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I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2014 (FY14) Spinal Cord Injury Research Program (SCIRP) are being solicited for the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, Defense Health Program (DHP), by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisitions Activity (USAMRAA). The SCIRP was initiated in 2009 to provide support for research of exceptional scientific merit that has the potential to make a significant impact on improving the health and well-being of military Service Members, Veterans, and other individuals living with SCI. Appropriations for the SCIRP from FY09 through FY13 totaled $97.85 million (M). The FY14 appropriation is $30M.

The FY14 SCIRP challenges the scientific community to design innovative research that will foster new directions for and address neglected issues in the field of SCI-focused research. Applications from investigators within the military Services, and applications involving multidisciplinary collaborations among academia, industry, the military Services, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), and other federal Government agencies are highly encouraged. Though the SCIRP supports groundbreaking research, all projects must demonstrate solid scientific rationale.

The SCIRP has identified three Areas of Encouragement for the FY14 program. In addition, applications that address other research areas may be considered.

B. FY14 SCIRP Areas of Encouragement

The FY14 SCIRP encourages applications that specifically address one or more of the following areas:

1. Pre-hospital, en route care, and early hospital management of SCI

2. Development, validation, and timing of promising interventions to address consequences of SCI and to improve recovery, including, but not limited to:
   - Ambulatory and non-ambulatory clinical benefits of exoskeletal systems
   - Bladder, bowel, and autonomic dysfunction
   - Cardiometabolic dysfunction
   - Deep vein thrombosis
   - Functional deficits
   - Neuropathic pain and sensory dysfunction
   - Pressure ulcers
   - Respiratory dysfunction
   - Sexual dysfunction
3. Identification and validation of best practices in SCI care including but not limited to:

- Critical care interventions
- Musculoskeletal health
- Rehabilitation interventions
- Surgical interventions

*Projects focused on other research areas relevant to SCI may be submitted for consideration, provided that sufficient justification is included in the application.*

Alignment with current Department of Defense (DoD) research and collaboration with military researchers and clinicians is encouraged. The following websites may be useful in identifying ongoing areas of DoD research interest within the FY14 SCIRP Areas of Encouragement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air Force Research Laboratory</th>
<th>Naval Health Research Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Center for Neuroscience and Regenerative Medicine</td>
<td>Navy and Marine Corps Public Health Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine Research Program</td>
<td>Office of Naval Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combat Casualty Care Research Program</td>
<td>Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs</td>
<td>U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency</td>
<td>U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Medical Research and Development Program</td>
<td>U.S. Army Research Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Technical Information Center</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Defense Blast Injury Research Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Infectious Diseases Research Program</td>
<td>U.S. Naval Research Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Operational Medicine Research Program</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of Research and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Telehealth and Technology</td>
<td>Walter Reed Army Institute of Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Museum of Health and Medicine</td>
<td><strong>DoD FY14 Spinal Cord Injury Qualitative Research Award</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Award Information

The SCIRP Qualitative Research Award mechanism was first offered in FY10. Since then, 27 Qualitative Research Award applications have been received, and 8 have been recommended for funding.

The intent of the Qualitative Research Award is to support qualitative research studies that will help researchers and clinicians better understand the experiences of individuals with SCI and thereby identify the most effective paths for adjusting to disability and/or improving overall quality of life, health, and functional status after SCI. This mechanism is specifically focused on military and Veteran populations in the years after SCI, examining the issues, barriers, and promoters of success for Service Members during the transition from initial injury and acute care through rehabilitation and community reintegration; therefore, collaboration with military researchers and clinicians is encouraged. Factors that may affect the rehabilitation and reintegration of spinal cord injured Soldiers include, but are not limited to, age, gender, ethnicity, family members/caregivers, psychological health, severity of injury, type of medical care (e.g., civilian versus military facility), and co-morbid conditions.

Qualitative research is a form of social inquiry that focuses on understanding how people interpret and make sense of their experiences and the world in which they live (i.e., seek to understand the human experience). Observations that drive a research idea may be derived from basic discovery, population-based studies, a clinician’s first-hand knowledge of patients, or anecdotal data. Appropriate qualitative research topics include, but are not limited to, the explorative, descriptive, predictive, or explanatory study of the:

- Barriers preventing Soldiers with SCI from returning to active duty, returning home, or reintegrating into the community.
- Impact of personal factors and co-morbid medical conditions that influence or mediate a patient’s health or quality of life during hospitalization following SCI.
- Impact of cultural values and beliefs on the success of rehabilitation and community reintegration.
- Impact of medical care decisions (e.g., choice of civilian versus military facility, treatment type, etc.) on the success of rehabilitation and community reintegration.
- Impact of care provision on the spouse and/or families of the spinal cord injured to include career issues, emotional stress, physical strain and injury, intimacy, etc.
- Factors and strategies for improving psychosocial adjustment and adjustment to disability for people with SCI and their family and friends; the influence of family and friends’ involvement in the individual’s life experiences on quality of life and health outcomes.
- Impact of perceived access to care and care coordination on effectiveness of care delivery and success of rehabilitation.
- Impact of aging and age-related changes in social support that may limit one’s ability to become more fully integrated into society.
Preliminary and/or published data relevant to SCI and the proposed research project are encouraged, but not required.

Research involving human subjects and human anatomical substances is permitted; however, clinical trials are not allowed under this funding opportunity. A clinical trial is defined as a prospective accrual of human subjects whereby an intervention (e.g., device, drug, biologic, surgical procedure, rehabilitative modality, behavioral intervention, or other) is tested with a human subject for a measurable outcome with respect to exploratory information, safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy. This outcome represents a direct effect on the human subject of that intervention or interaction. For more information on clinical trials and clinical research, a Human Subject Resource Document is provided at https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program

Principal Investigators (PIs) wishing to apply for funding for a clinical trial should utilize the FY14 SCIRP Clinical Trial Award mechanism (Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-14-SCIRP-CTA).

Use of Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human Cadavers: All Department of Defense (DoD)-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USAMRMC) Office of Research Protections (ORP), Human Research Protection Office (HRPO), in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) of record. Local IRB approval at the time of submission is not required. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and requirements governing all research involving human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers that is supported by the DoD. These laws and requirements will necessitate information in addition to that supplied to the IRB. Allow a minimum of 2 to 3 months for HRPO regulatory review and approval processes. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 6, for additional information.

Use of Military and VA Populations: If applicable, access to target military or VA patient population(s) should be confirmed at the time of application submission. A letter of support, signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority, should be included for studies involving active duty military, Veterans, military and/or VA-controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases.

Use of Common Data Elements (CDEs): Use of the spinal cord injury CDEs developed through the collaboration of the International Spinal Cord Society, the American Spinal Injury Association, and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke CDE team, as referenced at http://www.commondataelements.ninds.nih.gov/SCI.aspx, is encouraged for all human subjects research. Additionally, the government reserves the right to identify repositories for submission of data for archive. Any costs associated with submission of data to such

The Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP) intends that data and research resources generated under awards funded by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity be made available to the research community (which includes both scientific and consumer advocacy communities) and to the public at large. For additional guidance, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section L.
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D. Eligibility Information

- Independent investigators at all academic levels (or equivalent) are eligible to submit applications.
- Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.
- Eligible investigators must apply through an organization. Organizations eligible to apply include national, international, for-profit, non-profit, public, and private organizations.
- Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for general eligibility information.

E. Funding

- The maximum period of performance is 3 years.
- The maximum allowable direct costs for the entire period of performance are $400,000 plus indirect costs.
- All direct and indirect costs of any subaward (subgrant or subcontract) must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.
- The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum 3 years.
- Regardless of the period of performance proposed, the applicant may not exceed the maximum allowable direct costs. Indirect costs shall be proposed in accordance with the organization’s negotiated rate agreement.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. *For all federal agencies or organizations collaborating with federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in Section II.C.4. of the General Application Instructions.*

For this award mechanism, direct costs:

Must be requested for:

- Travel costs for the PI(s) to disseminate project results at one DoD-sponsored meeting. Costs associated with travel to this meeting, up to $1,800, should be included in Year 2 of the budget. For planning purposes, it should be assumed that the meeting will be held in the National Capital Area. These travel costs are in addition to those allowed for annual scientific/technical meetings.

May be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary
- Research supplies
- Equipment
- Research-related subject costs
- Clinical research costs (clinical trials not allowed)
- Travel between collaborating organizations
- Travel costs of up to $1,800 per year to attend scientific/technical meetings in addition to the required meeting described above

Intramural (DoD), other federal agency, and extramural investigators are encouraged to apply to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. An intramural investigator is defined as a DoD military or civilian employee working within a DoD laboratory or medical treatment facility, or working in a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. Intramural applicants and collaborators are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective resource managers. It is permissible for an intramural investigator to be named as a collaborator on an application submitted by an extramural investigator. In such cases, the extramural investigator must include a letter from the intramural collaborator’s Commander or Commanding Officer that authorizes the involvement of the intramural collaborator.

As required of all applicants to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity, if PIs from federal agencies submit applications, they must submit through Grants.gov. Therefore, federal applicants must be familiar with Grants.gov requirements, including the need for an active System for Award Management (SAM) registration and a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. Refer to Appendix 3 of the General Application Instructions for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

Awards to extramural organizations will consist solely of assistance agreements (Cooperative Agreements and Grants). Awards to intramural agencies and other federal agencies will be executed through the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR) or Funding Authorization Document (FAD) process. Direct transfer of funds from the recipient to a federal agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4. Research & Related Budget, for additional information on budget considerations for applications involving federal agencies.

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately $2.56M of the $30M FY14 SCIRP appropriation to fund approximately 4 Qualitative Research Award applications, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is contingent upon the availability of federal funds for this program.

II. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Submission is a two-step process requiring both (1) pre-application submission through the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) (https://eBRAP.org/) and (2) application submission through Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov/).

New for FY14: The CDMRP has replaced its eReceipt System with eBRAP. Submission remains a two-step process requiring both pre-application and application submission.
PIs must be registered in eBRAP in order to submit a pre-application and receive notification of the status of a pre-application or application. A key feature of eBRAP is that an organization’s representatives and PIs are able to view and modify the Grants.gov application submissions associated with them, but only if the organization, Business Officials, and PIs are registered and affiliated to the organization in eBRAP (see eBRAP User Guide at (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/UserGuide.pdf). Upon completion of an organization’s registration in eBRAP and approval by the CDMRP Help Desk, the organization name will be displayed in eBRAP to assist the organization’s business officials and PIs as they register.

Note: Submission of either the pre-application to eBRAP or application to Grants.gov does not require registering an organization and affiliating its Business Officials and PIs in eBRAP; however, the ability to view and modify the Grants.gov application in eBRAP is contingent upon the registration and affiliation. Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP is strongly recommended, but not required. The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline. Any other application component cannot be changed after the end of the application verification period. If verification is not completed by the end of the application verification period, the application will be reviewed as submitted through Grants.gov, provided there is no cause for administrative rejection of the application (see Section IV.A., Rejection.

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application.

A. Where to Obtain the Application Package

To obtain the complete application package, including all required forms, perform a Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov/) basic search using the Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-14-SCIRP-QRA.

B. Pre-Application Submission and Content Form

All pre-application components must be submitted by the PI through eBRAP (https://eBRAP.org/). Because the invitation to submit an application is based on the contents of the pre-application, investigators should not change the title or research objectives after the pre-application is submitted.

PIs and organizations identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

A change in PI or organization after submission of the pre-application will be allowed only at the discretion of the USAMRAA Contracting/Grants Officer.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for additional information on pre-application submission):
• Application Information – Tab 1

• Application Contacts – Tab 2
  ○ It is recommended that PIs identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.

• Collaborators and Conflicts of Interest (COI) – Tab 3
  FY14 SCIRP Integration Panel (IP) members should not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to IP members and pre-applications or applications, refer to Section IV.C., Withdrawal, or contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

• Required Files – Tab 4
  Notes: Upload document(s) as individual PDF files unless otherwise noted. eBRAP will not allow a file to be uploaded if the number of pages exceeds the limit specified below.

Preproposal Narrative (two-page limit): The Preproposal Narrative page limit applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Preproposal Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application.

The Preproposal Narrative should include the following:
  ○ Background/Research Problem: State the ideas and reasoning on which the proposed research project is based. Clearly demonstrate that there is sufficient rationale for the proposed research.
  ○ Specific Aims and Study Design: Concisely state the project’s specific aims and describe the scientific approach.
  ○ Impact: Describe the impact of this study on the field of SCI research, patient care, and/or quality of life, including the impact on one or more of the FY14 Areas of Encouragement or other relevant research area(s).
  ○ Military Relevance: Describe how the proposed research project is applicable to spinal cord injured military Service Members, Veterans, and/or their family members, as well as their caregivers.

Pre-Application Supporting Documentation: The items to be included as supporting documentation for the pre-application must be uploaded as individual documents and are limited to:
  ○ References Cited (one-page limit): List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Preproposal Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate.
List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols used in the Preproposal Narrative.

PI Biographical Sketch (two-page limit): Include a biographical sketch for the PI only.

Submit Pre-Application – Tab 5
This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.

Pre-Application Screening

Pre-Application Screening Criteria
To determine the technical merits of the pre-application and the relevance to the mission of the DHP and the SCIRP, pre-applications will be screened based on the following criteria:

- **Background/Research Problem:** How well the background and scientific rationale demonstrate sufficient evidence to support the proposed research project.

- **Specific Aims and Study Design:** How well the specific aims are stated and supported through scientific rationale and referenced literature and how well the proposed research project’s approach will address these aims.

- **Impact:** How well the proposed research project addresses one or more FY14 Areas of Encouragement or other relevant research area(s) and will make important contributions toward the goal of advancing SCI research, patient care, and/or improving quality of life.

- **Military Relevance:** How well the proposed research project directly or indirectly benefits spinal cord injured military Service Members, Veterans, and/or their family members, as well as their caregivers.

Notification of Pre-Application Screening Results
Following the pre-application screening, PIs will be notified as to whether or not they are invited to submit applications; however, they will not receive feedback (e.g., a critique of strengths and weaknesses) on their pre-application. The estimated timeframe for notification of invitation to submit an application is indicated on the title page of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

C. Application Submission Content and Forms

*Applications will not be accepted unless the PI has received notification of invitation.*

Each application submission must include the completed application package of forms and attachments provided in Grants.gov for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. The application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through the Grants.gov portal (http://www.grants.gov/).
**New for FY14:** Applications submitted through and validated by Grants.gov will be retrieved and processed by eBRAP to allow for review, modification, and verification. The PI and organizational representatives will receive an email request from eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the application submitted to Grants.gov. During this verification period, the PI may upload missing files (excluding those listed in Section IV.A., Rejection), replace files, and re-categorize files. These modifications must be completed by the end of the verification period.

**Note:** Changes to either the Project Narrative or Budget are not allowed in eBRAP; if such changes are required, the entire application package must be submitted through Grants.gov as a “Changed/Corrected Application” with the Previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the application submission deadline (which occurs earlier than the end of the application verification period).

Grants.gov application package components: For the Qualitative Research Award, the Grants.gov application package includes the following components (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for additional information on application submission):

1. **SF 424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.

2. **Attachments Form**
   - **Attachment 1: Project Narrative (10-page limit):** Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf.” The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

   Describe the proposed research project in detail using the outline below.

   **Preliminary and/or published data relevant to SCI and the proposed research project are encouraged, but not required.**
   - **Background:** Identify the major research problem. Describe the ideas and reasoning on which the proposed research project is based. Clearly demonstrate that there is sufficient scientific evidence to support the proposed stage of research. Cite relevant literature, and describe previous experience most pertinent to this project, including data from pilot studies, if applicable.
   - **Research Problem:** Discuss the research problem and why it is important. Explain why a qualitative, rather than a quantitative, approach is more appropriate to address the research problem.
   - **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project’s specific aims. If the proposed research project is part of a larger study, present only tasks that the SCIRP award would fund.
0 **Study Design:** Describe the specific theoretical perspective of qualitative research on which the study is based, as well as the overall study design. The following important components of qualitative study design and methods should be addressed:

- **Data collection:** Describe the proposed methods for sampling, collection, interviewing, and recording/documentation, in sufficient detail for analysis. Discuss how these methods are systematic and rigorous, and appropriate to address the qualitative research questions. If methods will evolve from and be informed by the research itself, describe how the rigor of these processes will be maintained.

- **Subject recruitment:** Include a detailed plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples, including access to the targeted population.

- **Data analysis:** Describe the analysis plan for the research proposed, as well as the plan for developing the rules for coding, and discuss how these methods are appropriate to the qualitative approach. Describe how the analyses are systematic, rigorous, and appropriate to address the qualitative research questions.

- **Credibility:** Describe how the plan for documenting procedures, interviewing, developing the rules for coding, making decisions, and rationale for decisions made supports consistency, dependability, and duplicability of results. Describe the steps taken to control biases and preconceptions. Explain how the project’s proposed design and analyses will yield trustworthy, credible, and confirmable results.

0 **Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation.** Start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf.” If documents are scanned to pdf, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested may result in the removal of those items or administrative withdrawal of the application.

0 References Cited: List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).

0 List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.

0 Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed research project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether or not Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present Government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.
○ Publications and/or Patent Abstracts (five-document limit): Include relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly available, then a copy/copies of the published manuscript(s) must be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.

○ Letters of Organizational Support: Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable), signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project.

○ Letters of Collaboration (if applicable): Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed research project.

○ Intellectual Property
  – Background and Proprietary Information: All software and data first produced under the award are subject to a federal purpose license in accordance with applicable DoD Grant and Agreement Regulations (DoDGAR) requirements. Provide a list of all background intellectual property to be used in the project or provide a statement that none will be used. If applicable, state and identify the proprietary information that will be provided to the Government and indicate whether the applicant will require a waiver of the federal purpose license.
  – Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.

○ Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section K, for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available. Include plans for utilizing the spinal cord injury CDEs developed through the collaboration of the International Spinal Cord Society, the American Spinal Injury Association, and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke CDE team, as referenced at [http://www.commondataelements.ninds.nih.gov/SCI.aspx](http://www.commondataelements.ninds.nih.gov/SCI.aspx). Additionally, the government reserves the right to identify repositories for submission of data for archive. Any costs associated with submission will be addressed during award negotiations.


Technical abstracts should be written using the outline below. The technical abstract is used by all reviewers; however, programmatic reviewers do not typically have access to the full application and rely on the technical abstract for appropriate description of the project’s key aspects. Clarity and completeness within the space limits of the technical abstract are highly important.

○ Background: Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed research project, including sufficient scientific evidence to support the proposed stage of research.
○ Research Problem: Discuss the research problem and why it is important. Explain why a qualitative approach, rather than a quantitative approach, is more appropriate to address the research problem.

○ Specific Aims: State the specific aims of the study.

○ Study Design: Briefly describe the study design and type of analyses.

○ Impact: Briefly describe the impact of this study on the field of SCI research, patient care, and/or quality of life, including the impact on one or more of the FY14 Areas of Encouragement or other relevant research area(s).

○ Military Relevance: Briefly describe the relevance of the proposed research project to spinal cord injured military Service Members, Veterans, and/or their family members, as well as their caregivers.

• Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “LayAbs.pdf.”

Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below. Minimize use of acronyms and abbreviations, where appropriate. The lay abstract is an important component of the application review process because it addresses issues of particular interest to the consumer advocate community.

○ Clearly describe, in a manner readily understood by lay persons, the rationale and objective for the proposed research proposal. Do not duplicate the technical abstract.
  – Describe the ultimate applicability of the research. What types of individuals will it help, and how will it help them?
  – What are the potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks?
  – What is the projected timeline for achieving the desired outcome?
○ If the research is too basic for clinical applicability, describe the interim outcomes.
○ What are the likely contributions of the proposed research project to advancing the field of SCI research?

• Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit): Upload as “SOW.pdf.” Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.2., for detailed guidance on creating the SOW.

The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP “Program Announcement and Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For the Qualitative Research Award mechanism, use the SOW format example titled “SOW for Clinical Research.” The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching.

• Attachment 6: Impact Statement (one-page limit): Upload as “Impact.pdf.” Describe the short- and long-term impact of this study on the field of SCI research, patient care, and/or quality of life, including an assessment of the likelihood that a successful outcome of the proposed research project will lead to a practical
application in individuals living with SCI. Address the impact on one or more of the FY14 SCIRP Areas of Encouragement or other relevant research area(s) identified by the PI. If the proposed research project does not address one of the FY14 Areas of Encouragement, provide justification that it addresses an important problem related to SCI. The following are examples of ways in which proposed studies, if successful, may have an impact. Although not all inclusive, these examples are intended to help PIs frame the impact of the proposed research:

- Has the potential to advance the field of research in SCI.
- Has the potential to change the standard of care.
- Contributes to the development or validation of evidence-based policy or guidelines for patient evaluation and care.

- **Attachment 7: Military Relevance Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Military.pdf.” Demonstrate how the proposed research project is applicable to the health care needs and quality of life of spinal cord injured military Service Members, Veterans, and/or their family members, as well as their caregivers. If the active duty military, Veteran, or military family member population(s) will be used in the proposed research project, describe the population(s), the appropriateness of the population(s) for the proposed research project, and the feasibility of using the population. If a non-military population will be used for the proposed research project, explain how the population simulates the targeted population.

- **Attachment 8: Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments, if applicable (no page limit):** Upload as “Surveys.pdf.” The Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments attachment should include a copy of the most recent version of surveys, questionnaires, data collection forms, rating scales, interview guides, or other instruments. For each instrument, describe how the information collected is related to the objectives of the study. Describe the PI’s prior experience using the proposed data collection tools and the psychometrics previously generated from their use.

- **Attachment 9: Approval for Access to Military and VA Populations (if applicable, one-page limit per site):** Upload as “ApprovalAccess.pdf.” A letter of support, signed by the lowest-ranking person with approval authority, should be included for studies involving active duty military, Veterans, or military family members; military-controlled study materials; databases; and/or restricted facilities (e.g., biological or chemical containment facilities).

3. **Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.3., for detailed information. Note: Some of the items in this attachment may be made available for programmatic review.

- PI Biographical Sketch (four-page limit): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
- PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”
• Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (four-page limit each): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”

• Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”

4. **Research & Related Budget**: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information.

   • Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf.”

5. **Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form**: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for detailed information.

6. **R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable)**: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.6., for detailed information.

D. **Verification of Grants.gov Application in eBRAP**

For FY14, a new process has been initiated whereby organizational representatives and PIs can view their applications as submitted through Grants.gov and prior to peer review of the application. This will enable applicants to make modifications prior to scientific and programmatic evaluation of applications, provided the modifications are made by either the end of the application verification period or, for changes to the Project Narrative or Budget, by the application submission deadline.

After application submission to Grants.gov, eBRAP will retrieve and validate the application submission. eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI via email and instruct them to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the application. Files that fail eBRAP validation will be noted in both the email and in the Full Application Files tab. eBRAP does not validate the accuracy or completeness of content in the files. PIs are strongly encouraged to review all application components. If either the Project Narrative or the Budget fail eBRAP validation, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov prior to the application submission deadline, which occurs earlier than the end of the application verification period. **The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline. Any other application component cannot be changed after the end of the application verification period.**

E. **Submission Dates and Times**

All submission dates and times are indicated on the title page of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in application rejection.

F. **Other Submission Requirements**

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for detailed formatting guidelines.
All applications must be submitted through Grants.gov. Applicant organizations and all subrecipient organizations must have a DUNS number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the SAM with an “Active” status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, for information on Grants.gov requirements.

III. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. Application Review and Selection Process

All applicants are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumer advocates using a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review of applications against established criteria for determining technical merit. The second tier is a programmatic review that makes recommendations for funding to the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, based on (a) technical merit and (b) the relevance to the mission of the DHP and SCIRP and to the specific intent of the award mechanism. The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/fundingprocess.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a non-disclosure statement that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization’s application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment from federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with Title 18 United States Code 1905.

B. Application Review Process

1. Peer Review: To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

   • Research Problem
     ○ How well the major research problem is identified and the proposed research project is justified.
     ○ How well the selection of a qualitative approach, rather than a quantitative approach, is justified.
     ○ How well the research question(s) or topics are described and appropriate to address the research problem.
     ○ How clearly the specific theoretical basis for the study is stated, and is shown to drive the framing of the research question(s).
• **Study Design**
  - How appropriate the proposed design of the study, the sampling technique, and the data collection and recording method(s) are to address the research question and to yield trustworthy, credible, robust, and confirmable results.
  - If methods will evolve from and be informed by the research itself, such as the use of snowball sampling techniques, how well the PI describes the method(s) used to maintain the rigor of these processes.
  - How well the PI demonstrates access to, and ability to recruit, the appropriate military, Veteran, and/or family/caregiver population(s).
  - How well the documentation of procedures, decisions, and rationale for decisions and conclusions supports consistency, dependability, and duplicability of results, and prevents biases and preconceptions.
    - How consistent the data analysis plan remains with the research problem and theoretical basis of the study.
    - How well the project obtains ongoing feedback from the participants, especially regarding interpretation of data and study conclusions.
  - How well the PI acknowledges potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.
  - How well the PI has described and articulated specific benchmarks to ensure the research is progressing in an efficient, timely, and thorough manner.

• **Impact**
  - If successful, how the study will improve our understanding of individuals with SCI and/or identify the most effective paths for adjusting to disability and/or improving overall quality of life, health, and functional status after SCI.
  - How well the proposed research project addresses a critical problem in SCI research, patient care, and/or quality of life.
  - If the proposed research project does not address one or more of the FY14 Areas of Encouragement, how well the PI provides justification that it addresses an important problem related to SCI.

• **Personnel**
  - How appropriate the relevant education, training, and experience of the key personnel are to accomplish the proposed research project.
  - How appropriate the levels of effort are by the key personnel to ensure success of this project.
  - How well the PI’s record of accomplishment, particularly with the use of qualitative methods, demonstrates his/her ability to accomplish the proposed research project.
In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

- **Environment**
  - To what extent the scientific environment is appropriate for the proposed research project.
  - How well the research requirements are supported by the availability of and accessibility to facilities and resources (including collaborative arrangements).
  - To what extent the quality and extent of institutional support are appropriate for the proposed research project.
  - If applicable, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.

- **Budget**
  - Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research project and within the limitations of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

- **Application Presentation**
  - To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

2. **Programmatic Review**: To make funding recommendations, the following equally considered criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:

   a. **Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers**
   
   b. **Relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY14 SCIRP, as evidenced by the following:**
      - Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
      - Military relevance
      - Program portfolio composition]
      - Programmatic relevance
      - Relative impact

C. **Recipient Qualification**

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1.

D. **Application Review Dates**

All application review dates and times are indicated on the title page of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
E. Notification of Application Review Results

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

After receipt of pre-applications from eBRAP or applications from Grants.gov, the following administrative actions may occur:

A. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application:

- Preproposal Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Preproposal Narrative is missing.

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Submission of an application for which a letter of invitation was not received.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.
- Submission of the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.

B. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Preproposal Narrative and Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.
- Following application submission to Grants.gov, the PI will receive an email request from eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the application submitted to Grants.gov. During this verification period, the PI may upload missing documents (excluding those listed in Section IV.A., Rejection), replace files, and re-categorize files. These modifications must be completed by the end of the application verification period; otherwise, the application will be reviewed as submitted.

C. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application or application:

- A FY14 SCIRP IP member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not
limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. A list of the FY14 SCIRP IP members can be found at http://cdmrp.army.mil/scirp/panels/panels14.

- The application fails to conform to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity description to the extent that appropriate review cannot be conducted.
- Direct costs as shown on the Research & Related Budget exceed the maximum allowed by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- Inclusion of any employee of CDMRP review contractors in applications for funding without adequate plans to mitigate conflicts of interest. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for detailed information.
- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.
- The proposed research is, or requests funds for, a clinical trial.
- The PI does not meet the eligibility criteria.

D. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending institutional investigation. The institution will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.

V. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Award Notice

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2015. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for additional award administration information.

Any assistance instrument awarded under this announcement will be governed by the award terms and conditions, which conform to DoD’s implementation of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars applicable to financial assistance. Terms and conditions of new awards made after December 26, 2014, may include revisions to reflect DoD implementation of new OMB guidance in 2 CFR part 200, “Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards.”

B. Administrative Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4 for general information regarding administrative requirements.
C. National Policy Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5 for general information regarding national policy requirements.

D. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section J, for general information on reporting requirements.

Quarterly technical progress reports will be required.

E. Award Transfers

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section M, for general information on organization or PI changes.

VI. AGENCY CONTACTS

A. CDMRP Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the submission of the pre-application through eBRAP should be directed to the CDMRP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507
Email: help@eBRAP.org

B. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. federal holidays). Note that the CDMRP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726
Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the application package. If the application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.
### VII. APPLICATION SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grants.gov Application Components</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF-424 (R&amp;R) Application for Federal Assistance</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attachments Form</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name “ProjectNarrative.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name “Support.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name “TechAbs.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name “LayAbs.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name “SOW.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impact Statement: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name “Impact.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Military Relevance Statement: Upload as Attachment 7 with file name “Military.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments: Upload as Attachment 8 with file name “Surveys.pdf,” if applicable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research &amp; Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)</strong></td>
<td>Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research &amp; Related Budget</strong></td>
<td>Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form</strong></td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R &amp; R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form</strong></td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>