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I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2016 (FY16) Tuberous Sclerosis Complex Research Program (TSCRP) are being solicited for the Defense Health Agency, Research, Development, and Acquisition (DHA RDA) Directorate, by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA). As directed by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs (OASD[HA]), the DHA RDA Directorate manages the Defense Health Program (DHP) Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation. The managing agent for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP). The TSCRP was initiated in 2002 to provide support for research of exceptional scientific merit and to promote innovative research focused on decreasing the clinical impact of Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (TSC). Appropriations for the TSCRP from FY02 through FY15 totaled $59 million (M). The FY16 appropriation is $6M.

B. FY16 TSCRP Mission and Focus Areas

The mission of the FY16 TSCRP is to encourage innovative research aimed at understanding the pathogenesis, and preventing and treating the manifestations of TSC. Within this context, the FY16 TSCRP encourages applications to address one or more of the following Focus Areas:

- Understanding phenotypic heterogeneity in TSC
- Gaining a deeper knowledge of TSC signaling pathways and the cellular consequences of TSC deficiency
- Improving TSC disease models
- Developing clinical biomarkers for TSC
- Facilitating therapeutics and clinical trials research

If the proposed research project does not address one or more of the FY16 Focus Areas, justification that the proposed research project addresses an important problem or unmet need related to TSC research and/or patient care should be provided.

TSC Research Resources Initiative: Resources developed through TSCRP funding are available to the scientific community and can be found at http://cdmrp.army.mil/tscrp/resources/tscresources; investigators are urged to leverage these resources. Investigators are expected to share data and resources developed through TSCRP funding via the Research Resources. A Data and Resource Sharing Plan (Attachment 10) describing how data and resources generated during the performance of the proposed research project will be made available to the scientific community should be included in the application. For additional guidance on sharing data and research resources information, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section K.
C. Award Information

The TSCRP Synergistic Idea Development Award mechanism is being offered for the first time in FY16. The Synergistic Idea Development Award supports new or existing partnerships between two or three Principal Investigators (PIs) who should utilize their complementary and synergistic perspectives to significantly accelerate advances in TSC research to support the TSCRP vision to lessen the impact of TSC. Although groundbreaking research often involves a degree of risk, applications should be based on a sound scientific rationale that is established through logical reasoning, critical review and analysis of the literature, and preliminary data relevant to TSC and the proposed project. Research deemed innovative may represent a new paradigm, challenge current paradigms, explore existing problems from new perspectives, or exhibit other highly creative qualities. Research that is an incremental advance upon published data is not considered innovative.

The Synergistic Idea Development Award mechanism requires multiple investigators in a wide spectrum of disciplines including, but not limited to, basic science, engineering, bioinformatics, population science, translational research, and clinical research to jointly design a single project. One PI will be identified as the Initiating PI and will be responsible for the majority of the administrative tasks associated with application submission. The other PI(s) will be identified as a Partnering PI(s). Initiating and Partnering PIs each have different submission requirements, as described in Section II; however, all PIs should contribute significantly to the development and execution of the proposed research project. If recommended for funding, each PI will receive his/her own award.

The research project must be supported by the unique expertise, experience, and abilities of each PI, and it must clearly define the synergistic components (i.e., leveraging disciplines, expertise, or critical resources) that will significantly advance the project such that the research outcomes as a whole will be realized rapidly and efficiently and could not otherwise be accomplished through independent efforts of a single PI. Multidisciplinary projects are encouraged, and multi-institutional projects are allowed. Each proposed study must include clearly stated plans for interactions among all PIs and organizations involved. The plans must include communication, coordination of research progress and results, and data transfer. Additionally, multi-institutional applications must provide an intellectual property plan to resolve potential intellectual and material property issues and to remove institutional barriers that might interfere with achieving high levels of cooperation to ensure the successful completion of this award.

Guidelines for Animal Research: All projects should adhere to a core set of standards for rigorous study design and reporting to maximize the reproducibility and translational potential of preclinical research. The standards are described in Landis, S.C., et al. A call for transparent reporting to optimize the predictive value of preclinical research, Nature 2012, 490:187-191 (http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v490/n7419/full/nature11556.html). While these standards are written for preclinical studies, the basic principles of randomization, blinding, sample-size estimation, and data handling derive from well-established best practices in clinical studies. Projects that include research on animal models are required to submit Attachment 9 Animal Research Plan, as part of the application package to describe how these standards will be addressed. Applicants should consult the ARRIVE (Animal Research: Reporting In Vivo Experiments) guidelines to ensure relevant aspects of rigorous animal research are adequately
planned for and, ultimately, reported. The ARRIVE guidelines can be found at http://www.elsevier.com/__data/promis_misc/622936arrive_guidelines.pdf.

**Research Involving Animals:** All Department of Defense (DoD)-funded research involving new and ongoing research with animals must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USAMRMC) Office of Research Protections (ORP) Animal Care and Use Review Office (ACURO), in addition to the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of record. IACUC approval at the time of submission is not required. Specific documents relating to the use of animals in the proposed research will be requested if the application is selected for funding. The ACURO must review and approve all animal use prior to the start of working with animals, including amendments to ongoing projects. PIs must submit the institutional animal use protocol, IACUC approval of that protocol, and a version of the animal use appendix titled “Research Involving Animals.” **Allow at least 2 to 3 months for ACURO regulatory review and approval processes for animal studies.** Refer to General Application Instructions, Appendix 6, for additional information.

**Research Involving Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human Cadavers:** All DoD-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the USAMRMC ORP, Human Research Protection Office (HRPO) prior to research implementation. This administrative review requirement is in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee (EC) review. Local IRB/EC approval at the time of submission is not required. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and requirements governing all research involving human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers that is supported by the DoD. These laws and requirements will necessitate information in addition to that supplied to the IRB/EC. **Allow a minimum of 2 to 3 months for HRPO regulatory review and approval processes.** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 6, and the Human Subject Resource Document available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) for additional information.

**Research involving human subjects and human anatomical substances is permitted; however, clinical trials are not allowed under this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.** A clinical trial is defined as a prospective accrual of patients where an intervention (e.g., device, drug, biologic, surgical procedure, rehabilitative modality, behavioral intervention, or other) is tested on a human subject for a measurable outcome with respect to safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy. This outcome represents a direct effect on the subject of that intervention or interaction. For more information on clinical trials and clinical research overall, a Human Subject Resource Document is provided on the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) system at https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program PIs wishing to apply for funding for a clinical trial should utilize the FY16 TSCR Pilot Clinical Trial Award mechanism (Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-16-TSCR-PCTA).

*The CDMRP intends that information, data, and research resources generated under awards funded by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity be made available to the research community (which includes both scientific and consumer advocacy communities)*
and to the public at large. For additional guidance, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section K.

D. Eligibility Information

- Each PI must be at or above the level of Assistant Professor or equivalent.
- Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.
- Eligible investigators must apply through an organization. Organizations eligible to apply include Federal agencies, national, international, for-profit, nonprofit, public, and private organizations.
- An intramural investigator is defined as a DoD military or civilian employee working within a DoD laboratory or medical treatment facility, or working in a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. Submissions from intramural (DoD) organizations are allowed and encouraged for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Applicants submitting through their intramural organizations are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective resource managers. If an investigator at an intramural organization is named as a collaborator on an application submitted through an extramural organization, the application must include a letter from the collaborator's Commander or Commanding Officer at the intramural organization that authorizes the collaborator's involvement.
- Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for general eligibility information.

E. Funding

- The maximum period of performance is 3 years.
- The anticipated combined direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance for the Initiating PI and the Partnering PI’s/PIs’ applications will not exceed $750,000. The combined total direct costs of the Initiating PI and the Partnering PI’s/PIs’ awards will not exceed $750,000 direct costs. If the Initiating PI’s or Partnering PI’s/PIs’ budgets contain a subaward (or multiple subawards), all direct and indirect costs of the subaward(s) must be included in the direct costs of the primary award. Collaborating organizations should budget associated indirect costs in accordance with each organization’s negotiated rate. The combined budgeted direct costs approved by the Government will not exceed $750,000 or use an indirect rate exceeding each organization’s negotiated rate.
- All direct and indirect costs of any subaward (subgrant or subcontract) must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.
- The applicants may together request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum 3 years.
- A separate award will be made to each PI’s organization.
The PIs are expected to be equal partners in the research, and direct cost funding should be divided accordingly, unless otherwise warranted and clearly justified.

For this award mechanism, direct costs may be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary
- Research supplies
- Clinical research costs (no clinical trials allowed)
- Support for multidisciplinary collaborations, including travel
- Travel costs for up to three investigators to travel to one scientific/technical meeting per year

Shall not be requested for:

- Clinical trial costs

Awards to extramural organizations will consist solely of assistance agreements (Cooperative Agreements and Grants). Awards to intramural (DoD) agencies and other Federal agencies may be managed through a direct fund transfer (e.g., the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request [MIPR]; Funding Authorization Document [FAD] process; or DD Form 1144 Support Agreement). Direct transfer of funds from the recipient to a DoD agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. For Federal agencies or organizations collaborating with Federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in Section II.C.4. of the General Application Instructions.

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately $1.2M of the $6M FY16 TSCRP appropriation to fund approximately one Synergistic Idea Development Award application, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is contingent upon the availability of Federal funds for this program.

II. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application(s).

Submission is a two-step process requiring both (1) pre-application submission through the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) (https://eBRAP.org/) and (2) application submission through Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov/). Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.A., for registration and submission requirements for eBRAP and Grants.gov.

The pre-application and application submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Federal applicants must be familiar with Grants.gov
requirements, including the need for an active System for Award Management (SAM) registration and a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. Refer to Appendix 3 of the General Application Instructions for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

eBRAP is a multifunctional web-based system that allows PIs to submit their pre-applications electronically through a secure connection, to view and edit the content of their pre-applications and full applications, to receive communications from the CDMRP, and to submit documentation during award negotiations and period of performance. A key feature of eBRAP is the ability of an organization’s representatives and PIs to view and modify the Grants.gov application submissions associated with them. eBRAP will validate Grants.gov application files against the specific Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity requirements and discrepancies will be noted in an email to the PI and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. It is the applicant’s responsibility to review all application components for accuracy as well as ensure proper ordering as specified in this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

The application title, eBRAP log number, and all information for the PI, Business Official(s), performing organization, and contracting organization must be consistent for the entire pre-application and application submission process. Inconsistencies may delay application processing and limit the ability to view, modify, and verify the application in eBRAP. If any changes need to be made, the applicant should contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507 prior to the application deadline.

Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP is strongly recommended, but not required. The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline. Prior to the full application deadline, a corrected or modified full application package may be submitted. Other application components may be changed until the end of the application verification period. After the end of the application verification period, the full application cannot be modified.

The Synergistic Idea Development Award mechanism is structured to accommodate at least two, and a maximum of three, PIs. One PI will be identified as the Initiating PI and will be responsible for the majority of the administrative tasks associated with application submission. The other PI(s) will be identified as Partnering PI(s). Initiating and Partnering PIs each have different submission requirements; however, all PIs should contribute significantly to the development of the proposed research project including the Project Narrative, Statement of Work, and other required components. The Initiating PI must complete the pre-application submission process and submit the contact information for each Partnering PI. Each Partnering PI will then be notified of the pre-application submission separately by email. Each Partnering PI must follow the link in this email in order to associate his/her Grants.gov application package with that of the Initiating PI. If not previously registered, the Partnering PI must register in eBRAP. A new pre-application based on this research project should not be initiated by the Partnering PI. Do not delay completing these steps. If they are not completed, the Partnering PI(s) will not be able to view and modify his/her application during the verification period in eBRAP.
A. Where to Obtain the Grants.gov Application Package

To obtain the Grants.gov application package, including all required forms, perform a basic search using the Funding Opportunity Number W81XWH-16-TSCRP-SIDA in Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov/).

B. Pre-Application Submission Content

The pre-application process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. During the pre-application process, each submission is assigned a unique log number by eBRAP. This unique eBRAP log number will be needed during the application process on Grants.gov.

All pre-application components must be submitted by the Initiating PI through eBRAP (https://eBRAP.org/).

PIs and organizations identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for additional information on pre-application submission):

- **Tab 1 – Application Information**
- **Tab 2 – Application Contacts**
  - Enter contact information for the PI. Enter the organization’s Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (the “person to be contacted on matters involving this application” in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF424 (R&R) Form). The Business Official must either be selected from the eBRAP list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.
  - Select the performing organization (site at which the PI will perform the proposed work) and the contracting organization (organization submitting on behalf of the PI, which corresponds to Block 5 on the Grants.gov SF424 (R&R) Form), and click on “Add Organizations to this Pre-application.” The organization(s) must either be selected from the eBRAP drop-down list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.
  - It is recommended that PIs identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.
- **Tab 3 – Collaborators and Key Personnel**
  - Enter the name, organization, and role of all collaborators and key personnel associated with the application.
FY16 TSCRP Programmatic Panel members should not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to Panel members and pre-applications or applications, refer to Section IV.C., Withdrawal, or contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

The Initiating PI must enter the contact information for each Partnering PI in the Partnering PI section. This Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity allows a maximum of two Partnering PIs.

To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in application preparation, research, or other duties for submitted applications. For FY16, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies will be administratively withdrawn unless plans to manage conflicts of interest (COIs) are provided and deemed appropriate by the Government. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for detailed information.

- **Tab 4 – Conflicts of Interest**
  - List all individuals other than collaborators and key personnel who may have a COI in the review of the application (including those with whom the PI has a personal or professional relationship). Refer to Appendix 1, Section C, of the General Application Instructions for further information regarding COIs.

- **Tab 5 – Pre-Application Files**
  - **Letter of Intent (LOI) (one-page limit):** Provide a brief description of the research to be conducted. If applicable, include the Focus Area(s) under which the application will be submitted. LOIs are used for program planning purposes only (e.g., reviewer recruitment) and will not be reviewed during either the peer or programmatic review sessions.

- **Tab 6 – Submit Pre-Application**
  - This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.

C. **Full Application Submission Content**

The application process should be started early on Grants.gov to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Verify the status of the applicant’s organization’s Entity registration in the SAM well in advance of the application submission deadline. Allow 3 to 4 weeks to complete the entire SAM registration process. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II, for additional information.

*All contributors and administrators to the application must use matching compatible versions of Adobe software when editing and preparing application components. The use of different*
software versions will result in corruption of the submitted file. See Section II.C. of the General Application Instructions for details on compatible Adobe software.

The CDMRP cannot make allowances/exceptions to its policies for submission problems encountered by the applicant organization using system-to-system interfaces with Grants.gov.

Each application submission must include the completed Grants.gov application package for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. The Grants.gov application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through the Grants.gov portal (http://www.grants.gov/).

Note: The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.

If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or if the Project Narrative or Budget Form needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a “Changed/Corrected Application” with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the application submission deadline.

The CDMRP requires separate Grants.gov application package submissions for the Initiating PI and each Partnering PI, even if the PIs are located within the same organization. Initiating and Partnering PIs will each be assigned a unique eBRAP log number. Each Grants.gov application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number. Note: All associated applications (Initiating and each Partnering PI) must be submitted by the Grants.gov deadline.

Application Components for the Initiating PI:

The Grants.gov application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number to avoid delays in application processing.

Grants.gov application package components: For the Synergistic Idea Development Award, the Grants.gov application package includes the following components (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for additional information on application submission):

1. SF424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.

2. Attachments Form

   Each attachment to the Grants.gov application forms must be uploaded as an individual PDF file in accordance with the formatting guidelines listed in Appendix 2 of the General Application Instructions. For all attachments, ensure that the file names are consistent with the guidance. Grants.gov will reject attachments with file names longer than 50 characters or incorrect file names that contain characters other than the following: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, and period. In addition, Grants.gov has file size limits that may apply in some circumstances. Individual
attachments may not exceed 20 MB and the file size for the entire Grants.gov application package may not exceed 200 MB.

- **Attachment 1: Project Narrative (15-page limit): Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf.”** The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

  Describe the proposed project in detail using the outline below.

  - **Focus Areas:** State the FY16 Focus Area(s) that the proposed research project will address. If the proposed research project does not address one or more of the FY16 Focus Areas, state the important problem or unmet need related to TSC research and/or patient care that will be addressed.

  - **Background:** Present the ideas and rationale behind the proposed research project; include relevant literature citations. Describe previous experience most pertinent to this application. *Include preliminary and/or published data that are relevant to TSC and the proposed research project.*

  - **Hypothesis or Objective:** State the hypothesis to be tested or the objective to be reached.

  - **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project’s specific aims. If this application is part of a larger study, present only tasks that this award would fund.

  - **Research Strategy:**
    - Describe the experimental design, methods, and analyses, including appropriate randomization, blinding, and controls, in sufficient detail for scientific peer review.
    - Describe how data will be collected, handled, and analyzed in a manner that is consistent with the study objectives. Describe the statistical plan appropriate for the proposed research project. Include a complete power analysis to demonstrate that the sample size is appropriate to meet the objectives of the study.
    - *Include specific examples of synergistic elements incorporated into the research design.*
    - Address potential problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches.
    - If any biological material will be used, the name, definition, pathological classification, and source of the material must be provided.
If human subjects or human anatomical samples will be used, include a detailed plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples. *This award cannot be used to conduct clinical trials.*

- **Project Coordination and Communication:** Describe plans for communication, decision-making, allocation of resources, coordination of research progress and results, and sharing of data among all PIs and organizations participating in the project.

- **Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation.** Start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf.” If documents are scanned to pdf, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. **There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested will result in the removal of those items or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.**

  - References Cited: List the references cited (including URLs, if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).

  - List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.

  - Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether or not Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present Government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.

  - Publications and/or Patents: Include a list of relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly available, then copies of up to five published manuscripts may be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.

  - Letters of Organizational Support: Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable), signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project. Letters of support not requested in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity, such as those from members of Congress, do not impact application review or funding decisions. **Provide a letter from each PI’s organization.**

  - Letters of Collaboration: Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work.
○ Intellectual Property
  – Intangible property acquired, created or developed under this award will be subject to all rights and responsibilities established at 2 CFR 200.315. Should the applicant intend to use, in the performance of this program, pre-existing, legally protected and perfected intangible property and for which no Federal funds had been used in the development of said property, the applicant must:
    ▪ Clearly identify all such property;
    ▪ Identify the cost to the Federal government for use or license of such property, if applicable; or
    ▪ Provide a statement that no property meeting this definition will be used on this project.
  – Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.

- Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “TechAbs.pdf.” Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. *Do not include proprietary or confidential information.* Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

  The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Of particular importance, programmatic reviewers may not have access to the full application and therefore rely on the technical abstract for appropriate description of the project’s key aspects. Therefore, clarity and completeness within the space limits of the technical abstract are highly important.

  Describe the proposed research project including the following elements:
  ○ Background: Present the ideas and rationale behind the proposed research project.
  ○ Hypothesis/Objective: State the hypothesis to be tested or the objective to be reached. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the objective/hypothesis.
  ○ Specific Aims: State the specific aims of the proposed research project.
  ○ Study Design: Briefly describe the study design including appropriate controls.
  ○ Impact: Briefly summarize how the proposed research project, if successful, will have an impact on TSC research and/or patient care.
  ○ Innovation: Briefly describe how the proposed research project is novel and innovative.

- Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “LayAbs.pdf.” The lay abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. *Do not include proprietary or confidential information.* Use
only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below. Do not duplicate the technical abstract. Minimize use of acronyms and abbreviations. The lay abstract is an important component of the application review process because it addresses issues of particular interest to the consumer community.

- Describe the scientific objective and rationale for the proposed project in a manner that will be readily understood by readers without a background in science or medicine.
- Describe the ultimate applicability of the research.
  - What types of patients will it help, and how will it help them?
  - What are the potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks?
  - What is the projected time it may take to achieve a patient-related outcome?
  - If the research is too basic for immediate clinical applicability, describe the interim outcomes.
- What are the likely contributions to advancing the field of TSC research and/or patient care?

- **Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit): Upload as “SOW.pdf.”** The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For the Synergistic Idea Development Award mechanism, use the SOW format example titled “SOW (Statement of Work) Generic Format.” The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.2., for detailed guidance on creating the SOW.

  *Each PI must submit an identical copy of a jointly created SOW. The contributions of the Initiating PI and Partnering PI(s) should be noted for each task.*

- **Attachment 6: Impact Statement (one-page limit): Upload as “Impact.pdf.”** Explain how the proposed research project addresses one or more of the FY16 TSCRIP Focus Areas, or, if the project does not address a Focus Area, provide justification that the proposed research project addresses an important problem or unmet need in TSC research and/or patient care.

  Explain in detail why the proposed research project is important, as follows:

  - **Describe the short-term impact:** Detail the anticipated outcome(s)/product(s) that will be directly attributed to the results of the proposed research.

  - **Describe the long-term impact:** Explain the anticipated long-term gains from the proposed research, including the anticipated advantages that the new understanding may contribute to the goal of advancing TSC research and/or patient care.
• **Attachment 7: Innovation Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Innovation.pdf.”

Describe how the proposed work is innovative. Research that represents an incremental advancement on published data is not considered innovative. *Although not all-inclusive,* the following examples are ways in which the proposed research project may be innovative. The proposed research will:

- Explore a novel idea and/or research question in TSC.
- Use or develop novel methods or technologies to address a question in TSC.
- Apply or adapt existing methods or technologies for novel TSC research or clinical purposes that differ fundamentally from those originally intended.

• **Attachment 8: Synergy Statement (one-page limit):** Upload as “Synergy.pdf.”

Discuss in detail the advantages of addressing this problem through the combined expertise of the PIs and how this contributes to the synergy of the application. Include each PI’s history of synergistic and collaborative association with one another and/or with other investigators.

Describe the elements of interdependence in the proposed work and the contributions of each PI to the overall synergy of the project. Describe how the combined efforts of the PIs will result in a level of productivity that is greater than that achievable by each PI working independently.

• **Attachment 9: Animal Research Plan (three-page limit) (required if proposed research project involves animals):** Upload as “AnimalPlan.pdf.” If the proposed research project involves animals, the applicant is required to submit a summary describing the animal research that will be conducted. Applicants should not submit a verbatim replica of the protocol(s) to be submitted to the IACUC as the Animal Research Plan. The Animal Research Plan should address the following points for each proposed animal study:

- Briefly describe the research objective(s) of the animal study. Explain how and why the animal species, strain, and model(s) being used can address the scientific objectives and, where appropriate, the study’s relevance to human biology.
- Summarize the procedures to be conducted. Describe how the study will be controlled.
- Describe the randomization and blinding procedures for the study, and any other measures to be taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias during animal treatment and assessment of results. If randomization and/or blinding will not be utilized, provide justification.
- Provide a sample size estimate for each study arm and the method by which it was derived, including power analysis calculations.
- Describe how data will be handled, including rules for stopping data collection, criteria for inclusion and exclusion of data, how outliers will be defined and
handled, statistical methods for data analysis, and identification of the primary endpoint(s).

- **Attachment 10: Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan:** Upload as “ResourceSharing.pdf.” Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the proposed research project will be shared with the research community. Specifically describe a plan to make animal models, tissue samples, and other resources developed as part of the proposed research project available to the scientific community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section K, for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.

- **Attachment 11: Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form(s), if applicable:** Upload as “MFBudget.pdf.” If a Military Facility (military health system facility, research laboratory, treatment facility, dental treatment facility, or a DoD activity embedded with a civilian medical center) will be a collaborator in performance of the project, complete the Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form, available for download on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm), including a budget justification, for each Military Facility as instructed. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.7., for detailed information.

3. **Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information.

- **PI Biographical Sketch (five-page limit):** Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.” The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) in eBRAP. The five-page National Institutes of Health Biographical Sketch may also be used. All biographical sketches should be submitted in the portable document format (pdf) that is not editable.

  Biographical Sketches should be used to demonstrate background and expertise through education, positions, publications, and previous work accomplished.

- **PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit):** Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”

- **Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit each):** Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf.”
  - Include biographical sketches for the Partnering PI(s).

- **Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit):** Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf.”
  - Include previous/current/pending support for the Partnering PI(s).
4. **Research & Related Budget**: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information.

- **Budget Justification (no page limit)**: Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf.” The budget justification for the entire period of performance must be uploaded to the Research & Related Budget after completion of the budget for Period 1.

- **Initiating and Partnering PIs must each submit a budget and justification specific to their own portion of the efforts as part of their separate Grants.gov application packages.** The Research & Related Budget for the Initiating PI should not include budget information for Partnering PI(s), even if they are located within the same organization. The anticipated combined direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance for the Initiating PI and the Partnering PIs’ applications will not exceed $750,000. The combined total direct costs of the Initiating and the Partnering PIs’ awards will not exceed $750,000 direct costs. If the Initiating PI’s or any Partnering PI’s budgets contain a subaward (or multiple subawards), all direct and indirect costs of the subaward(s) must be included in the direct costs of the primary award. Collaborating organizations should budget associated indirect costs in accordance with each organization’s negotiated rate. The combined budgeted direct costs approved by the Government will not exceed $750,000 or using an indirect rate exceeding each organization’s negotiated rate.

5. **Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form**: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for detailed information.

6. **R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable)**: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.6., for detailed information.

Collaborating DoD Military Facilities Form: A Military Facility collaborating in the performance of the project should be treated as a subaward for budget purposes. However, do not complete the Grants.Gov R & R Subaward Budget Attachment Form; instead, complete the Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form (use Attachment 11, Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form) to show all direct and indirect costs. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.7., for detailed information.

---

**Application Components for the Partnering PI(s):**

Each Partnering PI must follow the link in the email from eBRAP and, if not registered in eBRAP, complete the registration process prior to the application submission deadline in order to associate his/her Grants.gov application package with that of the Initiating PI.

The application submission process for Partnering PI(s) uses an abbreviated Grants.gov application package that includes:

1. **SF424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form**
2. Attachments Form

- **Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit):** Upload as “SOW.pdf.” Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.2., for detailed information on completing the SOW. **Each PI must submit an identical copy of a jointly created SOW. The contributions of the Initiating PI and Partnering PI(s) should be noted for each task.**

3. Research & Related Budget: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information.

- Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf.”

- **Initiating and Partnering PIs must each submit a budget and justification specific to their own portion of the efforts as part of their separate Grants.gov application packages. The Research & Related Budget for the Partnering PI(s) should not include budget information for the Initiating PI, even if they are at the same organization. The anticipated combined direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance for the Initiating PI’s and the Partnering PI’s/PIs’ applications will not exceed $750,000. The combined total direct costs of the Initiating and the Partnering PI’s awards will not exceed $750,000 direct costs. If the Initiating PI’s or any Partnering PI’s budgets contain a subaward (or multiple subawards), all direct and indirect costs of the subaward(s) must be included in the direct costs of the primary award. Collaborating organizations should budget associated indirect costs in accordance with each organization’s negotiated rate. The combined budgeted direct costs approved by the Government will not exceed $750,000 or using an indirect rate exceeding each organization’s negotiated rate.**

4. Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for detailed information.

5. R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable): Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for detailed information.

Collaborating DoD Military Facilities Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.7., for detailed information. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.Gov Research and Related Budget form under subaward costs.

D. Applicant Verification of Grants.gov Submission in eBRAP

Prior to the end of the application verification period, PIs and organizational representatives can review and modify in eBRAP certain components of an application submitted to Grants.gov. Following retrieval and processing of the Grants.gov application, eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI by email to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the Grants.gov application submission. eBRAP will validate retrieved files against the specific Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity requirements and discrepancies will be noted in both the email and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. eBRAP does not confirm the accuracy of file content. It is the applicant’s responsibility to review all application components.
and ensure proper ordering as specified in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a "Changed/Corrected Application" with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the application submission deadline. The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.

E. Submission Dates and Times

All submission dates and times are indicated on the title page of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in submission rejection.

F. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for detailed formatting guidelines.

All extramural applications must be submitted through Grants.gov. Applicant organizations and all subrecipient organizations must have a DUNS number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the SAM with an “Active” status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.A., for information on Grants.gov registration requirements.

III. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. Application Review and Selection Process

All applications are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumers in a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review of applications against established criteria for determining technical merit. Each application is evaluated for its own merit, independent of other applications. The second tier is a programmatic review that makes recommendations for funding to the DHA RDA Directorate and the OASD(HA), based on technical merit, the relevance to the mission of the DHP and TSCRP, the specific intent of the award mechanism, and to other specified evaluation criteria in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. Programmatic review is a comparison-based process in which applications with scientific and technical merit compete in a common pool. The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Funding recommendations depend on various factors as described in Section III.B.2., Programmatic Review. Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/fundingprocess.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a statement that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process.
process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization’s application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment from Federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with Title 18 United States Code 1905.

B. Application Review Process

1. **Peer Review**: To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria; of these, Synergy is the most important. The remaining scored criteria are of equal importance.

   - **Synergy**
     - To what extent the proposed partnership among the PIs is likely to result in a level of productivity that is greater than that achievable by each PI working independently.
     - To what degree the contributions of each PI to the project are appropriate and balanced.
     - To what extent the application addresses processes for ongoing communication, decision-making, allocation of resources, coordination of research progress and results, and sharing of data among all participating PIs and organizations.

   - **Impact**
     - *Assuming the objectives/goals of the research project are realized*, to what extent the proposed project could, whether in the short term or long term, make a significant impact on TSC research and/or patient care.
     - How well the proposed research project addresses one or more of the FY16 Focus Areas or an important problem or unmet need in TSC research and/or patient care.

   - **Rationale**
     - How well the scientific rationale, including a well-formulated, testable hypothesis and clear mechanistic underpinning, supports the proposed research project.
     - To what extent the provided preliminary data support the proposed research project.

   - **Research Strategy and Feasibility**
     - How well the study (or studies) is designed to achieve reproducible and rigorous results, including controls, sample size estimation, blinding, randomization, and power analysis.
     - How well the handling, collection, and analysis of data is consistent with the study objectives.
How well any animal studies proposed are designed to achieve the objectives, including the choice of model and endpoints/outcome measures to be used.

To what extent the proposed research project is feasible as described.

How well the PIs identify potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.

**Innovation**

To what extent the proposed research project is innovative to the field of TSC in one or more of the following ways: research concept or question, development of novel research methods or technologies, adaptations of existing methods or technologies.

To what extent the proposed research project represents more than an incremental advance upon published data and/or may be considered high risk.

In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

**Personnel**

To what degree the PI’s experience, expertise, and record of accomplishment demonstrate his/her ability to successfully complete the proposed research project.

To what extent the levels of effort by the PI and other key personnel are appropriate to ensure success of the proposed research project.

**Environment**

To what degree the scientific environment is appropriate for the proposed research.

How well the research requirements are supported by the availability of and accessibility to facilities and resources (including collaborative arrangements).

To what degree the quality and extent of organizational support are appropriate.

If applicable, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.

**Budget**

Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research and within the limitations of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

Whether funds and resources are divided appropriately among all PIs.

**Application Presentation**

To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.
2. **Programmatic Review:** To make funding recommendations and select the application(s) that, individually or collectively, will best achieve the program objectives, the following criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:

   a. **Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers**
   
   b. **Relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY16 TSCRP, as evidenced by the following:**
      - Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
      - Program portfolio composition
      - Relative impact and innovation

C. **Recipient Qualification**

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1.

D. **Application Review Dates**

All application review dates and times are indicated on the title page of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

E. **Notification of Application Review Results**

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

IV. **ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS**

After receipt of pre-applications from eBRAP or applications from Grants.gov, the following administrative actions may occur:

A. **Rejection**

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Pre-application was not submitted.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.
- Submission of the same research project to different Funding Opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.
- All associated (Initiating PI’s and Partnering PI’s/PIs’) applications are not submitted by the deadline.
B. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.

C. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the application:

- An FY16 TSCRP Programmatic Panel member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. A list of the FY16 TSCRP Programmatic Panel members can be found at [http://cdmrp.army.mil/tscrp/panels/panels16](http://cdmrp.army.mil/tscrp/panels/panels16).
- The application fails to conform to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity description to the extent that appropriate review cannot be conducted.
- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in the preparation, research or other duties for submitted applications. For FY16, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website ([http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess](http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess)). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies will be administratively withdrawn unless plans to manage COIs are provided and deemed appropriate by the Government. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for detailed information.
- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.
- If a clinical trial is proposed, the application will be withdrawn.
- An application submitted by an Initiating or Partnering PI who does not meet the eligibility criteria will be withdrawn.

D. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending organizational investigation. The organization will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.
V. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Award Notice

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2017. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for additional award administration information.

Any assistance instrument awarded under this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity will be governed by the award terms and conditions, which conform to DoD’s implementation of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars applicable to financial assistance. Terms and conditions of new awards made after December 26, 2014 may include revisions to reflect DoD implementation of new OMB guidance in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 2, Part 200, “Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards” (2 CFR part 200).

B. Administrative Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for general information regarding administrative requirements.

C. National Policy Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for general information regarding national policy requirements.

D. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section H, for general information on reporting requirements. For the Synergistic Idea Development Award, each PI, whether Initiating or Partnering, must submit individual progress reports as required by his/her individual award; however, PIs are expected to work together in preparing the reports. The reports must detail both the research progress made and the impact of the synergistic collaboration.

E. Award Transfers

Changes in PI are not allowed, except under extenuating circumstances that will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and at the discretion of the Grants Officer.

An organizational transfer of an award supporting the Initiating PI or Partnering PI(s) is discouraged and will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and only allowed at the discretion of the Grants Officer. An organizational transfer of an award will not be allowed in the last year of the (original) period of performance or any extension thereof.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, Section L, for general information on organization or PI changes.
VI. VERSION CODES AND AGENCY CONTACTS

A. Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity and General Application Instructions Version

Questions related to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity should refer to the Program name, the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity name, and the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity version code 20160210i. The Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity numeric version code will match the General Applications Instructions version code 20160210.

B. CDMRP Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the submission of the pre-application through eBRAP should be directed to the CDMRP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507
Email: help@eBRAP.org

C. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. Federal holidays). Note that the CDMRP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726; International 1-606-545-5035
Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the Grants.gov application package. If the Grants.gov application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.
## VII. APPLICATION SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grants.gov Application Components</th>
<th>Upload Order</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Initiating PI Completed</th>
<th>Partnering PI Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF424 (R&amp;R) Application for Federal Assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachments Form</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name “ProjectNarrative.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name “Support.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name “TechAbs.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name “LayAbs.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name “SOW.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Impact Statement: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name “Impact.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Innovation Statement: Upload as Attachment 7 with file name “Innovation.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Synergy Statement: Upload as Attachment 8 with file name “Synergy.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Upload as Attachment 10 with file name “ResourceSharing.pdf.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form(s): Upload as Attachment 11 with file name “MFBudget.pdf,” if applicable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete form as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form</td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R &amp; R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form</td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete form as instructed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>