Impact Statement: Upload as Attachment 6 with the file name "Impact.pdf."	
	Military Relevance Statement: Upload as Attachment 7 with the file name "Military.pdf."	
	Animal Research Plan. Upload as Attachment 8 with the file name "AnimalPlan.pdf," if applicable.	
	Human Subject Recruitment and Safety Procedures: Upload as Attachment 9 with the file name "HumSubProc.pdf," if applicable.	
	Intervention: Upload as Attachment 10 with the file name "Intervention.pdf" if applicable.	
	Data Management: Upload as Attachment 11 with the file name "Data_Manage.pdf" if applicable.	
	Study Personnel and Organization: Upload as Attachment 12 with the file name "Personnel.pdf" if applicable.	
	Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments: Upload as Attachment 13 with the file name "Surveys.pdf" if applicable.	
	IND/IDE Documentation: Upload as Attachment 14 with the file name "IND-IDE.pdf" if applicable.	
Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)	Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
	Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
	Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.	
	Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.	
Research & Related Budget	Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form	Complete form as instructed.	
R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form	Complete form as instructed.	