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- **Application Submission Deadline:**  11:59 p.m. ET, August 5, 2015
- **End of Application Submission Period:**  5:00 p.m. ET, August 10, 2015
- **Peer Review:**  September 2015
- **Programmatic Review:**  December 2015

The CDMRP eReceipt System has been replaced with the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP). Principal Investigators and organizational representatives should register in eBRAP as soon as possible. All pre-applications must be submitted through eBRAP. In addition, applications submitted through Grants.gov will now be available for viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP prior to the end of the application verification period.

This Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is one of two documents with instructions to prepare and submit an application for this funding opportunity. The second document, the General Application Instructions, is available for downloading from Grants.gov.
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I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2015 (FY15) Ovarian Cancer Research Program (OCRP) are being solicited for the Defense Health Agency, Research, Development, and Acquisition (DHA RDA) Directorate, by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA). As directed by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, the DHA RDA Directorate manages and executes the Defense Health Program (DHP) Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation. The executing agent for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP).

The OCRP was initiated in FY97 to provide support for research of exceptional scientific merit. Appropriations for the OCRP from FY97 through FY14 totaled $236.45 million (M). The FY15 appropriation is $20M. The overall goal of the FY15 OCRP is to eliminate ovarian cancer by supporting high-impact research. For additional information concerning the OCRP and its current initiatives, long-term priorities and Integration Panel (IP) members, please refer to the OCRP website at http://cdmrp.army.mil/ocrp/default.

The mission of the OCRP is to support patient-centered research to prevent, detect, treat, and cure ovarian cancer. Although not required, investigators are encouraged to address one of the FY15 Areas of Encouragement in their applications.

- Chemoresistance including PARP resistance
- Angiogenesis escape
- Optimizing immunotherapies
- Etiology, epidemiology and prevention
- Synthetic lethality

B. Award Information

The OCRP Pilot Award supports conceptually innovative, high-risk/high-reward research that could ultimately lead to critical discoveries or major advancements that will drive the field of ovarian cancer research forward. The proposed research must demonstrate a clear focus on ovarian cancer (e.g., using tissues, cell lines, datasets, or appropriate animal models, etc.). The proposed research should include a testable hypothesis based on strong scientific rationale and serve as a catalyst to expand or modify current thinking about and/or approaches in ovarian cancer. Preliminary data are not required, but are allowed, since the goal of this award is to generate preliminary data. The strength of the application should be based on sound scientific rationale and logical reasoning. Clinical trials will not be supported by this award mechanism.

OCRP Definition of Innovation (UPDATED for FY15): Innovative ideas or approaches that, if proven correct, will provide new paradigms, insights, technologies, or applications that have the potential to meet the OCRP mission of supporting patient-centered research to prevent, detect, treat, and cure ovarian cancer.
Research that represents an incremental advancement on previously published work is not considered innovative. The following list, although not all-inclusive, provides examples of research that is not innovative:

- Exploring a previously tested hypothesis in a different cell line or in a new population
- Using a published series of in vitro assays to further characterize a model system
- Incorporating known biomarkers into in vivo or clinical models of ovarian cancer
- Investigating the next logical step or continuation of a previous research project
- Proposing work that is an incremental advancement of published data

Research Involving Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human Cadavers: All Department of Defense (DoD)-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USAMRMC) Office of Research Protections (ORP), Human Research Protection Office (HRPO), in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) of record. Local IRB approval at the time of submission is not required. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, and the Human Subject Resource Document available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) for additional information.

Research Involving Animals: All DoD-funded research involving new and ongoing research with animals must be reviewed and approved by the USAMRMC ORP Animal Care and Use Review Office (ACURO), in addition to the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of record. IACUC approval at the time of submission is not required. Refer to General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for additional information.

The CDMRP intends that information, data, and research resources generated under awards funded by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity be made available to the research community (which includes both scientific and consumer advocacy communities) and to the public at large. For additional guidance, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, Section L.

C. Eligibility Information

- Investigators at the postdoctoral level and above (and equivalent) from academia, research institutions, industry, government agencies, and private foundations are eligible to submit applications. Investigators should have either dedicated or shared laboratory space.
- Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.
- Eligible investigators must apply through an organization. Organizations eligible to apply include national, international, for-profit, nonprofit, public, and private organizations.
- Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for general eligibility information.
D. Funding

- The maximum period of performance is 2 years.
- The anticipated direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance will not exceed $250,000. Associated indirect costs can be budgeted in accordance with the organization’s negotiated rate. No budget will be approved by the Government exceeding $250,000 direct costs or using an indirect rate exceeding the organization’s negotiated rate.
- All direct and indirect costs of any subaward (subgrant or subcontract) must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.
- The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum 2 years.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. For all Federal agencies or organizations collaborating with Federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in Section II.C.5. of the General Application Instructions.

For this award mechanism, direct costs may be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary
- Research supplies
- Equipment
- Research-related subject costs
- Travel between collaborating organizations
- Travel costs to attend scientific/technical meetings

Shall not be requested for:

- Tuition

Intramural (DoD), other Federal agency, and extramural investigators are encouraged to apply to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. An intramural investigator is defined as a DoD military or civilian employee working within a DoD laboratory or medical treatment facility, or working in a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. Intramural applicants and collaborators are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective resource managers. It is permissible for an intramural investigator to be named as a collaborator on an application submitted by an extramural investigator. In such cases, the extramural investigator must include a letter from the intramural collaborator’s Commander or Commanding Officer that authorizes the involvement of the intramural collaborator.

As required of all applicants to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity, if PIs from Federal agencies submit applications, they must submit through Grants.gov. Therefore, Federal applicants must be familiar with Grants.gov requirements, including the need for an active
System for Award Management (SAM) registration and a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. Refer to Section II.A. of the General Application Instructions for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

Awards to extramural organizations will consist solely of assistance agreements (Cooperative Agreements and Grants). Awards to intramural agencies and other Federal agencies may be executed through a direct fund transfer (e.g., the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request [MIPR] or Funding Authorization Document [FAD] process). Direct transfer of funds from the recipient to a Federal agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5. Research & Related Budget, for additional information on budget considerations for applications involving Federal agencies.

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately $7.2M of the $20M FY15 OCRP appropriation to fund approximately 18 Pilot Award applications, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is contingent upon the availability of Federal funds for this program.

II. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application.

Submission is a two-step process requiring both (1) pre-application submission through the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) (https://eBRAP.org/) and (2) application submission through Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov/). Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.A. for registration and submission requirements for eBRAP and Grants.gov.

eBRAP is a multifunctional web-based system that allows PIs to submit their pre-applications electronically through a secure connection, to view and edit the content of their pre-applications and full applications, to receive communications from the CDMRP, and to submit documentation during award negotiations and period of performance. A key feature of eBRAP is the ability of an organization’s representatives and PIs to view and modify the Grants.gov application submissions associated with them. eBRAP will validate Grants.gov application files against the specific Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity requirements and discrepancies will be noted in an email to the PI and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. It is the applicant’s responsibility to review all application components for accuracy as well as ensure proper ordering as specified in this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

PIs should ensure that their name and email address are the same as the name and email address that will be provided on the SF-424 Form of the Grants.gov application package submitted to Grants.gov. The organization, Business Officials, PI(s), and eBRAP log number named in the full application submitted to Grants.gov must match those named in the pre-application in eBRAP.
Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP is strongly recommended, but not required. The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline. Any other application component cannot be changed after the end of the application verification period.

A. Where to Obtain the Grants.gov Application Package

To obtain the Grants.gov application package, including all required forms, perform a basic search using the Funding Opportunity Number W81XWH-15-OCR-PA in Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov/).

B. Pre-Application Submission and Content Form

All pre-application components must be submitted by the PI through eBRAP (https://eBRAP.org/). Because the invitation to submit an application is based on the contents of the pre-application, investigators should not change the title or research objectives after the pre-application is submitted.

PIs and organizations identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

Pre-applications will be screened based on the merits of the proposed research. Therefore, reviewers will be blinded to the identity of the PI, collaborators, and their organization(s). Due to the blinded nature of the review process, identifying or making references to the PI, collaborators, or their organization(s) within the Preproposal Narrative is prohibited and will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application and preclude invitation to submit a full application. In addition, the use of “I,” “we,” “our,” “this organization,” or similar wording in phrases that refer to the PI, collaborators, or their organization(s) through the references listed will also result in administrative rejection of the pre-application and preclude invitation to submit a full application.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for additional information on pre-application submission):

- **Application Information** – Tab 1
- **Application Contacts** – Tab 2
  - Enter contact information for the PI. Enter the organization's Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (the “person to be contacted on matters involving this application” in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF-424 Form). The Business Official must either be selected from the eBRAP list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.
  - It is recommended that PIs identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.
• **Collaborators and Key Personnel – Tab 3**
  ○ Enter the name, organization, and role of all collaborators and key personnel associated with the application.
  ○ FY15 OCRP IP members should not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to IP members and pre-applications or applications, refer to Section IV.C., Withdrawal, or contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

• **Conflicts of Interest (COIs) – Tab 4**
  ○ List all individuals other than collaborators and key personnel who may have a COI in the review of the application (including those with whom the PI has a personal or professional relationship).

• **Pre-Application Files – Tab 5**

  *Note: Upload document(s) as individual PDF files unless otherwise noted. eBRAP will not allow a file to be uploaded if the number of pages exceeds the limit specified below.*

  **Preproposal Narrative (two-page limit):** The Preproposal Narrative page limit applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Preproposal Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application.

  The Preproposal Narrative should include the following:
  ○ **Research Idea:** State the ideas and reasoning on which the proposed work is based and how the application addresses a central problem in ovarian cancer.
  ○ **Innovation:** Briefly describe what is innovative about the proposed research according to the OCRP definition of innovation and how it is different from previous research.
  ○ **Impact:** Describe how the proposed research will impact a critical problem or question in ovarian cancer or patient care in either the short- or long-term. Articulate how the project, if successful, could ultimately lead to critical discoveries or major advancements and accelerate progress toward eliminating ovarian cancer.

  **Pre-Application Supporting Documentation:** The items to be included as supporting documentation for the pre-application must be uploaded as individual documents and are limited to:
  ○ **References Cited (one-page limit):** List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Preproposal Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate). Do not include publication URLs that identify the organization(s) of the PI or any collaborator.
• List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols used in the Preproposal Narrative. Do not include information that will identify the organization(s) of the PI or any collaborator.

• Submit Pre-Application – Tab 6
  ○ This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.

Pre-Application Screening

• Pre-Application Screening Criteria
  To determine the technical merit and impact of the pre-application and the relevance to the mission of the DHP and the OCRP, pre-applications will be screened based on the following criteria:
  ○ Blinded Content: Identifying or making references to the PI, collaborators, or their organizations will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application.
  ○ Research Idea: How well the proposed work addresses a central problem in ovarian cancer.
  ○ Innovation: Whether the research represents new paradigms, or insights, or technologies, or applications to meet the OCRP mission of supporting patient-centered research to prevent, detect, treat, and cure ovarian cancer. To what degree the proposed research is more than a continuation of a previous research project, or more than an incremental advance to published data.
  ○ Impact: To what degree the proposed study will impact a critical problem or question in ovarian cancer or patient care in either the short or long term. To what degree the project could ultimately lead to critical discoveries or major advancements and accelerate progress toward eliminating ovarian cancer.

• Notification of Pre-Application Screening Results
  Following the pre-application screening, PIs will be notified as to whether or not they are invited to submit applications; however, they will not receive feedback (e.g., a critique of strengths and weaknesses) on their pre-application. The estimated timeframe for notification of invitation to submit an application is indicated on the title page of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

C. Full Application Submission Content and Forms

Applications will not be accepted unless the PI has received notification of invitation.

The CDMRP cannot make allowances/exceptions to its policies for submission problems encountered by the applicant organization using system-to-system interfaces with Grants.gov.

Each application submission must include the completed Grants.gov application package provided in Grants.gov for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. The Grants.gov application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through the Grants.gov portal (http://www.grants.gov/).
**Note: The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.** If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or if the Project Narrative or Budget Form needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a “Changed/Corrected Application” with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the application submission deadline.

**Grants.gov application package components:** For the Pilot Award, the Grants.gov application package includes the following components (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for additional information on application submission):

1. **SF-424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.

2. **Attachments Form**

Each attachment to the Grants.gov application forms must be uploaded as an individual PDF file in accordance with the formatting guidelines listed in Appendix 2 of the General Application Instructions. For all attachments, ensure that the file names are consistent with the guidance. Grants.gov will reject attachments with file names longer than 50 characters or incorrect file names that contain characters other than the following: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, and period. In addition, Grants.gov has file size limits that may apply in some circumstances. Individual attachments may not exceed 20 MB and the file size for the entire Grants.gov application package may not exceed 200 MB.

- **Attachment 1: Project Narrative (six-page limit):** Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf.” The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

  **Reviewers will NOT be blinded to the identity of the PI, collaborators, and their institutions during the peer and programmatic reviews.**

  Describe the proposed project in detail using the outline below. The Project Narrative should demonstrate logical reasoning and a sound scientific rationale that is established through a critical review and analysis of the literature. In addition, the Project Narrative should include a description of how the proposed research is innovative as well as its potential impact on ovarian cancer.

  - **Background:** Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed research, to include relevant literature citations. *Preliminary data are not required, but are allowed.*

  - **Hypothesis:** State the hypothesis to be tested.

  - **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project’s specific aims to be supported by this application. If this research project is part of a larger study, present only tasks that this OCRP award would fund.
○ **Research Strategy:** Describe the experimental design, methods, and analyses, including appropriate controls, in sufficient detail. Clearly describe the statistical plan and the rationale for the statistical methodology as well as an appropriate power analysis, if applicable. Address potential problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches.

If cell lines or animals are to be used, justify why the proposed cell line(s) or animal model(s) were chosen. If human subjects or human anatomical samples will be used, include a detailed plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples. If appropriate for the proposed research, provide a statistical plan and sample size estimate.

- **Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation.** Start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf.” If documents are scanned to pdf, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. *There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested will result in the removal of those items or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.*

○ References Cited: List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).

○ List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.

○ Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether or not Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present Government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.

○ Publications and/or Patent Abstracts (five-document limit): Include relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly available, then a copy/copies of the published manuscript(s) must be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.

○ Letters of Organizational Support (no page limit per letter): Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable), signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project. *If the PI does not have his/her own laboratory, this letter should confirm that laboratory space is available for the proposed project.* Letters of support not requested in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity, such as those from members of Congress, do not impact application review or funding decisions.
○ Letters of Collaboration (if applicable) (no page limit per letter): Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work.

○ Intellectual Property
  – Background and Proprietary Information: All software and data first produced under the award are subject to a Federal purpose license. Provide a list of all background intellectual property to be used in the project or provide a statement that none will be used. If applicable, state and identify the proprietary information that will be provided to the Government and indicate whether the applicant will require a waiver of the Federal purpose license.
  – Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.

○ Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, Section L for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.


The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Of particular importance, programmatic reviewers typically do not have access to the full application and therefore rely on the technical abstract for appropriate description of the project’s key aspects. Therefore, clarity and completeness within the space limits of the technical abstract are highly important. Technical abstracts should be written using the outline below:

○ Background: Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work.

○ Hypothesis: State the hypothesis to be tested. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the hypothesis.

○ Specific Aims: State the specific aims of the study.

○ Study Design: Describe the study design including appropriate controls.

○ Innovation: Briefly describe what is innovative about the proposed research according to the OCRP definition of innovation and how it is different from previous research. Investigating the next logical step or incremental advance on published data is not considered innovative.

○ Impact: Describe how the proposed research is relevant to the vision and mission of the OCRP. Outline the potential short-term or long-term impact of
the proposed research on ovarian cancer or survivorship. Describe the potential impact of the proposed research on the health and welfare of military Service members, their Families, and other military beneficiaries.

- **Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “LayAbs.pdf.”** Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

The lay abstract is an important component of the application review process because it addresses issues of particular interest to the consumer advocate community.

Do not duplicate the technical abstract. Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below:

- Clearly describe, in a manner readily understood by lay persons, the rationale and objective for the proposed work.
- Describe the central problem in ovarian cancer addressed in the proposed research.
- Describe how the proposed research is relevant to the vision and mission of the OCRP.
- Describe the impact that the proposed study could, whether short-term or long-term, have on ovarian cancer.
  - Which individuals will it help, and how will it help them?
  - What are the potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks (potential long-term outcomes)? If the research is too basic for clinical applicability, describe the short-term outcomes.
  - What is the potential impact of the proposed research on the health and welfare of military Service members, their Families, and other military beneficiaries?

- **Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (two-page limit): Upload as “SOW.pdf.”** The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For the Pilot Award mechanism, use the SOW format example titled “SOW (Statement of Work) Generic Format.” The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.3., for detailed guidance on creating the SOW.

- **Attachment 6: Innovation Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Innovation.pdf.” Briefly describe what is innovative about the proposed research according to the definition of OCRP innovation and how it is different from previous research. Investigating the next logical step or incremental advance on published data is not considered innovative.
• **Attachment 7: Impact Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Impact.pdf.”
  Describe a central problem in ovarian cancer addressed in the proposed research. Explain why the proposed research project is important and how the proposed research, *if successful, could ultimately lead to critical discoveries or major advancements and accelerate progress toward eliminating ovarian cancer.* Outline the potential short-term or long-term impact of the proposed research on ovarian cancer.

• **Attachment 8: Additional Information (one-page limit):** Upload as “AddInfo.pdf.” One page for additional information that the PI can use, at his/her discretion, to provide supporting data or rationale or justification for the proposed work. If no additional information will be supplied, leave Attachment 8 blank.

• **Attachment 9: Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form(s), if applicable:** Upload as “MFBudget.pdf.” If a Military Facility (military health system facility, research laboratory, treatment facility, dental treatment facility, or a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center) will be a collaborator in performance of the project, complete the Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form (available for download on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page), including a budget justification, for each Military Facility as instructed. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.8., for detailed information.

3. **Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information.

• **PI Biographical Sketch (five-page limit):** Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
  The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page ([https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm](https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm)) in eBRAP. The five-page National Institutes of Health Biographical Sketch may also be used.

• **PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit):** Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”

• **Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit each):** Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”

• **Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit):** Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”

4. **Research & Related Budget:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for detailed information.

• **Budget Justification (no page limit):** Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf.” The budget justification for the entire period of performance must be uploaded to the Research & Related Budget after completion of the budget for Period 1.
5. **Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.6., for detailed information.

6. **R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.7., for detailed information.

D. **Applicant Verification of Grants.gov Submission in eBRAP**

Prior to the end of the application verification period, PIs and organizational representatives can review and modify in eBRAP certain components of an application submitted to Grants.gov. Following retrieval and processing of the Grants.gov application, eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI by email to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the Grants.gov application submission. eBRAP will validate retrieved files against the specific Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity requirements and discrepancies will be noted in both the email and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. eBRAP does not confirm the accuracy of file content. It is the applicant’s responsibility to review all application components and ensure proper ordering as specified in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. If *either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a “Changed/Corrected Application” with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the application submission deadline.* The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.

E. **Submission Dates and Times**

All submission dates and times are indicated on the title page of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in application rejection.

F. **Other Submission Requirements**

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for detailed formatting guidelines.

All applications must be submitted through Grants.gov. Applicant organizations and all subrecipient organizations must have a DUNS number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the SAM with an “Active” status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.A., for information on Grants.gov registration requirements.

III. **APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION**

A. **Application Review and Selection Process**

All applicants are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumer advocates using a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review of applications against established criteria for determining technical merit. The second tier is a programmatic review that makes recommendations for funding to the DHA RDA Directorate and the Office of the Assistant
Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, based on (a) technical merit and (b) the relevance to the mission of the DHP and OCRP, and to the specific intent of the award mechanism. The highest scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/fundingprocess.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a nondisclosure statement that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization’s application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment from Federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with Title 18 United States Code 1905.

B. Application Review Process

1. Peer Review: To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are in descending order of importance:

   - **Innovation**
     - To what extent the proposed research will provide new paradigms, or insights, or new technologies, or applications that have the potential to meet the mission of the OCRP which is to support patient-centered research to prevent, detect, treat, and cure ovarian cancer.
     - To what extent the proposed research represents more than an incremental advance of published data or more than the next logical step in a research project.

   - **Research Strategy and Feasibility**
     - To what extent the scientific rationale supports the hypothesis and feasibility of this pilot project, as demonstrated by a review and analysis of the literature.
     - How well the hypotheses, experimental design, methods, and analyses (and if applicable, the statistical plan, rationale for the statistical methodology, and power analysis) have been developed and how well they support completion of the aims.
     - How well the PI identifies potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.
     - How preliminary data, if included, support the proposed research.
     - If the study proposes using animals or cell lines, how well the proposed model(s) or cell line(s) are appropriate to accomplish the proposed work.
If human subjects or human anatomical samples will be used, how well the plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples is justified and appropriate to accomplish the proposed work.

- **Impact**
  - To what extent the anticipated short-term or long-term outcome(s) of the proposed research project will impact ovarian cancer research and will contribute to preventing, detecting, treating, and curing ovarian cancer.

In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

- **Personnel**
  - To what extent the research team’s background, experience, and expertise are appropriate to execute the proposed work.
  - To what degree the levels of effort by the PI and other key personnel will ensure success of the proposed work.

- **Environment**
  - How the research requirements are supported by the availability of and accessibility to facilities and resources (including collaborative arrangements, if applicable).
  - How the quality and extent of institutional support are appropriate for the proposed research.
  - If applicable, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.

- **Budget**
  - Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research and within the limitations of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity

- **Application Presentation**
  - To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

2. **Programmatic Review:** To make funding recommendations and select the application(s) that, individually or collectively, will best achieve the program objectives, the following criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:

   a. **Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers**
   
   b. **Relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY15 OCRP, as evidenced by the following:**
      - Relative innovation
      - Relative impact on ovarian cancer
      - Program portfolio composition and balance
      - Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
C. Recipient Qualification

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1.

D. Application Review Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated on the title page of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

E. Notification of Application Review Results

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

After receipt of pre-applications from eBRAP or applications from Grants.gov, the following administrative actions may occur:

A. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application:

- Preproposal Narrative is missing.
- Blinding of the pre-application is not followed.

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Submission of an application for which a letter of invitation was not received.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.
- The proposed research is, or requests funding for, a clinical trial.
- Submission of the same research project to different Funding Opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.

B. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.
C. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application or application:

- A FY15 OCRP IP member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. A list of the FY15 OCRP IP members can be found at [http://cdmrp.army.mil/ocrp/panels/panels15](http://cdmrp.army.mil/ocrp/panels/panels15).

- The application fails to conform to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity description to the extent that appropriate review cannot be conducted.

- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.

- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).

- Inclusion of any employee of CDMRP review contractors in applications for funding without adequate plans to mitigate conflicts of interest. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for detailed information.

- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.

- The proposed research has overlap with a current or previously funded research project to the PI and organization.

D. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending institutional investigation. The institution will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.

V. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Award Notice

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2016. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, for additional award administration information.

Any assistance instrument awarded under this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity will be governed by the award terms and conditions, which conform to DoD’s implementation of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars applicable to financial assistance. Terms and conditions of new awards made after December 26, 2014 may include revisions to reflect DoD implementation of new OMB guidance in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 2, Part 200, “Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards” (2 CFR part 200).
B. Administrative Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3 for general information regarding administrative requirements.

C. National Policy Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4 for general information regarding national policy requirements.

D. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, Section I, for general information on reporting requirements.

E. Award Transfers

Changes in PI and organization are allowed, and will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and at the discretion of the Grants Officer.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, Section M, for general information on organization or PI changes.

VI. AGENCY CONTACTS

A. CDMRP Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the submission of the pre-application through eBRAP should be directed to the CDMRP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507
Email: help@eBRAP.org

B. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. Federal holidays). Note that the CDMRP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726
Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the Grants.gov application package. If the Grants.gov application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.
## VII. APPLICATION SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grants.gov Application Components</th>
<th>Upload Order</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF-424 (R&amp;R) Application for Federal Assistance</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachments Form</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name “ProjectNarrative.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name “Support.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name “TechAbs.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name “LayAbs.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name “SOW.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Innovation Statement: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name “Innovation.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Impact Statement: Upload as Attachment 7 with file name “Impact.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Additional Information: Upload as Attachment 8 with file name “AddInfo.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form(s): Upload as Attachment 9 with file name “MFBudget.pdf,” if applicable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)</td>
<td>Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Budget</td>
<td>Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R &amp; R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>