

Program Announcement

for the

Defense Health Program

Defense Medical Research and Development Program

Department of Defense

Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs

Peer Reviewed Orthopaedic

Outcomes Research Award

Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-14-PRORP-ORA

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 12.420

SUBMISSION AND REVIEW DATES AND TIMES

- **Pre-Application Deadline:** 5:00 p.m. Eastern time (ET), June 27, 2014
- **Invitation to Submit an Application:** August 2014
- **Application Submission Deadline:** 11:59 p.m. ET, October 24, 2014
- **End of Application Verification Period:** 5:00 p.m. ET, October 29, 2014
- **Peer Review:** December 2014
- **Programmatic Review:** February 2015

Change for Fiscal Year 2014: The CDMRP eReceipt System has been replaced with the Electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP). Principal Investigators and organizational representatives should register in eBRAP as soon as possible. All pre-applications must be submitted through eBRAP. In addition, applications submitted through Grants.gov will now be available for viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP prior to the end of the application verification period.

This Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is one of two documents with instructions to prepare and submit an application for this funding opportunity. The second document, the General Application Instructions, is available for downloading from Grants.gov.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Funding Opportunity Description.....	3
A. Program Description	3
B. Award Information.....	3
C. Eligibility Information	6
D. Funding	6
II. Submission Information	8
A. Where to Obtain the Application Package.....	8
B. Pre-Application Submission and Content Form	8
C. Application Submission Content and Forms	11
D. Verification of Grants.gov Application in eBRAP	16
E. Submission Dates and Times	17
F. Other Submission Requirements.....	17
III. Application Review Information	17
A. Application Review and Selection Process.....	17
B. Application Review Process	18
C. Recipient Qualification	20
D. Application Review Dates	20
E. Notification of Application Review Results	20
IV. Administrative Actions.....	20
A. Rejection	20
B. Modification.....	21
C. Withdrawal.....	21
D. Withhold	22
V. Award Administration Information.....	22
A. Award Notice	22
B. Administrative Requirements	22
C. National Policy Requirements	22
D. Reporting.....	22
E. Award Transfers.....	22
VI. Agency Contacts.....	23
A. CDMRP Help Desk.....	23
B. Grants.gov Contact Center.....	23
VII. Application Submission Checklist.....	24

I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2014 (FY14) Peer Reviewed Orthopaedic Research Program (PRORP) are being solicited for the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, Defense Health Program (DHP), by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisitions Activity (USAMRAA). The PRORP was initiated in 2009 to support research focused on optimizing recovery and restoration of function for military personnel with orthopaedic injuries sustained in combat or combat-related activities. Appropriations for the PRORP from FY09 through FY13 totaled \$218.5 million (M). The FY14 appropriation is \$30M.

The FY14 PRORP challenges the scientific community to address the most significant gaps in care for the leading burden of injury and loss of fitness for military duty by funding innovative, high-impact, clinically relevant research to advance optimal treatment and rehabilitation from musculoskeletal injuries sustained during combat or combat-related activities. It is expected that any research findings would also provide benefit to the general population. Applications involving multidisciplinary collaborations among academia, industry, the military services, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), and other federal Government agencies are highly encouraged.

B. Award Information

The PRORP Outcomes Research Award is being offered for the first time in FY14. It is intended to support research that evaluates the effectiveness and functional outcomes of health care practices and interventions for traumatic military or Veteran amputee and/or limb salvage patients with the potential to impact the standard of care and contribute to evidence-based policy or guidelines for patient evaluation and care. The goal is to better understand the experiences, health, functional abilities, and quality of life of individuals who receive treatment for combat-related orthopaedic injuries, and thereby identify the most effective diagnosis, treatment, rehabilitation, and prevention options available to support critical decision-making for patients, clinicians, other caregivers, and policymakers. Proposed projects should be designed to provide information about the impact, advantages, disadvantages, and risks of specific health care practices and interventions. Studies are sought that:

- Compare different standard of care approaches;
- Include patient-centric outcome assessments;
- Have the potential to provide new knowledge, new clinical practice guidelines, and/or new prescription algorithms that can be disseminated to care providers and patients; and/or;
- Provide information on patient quality of life, reintegration, and/or return to duty.

All studies must demonstrate direct relevance to traumatic military or Veteran amputee and/or limb salvage patients. Examples of studies that are appropriate for submission to the PRORP Outcomes Research Award include, but are not limited to, examination of the ***functional and patient-centered outcomes*** associated with:

- Comparison of different surgical approaches and techniques;
- Research on the optimal timing for surgical interventions;

- Determination of treatment algorithms to match patients with the best treatments or assistive devices for their condition;
- Evaluation of available prosthetics, orthotics, or other assistive devices, including determination of the optimal device and/or timing of device introduction;
- Comparison of approved/accepted therapeutic approaches (drugs, biologic agents);
- Evaluation of different approaches to physical and/or occupational therapy;
- Research on the optimal timing for physical and occupational therapies;
- Management of secondary complications from primary traumatic orthopaedic injury.

Studies may also be proposed that consider factors related to health care delivery and clinical decision-making such as cost, accessibility, barriers to care, adoption of medical policy, and patient preferences. Research on any aspect of health care for amputee and limb salvage patients who sustained traumatic orthopaedic injuries may be considered, provided there is a direct relevance to combat-related injury. Studies should have a clinical focus, and may include methodologies and designs such as surveys, retrospective data analyses, meta-analyses, simulation modeling, longitudinal observation, cross sectional observation, case control, or qualitative research study designs. Collaboration with military researchers and clinicians is encouraged, and joint DoD-VA longitudinal studies are particularly sought. ***Studies that include active duty military or Veteran participants as all or a portion of the study population or data set will be given higher priority for funding during programmatic review.***

Preliminary or published data relevant to the proposed research project is required.

Laboratory research to develop or refine new technology or establish efficacy/effectiveness of novel interventions or diagnostic agents is not allowed. Investigators seeking support of laboratory research should utilize the FY14 PRORP Idea Development Award (W81XWH-14-PRORP-IDA) for innovative, early stage research, or the FY14 PRORP Translational Research Award (W81XWH-14-PRORP-TRA) for mature, translational research. In addition, ***clinical trials are not allowed*** under the FY14 PRORP Outcomes Research Award, though correlative studies to ongoing clinical trials are permitted. Investigators seeking support for a clinical trial should utilize the FY14 PRORP Clinical Trial Award (for conduct of the trial; W81XWH-14-PRORP-CTA) or Clinical Trial Development Award (for planning of the trial; W81XWH-14-PRORP-CTDA) mechanisms. A clinical trial is defined as a prospective accrual of human subjects where an intervention (e.g., device, drug, biologic, surgical procedure, rehabilitative modality, behavioral intervention or other) is tested on a human subject for a measurable outcome with respect to exploratory information, safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy. This outcome represents a direct effect on the human subject of that intervention or interaction. For more information on how to distinguish clinical research from clinical trials, see the Human Subject Resource Document at <https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program>

Use of Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human Cadavers: All Department of Defense (DoD)-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USAMRMC) Office of Research Protections (ORP), Human Research Protection Office (HRPO), in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) of record. Local IRB approval at the time of submission is ***not*** required. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and requirements governing all research involving human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers that is

supported by the DoD. These laws and requirements will necessitate information in addition to that supplied to the IRB. Allow a minimum of 2 to 3 months for HRPO regulatory review and approval processes. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 6, for additional information.

Use of Active Duty Military and VA Populations: If the proposed research plan involves access to active duty military and/or VA patient populations or resources, the PI is responsible for establishing such access. If possible, access to target active duty military and/or VA patient populations/resources should be confirmed at the time of application submission by inclusion of a letter of support, signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority, for studies involving active duty military service members, Veterans, military and/or VA controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases. If access cannot be confirmed at the time of application submission, the Government reserves the right to withhold or revoke funding until the PI has demonstrated support for and access to the relevant population(s) and/or resources. Note that access to a Veteran population for clinical studies may only be obtained by either collaboration with a VA investigator where the VA investigator has a substantial role in the research, or by advertising to the general public.

Encouraged DoD Collaboration and Alignment: Military relevance is a key feature of this award. Therefore, PIs are strongly encouraged to collaborate, integrate, and/or align their projects with military and/or VA research laboratories and programs. Although not a comprehensive list, the following websites may be useful in identifying information about ongoing DoD areas of research interest.

Air Force Research Laboratory
<http://www.wpafb.af.mil/afri>

Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine
Research Program
<https://crmrp.amedd.army.mil>

Combat Casualty Care Research Program
<https://ccc.amedd.army.mil>

Congressionally Directed Medical Research
Programs
<http://cdmrp.army.mil>

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
<http://www.darpa.mil/>

Defense Medical Research and Development
Program
<http://dmrdp.fhpr.osd.mil/home.aspx>

Defense Technical Information Center
<http://www.dtic.mil>

Military Infectious Disease Research Program
<https://midrp.amedd.army.mil>

Military Operational Medicine Research Program
<https://momrp.amedd.army.mil>

Naval Health Research Center
<http://www.med.navy.mil/sites/nhrc>

Navy and Marine Corps Public Health Center
<http://www.nmcphc.med.navy.mil/>

Office of Naval Research
<http://www.med.navy.mil/>

Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for
Acquisition, Technology and Logistics
<http://www.acq.osd.mil/>

U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity
<https://www.usamraa.army.mil/>

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
<https://mrmc.amedd.army.mil>

U.S. Army Research Laboratory
<http://www.arl.army.mil>

U.S. Department of Defense Blast Injury
Research Program
<https://blastinjuryresearch.amedd.army.mil/>

U.S. Naval Research Laboratory
<http://www.nrl.navy.mil>

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of
Research and Development
<http://www.research.va.gov>

Walter Reed Army Institute of Research
<http://wrair-www.army.mil>

The Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP) intends that data and research resources generated under awards funded by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity be made available to the research community (which includes both scientific and consumer advocacy communities) and to the public at large. For additional guidance, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section L.

C. Eligibility Information

- Independent investigators at all academic levels (or equivalent) are eligible to submit applications.
- Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.
- Eligible investigators must apply through an organization. Organizations eligible to apply include national, international, for-profit, non-profit, public, and private organizations.
- Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for general eligibility information.

D. Funding

- The maximum period of performance is **5** years.
- The maximum allowable total costs for the entire period of performance are **\$2.5M**.
- All direct and indirect costs of any subaward (subgrant or subcontract) must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.
- The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum **5** years.
- Regardless of the period of performance proposed, the applicant may not exceed the maximum allowable total costs. Indirect costs shall be proposed in accordance with the organization's negotiated rate agreement.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. *For all federal agencies or organizations collaborating with federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in Section II.C.4. of the General Application Instructions.*

For this award mechanism, direct costs:

Must be requested for:

- Travel costs of up to \$1,800 for the PI to disseminate project results at one DoD-sponsored meeting to be specified by the CDMRP during the award performance period. These travel costs are in addition to those allowed for annual scientific/technical meetings.

May be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary
- Research supplies
- Equipment
- Clinical research costs
- Travel between collaborating organizations
- Travel costs of up to \$1,800 per year to attend scientific/technical meetings in addition to the required meeting described above

Intramural (DoD), other federal agency, and extramural investigators are encouraged to apply to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. An intramural investigator is defined as a DoD military or civilian employee working within a DoD laboratory or medical treatment facility, or working in a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. Intramural applicants and collaborators are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective resource managers. It is permissible for an intramural investigator to be named as a collaborator on an application submitted by an extramural investigator. In such cases, the extramural investigator must include a letter from the intramural collaborator's Commander or Commanding Officer that authorizes the involvement of the intramural collaborator.

As required of all applicants to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity, if PIs from federal agencies submit applications, they must submit through Grants.gov. Therefore, federal applicants must be familiar with Grants.gov requirements, including the need for an active System for Award Management (SAM) registration and a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. Refer to Appendix 3 of the General Application Instructions for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

Awards to extramural organizations will consist solely of assistance agreements (Cooperative Agreements and Grants). Awards to intramural agencies and other federal agencies will be executed through the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR) or Funding Authorization Document (FAD) process. Direct transfer of funds from the recipient to a federal agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4. Research & Related Budget, for additional information on budget considerations for applications involving federal agencies.

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately \$5.0M of the \$30M FY14 appropriation to fund approximately two Outcomes Research Award applications, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is contingent upon the availability of federal funds for this program.

II. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Submission is a two-step process requiring both (1) pre-application submission through the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) (<https://eBRAP.org/>) and (2) application submission through Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov/>).

New for FY14: *The CDMRP has replaced its eReceipt System with eBRAP.* Submission remains a two-step process requiring both pre-application and application submission.

PIs must be registered in eBRAP in order to submit a pre-application and receive notification of the status of a pre-application or application. A key feature of eBRAP is that an organization's representatives and PIs are able to view and modify the Grants.gov application submissions associated with them, but only if the organization, Business Officials, and PIs are registered and affiliated to the organization in eBRAP (see *eBRAP User Guide* at <https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/UserGuide.pdf>). Upon completion of an organization's registration in eBRAP and approval by the CDMRP Help Desk, the organization name will be displayed in eBRAP to assist the organization's business officials and PIs as they register.

Note: Submission of either the pre-application to eBRAP or application to Grants.gov does not require registering an organization and affiliating its Business Officials and PIs in eBRAP; however, the ability to view and modify the Grants.gov application in eBRAP is contingent upon the registration and affiliation. ***Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP is strongly recommended, but not required. The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline. Any other application component cannot be changed after the end of the application verification period.*** If verification is not completed by the end of the application verification period, the application will be reviewed as submitted through Grants.gov, provided there is no cause for administrative rejection of the application (see [Section IV.A., Rejection](#)).

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application.

A. Where to Obtain the Application Package

To obtain the complete application package, including all required forms, perform a Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov/>) basic search using the Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-14-PRORP-ORA.

B. Pre-Application Submission and Content Form

All pre-application components must be submitted by the PI through eBRAP (<https://eBRAP.org/>). Because the invitation to submit an application is based on the contents of the pre-application, investigators should not change the title or research objectives after the pre-application is submitted.

PIs and organizations identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for additional information on pre-application submission):

- **Application Information – Tab 1**
- **Application Contacts – Tab 2**
 - It is recommended that PIs identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.
- **Collaborators and Conflicts of Interest (COI) – Tab 3**

FY14 PRORP Steering Committee (SC) members should not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to SC members and pre-applications or applications, refer to [Section IV.C., Withdrawal](#), or contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

- **Required Files – Tab 4**

Notes: Upload document(s) as individual PDF files unless otherwise noted. eBRAP will not allow a file to be uploaded if the number of pages exceeds the limit specified below.

Preproposal Narrative (two-page limit): The Preproposal Narrative page limit applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Preproposal Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application.

The Preproposal Narrative should include the following:

- **Research Idea:** Identify the major research problem to be studied and objective(s) to be reached. Describe the ideas and reasoning on which the proposed research is based; include relevant literature citations.
- **Study Design:** State the specific aims of the study. Briefly describe the research methods that are proposed, and how they will yield trustworthy, credible, robust and confirmable results. Outline the timeline for the proposed project, including major milestones. Identify the data to be analyzed and/or the patient population to be engaged during the study, and how patient-centric information will be gathered.
- **Impact:** State explicitly how the proposed research project provides information about the impact, advantages, disadvantages, and risks of specific health care practices and interventions for traumatic amputee and/or limb salvage patients. Describe the potential for the study to impact the standard of care, provide information on outcomes that are important to patients, and/or contribute to evidence-based policy or guidelines for patient evaluation and care.

- **Military Benefit:** Describe how the proposed research addresses a critical question or issue in the care of military service members and Veterans who sustain combat or combat-related orthopaedic injuries, and how it will benefit them in the near-term and/or long-term. Discuss how the study will progress toward the goal of better understanding the experiences and outcomes of military service members and Veterans who receive medical treatment for combat-related orthopaedic injuries.

Pre-Application Supporting Documentation: The items to be included as supporting documentation for the pre-application *must be uploaded as individual documents* and are limited to:

- References Cited (one-page limit): List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Preproposal Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
 - List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols used in the Preproposal Narrative.
 - Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (four-page limit per individual).
- **Submit Pre-Application – Tab 5**

This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.

Pre-Application Screening

- **Pre-Application Screening Criteria**

To determine the technical merits of the pre-application and the relevance to the mission of the DHP and the PRORP, pre-applications will be screened based on the following criteria:

- **Research Idea:** The degree to which the proposed research addresses the intent of the award mechanism. How well the rationale supports the research idea.
- **Study Design:** To what extent the research methods proposed are appropriate to address the research question, and will yield trustworthy, credible, robust, and confirmable results. To what extent the timeline proposed is realistic. Whether plans to analyze existing data or recruit a patient population(s) are appropriate.
- **Impact:** How well the proposed research will provide information about the advantages, disadvantages, and risks of specific health care practices and interventions. The extent to which the study has the potential to impact standard care, provide information on outcomes that are important to patients, and/or contribute to evidence-based policy or guidelines.
- **Military Benefit:** How the proposed work, if successful, would advance research toward providing a significant benefit to military service members and Veterans who have sustained combat-related orthopaedic injuries. To what extent the study has the potential to assist in better understanding the experiences and outcomes of these individuals.

- **Notification of Pre-Application Screening Results**

Following the pre-application screening, PIs will be notified as to whether or not they are invited to submit applications; however, they will not receive feedback (e.g., a critique of strengths and weaknesses) on their pre-application. The estimated timeframe for notification of invitation to submit an application is indicated on the [title page](#) of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

C. Application Submission Content and Forms

Applications will not be accepted unless the PI has received notification of invitation.

Each application submission must include the completed application package of forms and attachments provided in Grants.gov for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. The application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through the Grants.gov portal (<http://www.grants.gov/>).

New for FY14: *Applications submitted through and validated by Grants.gov will be retrieved and processed by eBRAP to allow for review, modification, and verification.* The PI and organizational representatives will receive an email request from eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the application submitted to Grants.gov. During this verification period, the PI may upload missing files (excluding those listed in [Section IV.A., Rejection](#)), replace files, and re-categorize files. These modifications must be completed by the end of the verification period.

Note: *Changes to either the Project Narrative or Budget are not allowed in eBRAP;* if such changes are required, the entire application package must be submitted through Grants.gov as a “Changed/Corrected Application” with the Previous Grants.gov Tracking ID *prior to the application submission deadline (which occurs earlier than the end of the application verification period).*

Grants.gov application package components: For the Outcome Research Award, the Grants.gov application package includes the following components (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for additional information on application submission):

1. **SF 424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.
2. **Attachments Form**
 - **Attachment 1: Project Narrative (12-page limit):** Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf.” The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

Describe the proposed project in detail using the outline below. *Preliminary or published data are required.*

- **Background:** Describe the ideas and reasoning on which the proposed research project is based. Cite relevant literature, and describe previous experience most pertinent to this project, including data from pilot studies, if applicable.
- **Research Problem:** Discuss the research problem and why it is important. Identify the outcomes to be studied and the objective(s) to be reached.
- **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project's specific aims. If the proposed research project is part of a larger study, present only the tasks that the PRORP award would support.
- **Study Design:** Describe the proposed study design, methods (including sampling, collection, interviewing, and recording/documentation methods), and analyses, including appropriate controls, in sufficient detail for analysis. Identify how the research methods adhere to relevant accepted best practices or standards. The methods and analyses should be systematic, rigorous, and appropriate to address the research question. If applicable, procedures used for interviewing and developing the rules for coding should be systematic and rigorous enough for duplication by other investigators. If methods will evolve from and be informed by the research itself, such as the use of snowball sampling techniques, describe how the rigor of these processes will be maintained. Describe the plan for documentation of procedures, decisions, and rationale for decisions made, which should support consistency, dependability, and duplicability of results. Describe the steps taken to control biases and preconceptions. Describe the data analysis plan for the research proposed and discuss how these methods are appropriate. Explain how the project's proposed design and analyses will yield trustworthy, credible, and confirmable results, and articulate specific benchmarks to ensure the research is progressing in an efficient, timely, and thorough manner. Address potential problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches. *This award may not be used to conduct laboratory research to develop or refine new technology or establish efficacy/effectiveness of novel interventions or diagnostic agents.*
- **Patient Population:** If human subjects will be used, include a detailed plan for the recruitment of subjects. Describe the study population, including inclusion and exclusion criteria. Identify participant subgroups, if applicable. Clearly describe the statistical plan and the rationale for the statistical methodology as well as an appropriate power analysis, if applicable. *This award may not be used to conduct clinical trials.*
- **Personnel:** Describe the experience and capabilities of the PI and key personnel, and how the study team has the appropriate expertise to conduct the proposed study. If the proposed research is multi-institutional, provide a communication plan and outline how the workflow will be managed to optimize the research effort.

- **Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation.** Start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf.” If documents are scanned to pdf, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. *There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested may result in the removal of those items or administrative withdrawal of the application.*
 - References Cited: List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
 - List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.
 - Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether or not Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present Government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.
 - Publications and/or Patent Abstracts (five-document limit): Include relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly available, then a copy/copies of the published manuscript(s) must be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.
 - Letters of Organizational Support: Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable), signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project.
 - Letters of Collaboration: Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work.
 - Letters Confirming Access to Military or VA Patient Populations or Resources (if applicable): If the proposed research plan involves access to active duty military and/or VA patient populations or resources, include a letter of support, signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority, confirming such access. If access cannot be confirmed at the time of application submission, the Government reserves the right to withhold or revoke funding until the PI has demonstrated support for and access to the relevant population(s) and/or resources.
 - Intellectual Property
 - Background and Proprietary Information: All software and data first produced under the award are subject to a federal purpose license in accordance with applicable DoD Grant and Agreement Regulations (DoDGAR) requirements. Provide a list of all background intellectual property to be used in the project. If applicable, state and identify the

proprietary information that will be provided to the Government and indicate whether the applicant will require a waiver of the federal purpose license.

- Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.
- o Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section L for more information about the CDMRP's expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.

- **Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit):** Upload as "TechAbs.pdf."

The technical abstract is used by all reviewers as a description of the project's key aspects. Therefore, clarity and completeness within the space limits of the technical abstract are highly important.

Technical abstracts should be written using the following outline:

- o Background: State the research problem or question to be addressed, and present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work. Describe the overall goal(s) and objective(s) of the study.
 - o Specific Aims: State the specific aims of the study.
 - o Study Design: Provide a brief description of the data or population to be studied, the methods to be employed, and the outcomes to be examined.
 - o Impact: Describe how the study will evaluate the effectiveness of health care practices or interventions for amputee and/or limb salvage patients, and how it will provide evidence about the benefits, risks, and results of treatments so that patients, clinicians, caregivers, and policymakers can make informed decisions regarding patient evaluation and care.
 - o Military Benefit: State briefly how the proposed project, if successful, will have an impact on the lives of military service members and Veterans who have sustained orthopaedic injuries in combat or combat-related activities.
- **Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit):** Upload as "LayAbs.pdf."

Lay abstracts should be written using the following outline.

- o Describe the objectives and rationale for the application in a manner that will be *readily understood by readers without a background in science or medicine*.
 - Do not duplicate the technical abstract.
 - Outline the study outcomes and state briefly why they are important to patients.

- Describe the ultimate applicability of the research.
 - What types of patients will it help, and how will it help them?
 - What are the potential research and clinical applications, benefits, and risks?
 - What is the projected time it may take to achieve a clinically relevant outcome?
- Briefly describe how the proposed project will benefit military populations and impact combat-related orthopaedic research and/or patient care.
- **Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit):** Upload as “SOW.pdf.” Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.2., for detailed guidance on creating the SOW.

The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP “Program Announcement and Forms” web page (<https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm>). For the Outcomes Research Award mechanism, use the SOW format example titled “SOW (Statement of Work) Generic Format.” The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching.

- **Attachment 6: Military Benefit Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “MilBen.pdf.”

Describe the impact of this study on the lives of amputee and/or limb salvage military service members and/or Veterans. Discuss how the expected results of the proposed work will contribute to the goals of decreasing the clinical impact of these injuries and optimizing recovery and restoration of function. Outline how the proposed research will assess the functional outcomes and/or quality of life that contribute to the health and well-being of military service members and Veterans that sustained combat or combat-related orthopaedic injuries.

If an active duty military or Veteran population(s) or dataset(s) will be used in the proposed research project, describe the population(s)/dataset(s), the appropriateness of the population(s)/dataset(s) for the proposed study, and the feasibility of using the population(s)/dataset(s). If a non-military population(s) or dataset(s) will be used for the proposed research project, explain how the population(s)/dataset(s) simulates the targeted population/dataset (i.e., military services and/or the U.S. Veteran population). Show how the proposed study complements ongoing DoD areas of orthopaedic research interest.

- **Attachment 7: Impact Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Impact.pdf.”

Beyond the potential benefit of the research for military populations and Veterans, describe the impact of this study on the broad field of orthopaedic research and/or patient care. Provide a description of how the study will measure outcomes that are important to patients, such as functional status and well-being, and how it will provide information about the advantages, disadvantages, and risks of specific health care practices and interventions. Include an assessment of the likelihood that a successful outcome of the proposed research project will lead to a practical application in patients. Describe how the study has the potential to change the standard of care, contribute to the development or validation of evidence-based

policy or guidelines, or make other important contributions to health care practices, patient satisfaction, and quality of life.

Discuss how the results of the study might be expanded in future research, and describe how they will be disseminated. Indicate how stakeholders and patients may be engaged to contribute meaningfully to the conduct of the research and the dissemination of the findings.

- **Attachment 8: Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments, if applicable (no page limit):** Upload as “Surveys.pdf.” The Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments attachment should include a copy of the most recent version of surveys, questionnaires, data collection forms, rating scales, interview guides, or other instruments. For each instrument, describe how the information collected is related to the objectives of the study. Describe the PI’s prior experience using the proposed data collection tools and the psychometrics previously generated from their use.
3. **Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.3., for detailed information.
 - PI Biographical Sketch (four-page limit): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
 - PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”
 - Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (four-page limit each): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
 - Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”
 4. **Research & Related Budget:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information.
 - Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf.”
 5. **Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for detailed information.
 6. **R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.6., for detailed information.

D. Verification of Grants.gov Application in eBRAP

For FY14, a new process has been initiated whereby organizational representatives and PIs can view their applications as submitted through Grants.gov and prior to peer review of the application. This will enable applicants to make modifications prior to scientific and programmatic evaluation of applications, provided the modifications are made by either the end of the application verification period or, for changes to the Project Narrative or Budget, by the application submission deadline.

After application submission to Grants.gov, eBRAP will retrieve and validate the application submission. eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI via email and instruct them to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the application. Files that fail eBRAP validation will be noted in both the email and in the Full Application Files tab. eBRAP does not validate the accuracy or completeness of content in the files. PIs are strongly encouraged to review all application components. If either the Project Narrative or the Budget fail eBRAP validation, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov prior to the application submission deadline, which occurs earlier than the end of the application verification period. ***The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline. Any other application component cannot be changed after the end of the application verification period.***

E. Submission Dates and Times

All submission dates and times are indicated on the [title page](#) of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in application rejection.

F. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for detailed formatting guidelines.

All applications must be submitted through Grants.gov. Applicant organizations and all subrecipient organizations must have a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the System for Award Management (SAM) with an “Active” status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, for information on Grants.gov requirements.

III. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. Application Review and Selection Process

All applicants are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumer advocates using a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review of applications against established criteria for determining technical merit. The second tier is a programmatic review that makes recommendations for funding to the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Health Affairs, based on (a) technical merit and (b) the relevance to the mission of the DHP and PRORP and to the specific intent of the award mechanism. The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at <http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/fundingprocess>.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a non-disclosure statement that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the

applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization's application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment from federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with Title 18 United States Code 1905.

B. Application Review Process

1. Peer Review: To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

- **Research Strategy and Feasibility**

- How well the scientific rationale supports the project and its feasibility, as demonstrated by a critical review and analysis of the literature, logical reasoning, and the presentation of preliminary or published data (if applicable).
- To what extent the proposed study design is appropriate to address the research problem and reach the stated objectives.
- To what extent the methods proposed adhere to accepted best practices, minimize bias, and will yield trustworthy, credible, robust, and confirmable results.
- The extent to which the data analysis plan is appropriate and rigorous.
- If applicable, to what degree the statistical plan and power analysis are appropriate for the proposed project.
- How well the PI has described and articulated specific benchmarks to ensure the research is progressing in an efficient, timely, and thorough manner.
- How well the PI acknowledges potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.

For studies that involve recruitment of human subjects:

- To what extent the plan to recruit human subjects is appropriate and feasible.
- How well the project will obtain ongoing feedback from the participants, especially regarding interpretation of data and study conclusions.

- **Impact and Military Benefit**

- To what extent the project will study outcomes that are important to patients and that contribute to the health and well-being of military service members and Veterans that sustained combat or combat-related orthopaedic injuries.
- The degree to which the study will provide information about the impact, advantages, disadvantages, and risks of specific health care practices and interventions.

- To what extent the proposed project has the potential to impact standard of care, contribute to the development of evidence-based policy or guidelines, or make other important contributions to health care practices, patient satisfaction, and quality of life.
 - To what degree the proposed project could, either in the short-term or long-term, make a significant impact on the lives of traumatic military or Veteran amputee and/or limb salvage patients.
 - How well plans to engage patients, caregivers, and other stakeholders, if applicable, are integrated into the conduct of the study and dissemination of the results.
- **Personnel**
 - To what extent the relevant education, training, and experience of the PI and other key personnel are appropriate to accomplish the proposed research project.
 - How the levels of effort by the PI and other key personnel are appropriate to ensure success of this project.
 - How well the PI's record of achievement demonstrates his/her ability to accomplish the proposed research project.

In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

- **Environment**
 - The degree to which the scientific environment is appropriate for the proposed research.
 - How well the research requirements are supported by the availability of and accessibility to facilities and resources (including collaborative arrangements).
 - To what degree the quality and extent of institutional support are appropriate.
 - If applicable, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.
- **Budget**
 - Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research and within the limitations of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
- **Application Presentation**
 - To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

2. Programmatic Review: To make funding recommendations, the following criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:

a. Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers

b. Relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY14 PRORP, as evidenced by the following:

- Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
- Program portfolio composition]
- Programmatic relevance
- Relative impact and military benefit

C. Recipient Qualification

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1.

D. Application Review Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated on the [title page](#) of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

E. Notification of Application Review Results

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

After receipt of pre-applications from eBRAP or applications from Grants.gov, the following administrative actions may occur:

A. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application:

- Preproposal Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Preproposal Narrative is missing.

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Submission of an application for which a letter of invitation was not received.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.

- Budget is missing.
- Submission of the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.

B. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Preproposal Narrative and Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.
- Following application submission to Grants.gov, the PI will receive an email request from eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the application submitted to Grants.gov. During this verification period, the PI may upload missing documents (excluding those listed in [Section IV.A., Rejection](#)), replace files, and re-categorize files. These modifications must be completed by the end of the application verification period; otherwise, the application will be reviewed as submitted.

C. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application or application:

- A FY14 PRORP Steering Committee (SC) member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. A list of the FY14 PRORP SC members can be found at <http://cdmrp.army.mil/prorp/panels/panels14>.
- The application fails to conform to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity description to the extent that appropriate review cannot be conducted.
- Total costs as shown on the Research & Related Budget exceed the maximum allowed by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- Inclusion of any employee of CDMRP review contractors in applications for funding without adequate plans to mitigate conflicts of interest. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for detailed information.
- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.
- The PI does not meet eligibility criteria.
- The proposed research is, or requests funding for, a clinical trial or laboratory research.

D. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending institutional investigation. The institution will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.

V. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Award Notice

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2015. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for additional award administration information.

B. Administrative Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4 for general information regarding administrative requirements.

C. National Policy Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5 for general information regarding national policy requirements.

D. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section J, for general information on reporting requirements.

Quarterly technical progress reports and quad charts will be required.

E. Award Transfers

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section M, for general information on organization or PI changes.

VI. AGENCY CONTACTS

A. CDMRP Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the submission of the pre-application through eBRAP should be directed to the CDMRP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507

Email: help@eBRAP.org

B. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. federal holidays). Note that the CDMRP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726

Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the application package. If the application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

VII. APPLICATION SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Grants.gov Application Components	Action	Completed
SF-424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance	Complete form as instructed.	
Attachments Form	Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name "ProjectNarrative.pdf."	
	Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name "Support.pdf."	
	Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name "TechAbs.pdf."	
	Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name "LayAbs.pdf."	
	Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name "SOW.pdf."	
	Military Benefit Statement: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name "MilBen.pdf."	
	Impact Statement: Upload as Attachment 7 with file name "Impact.pdf."	
	Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments: Upload as Attachment 8 with file name "Surveys.pdf," if applicable.	
Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)	Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
	Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
	Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.	
	Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.	
Research & Related Budget	Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form	Complete form as instructed.	
R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form	Complete form as instructed.	