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II. DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

II.A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2019 (FY19) Reconstructive Transplant Research Program (RTRP) are being solicited for the Defense Health Agency (DHA) J9, Research and Development Directorate, by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA) using delegated authority provided by United States Code, Title 10, Section 2358 (10 USC 2358). As directed by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs (OASD[HA]), the DHA manages the Defense Health Program (DHP) Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation. The execution management agent for this Program Announcement is the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP).

The RTRP was initiated in 2012 to provide support for research of exceptional scientific merit that has the potential to make a significant impact on improving the function, wellness, and overall quality of life for injured military Service members and Veterans, their caregivers and family members, and the American public. Appropriations for the RTRP from FY12 through FY18 totaled $81 million (M). The FY19 appropriation is $12M.

The RTRP challenges the scientific community to design innovative research that will foster new directions for and address neglected issues in the field of reconstructive transplantation, specifically vascularized composite allotransplantation (VCA)-focused research, also known as composite tissue allotransplantation. VCA refers to the transplantation of multiple tissues such as muscle, bone, nerve, and skin as a functional unit (e.g., a hand or face) from a deceased donor to a recipient with a severe injury. The ultimate goal is to return injured Service members to duty and restore their quality of life.

Applications from investigators within the military Services and applications involving multi-institutional and multidisciplinary collaborations among academia, industry, the military Services, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), and other Federal Government agencies are highly encouraged.

II.A.1. FY19 RTRP Focus Areas

To meet the intent of the FY19 Qualitative Research Award mechanism, applicants must address at least one of the FY19 RTRP Qualitative Research Award Focus Areas described below.

- Define/assess the benefit or value of VCA, which may include but is not limited to the following:
  - Determine the relative value of VCA compared to other treatment options (e.g., prosthetics, orthotics, reconstruction)
○ Determine how VCA benefits the recipient’s communication and interactions with family, friends, workplace, and the community, as well as their individual sense of self

- Develop or adapt existing quality of life measures for face transplant recipients

- Determine how psychosocial functioning changes over time within VCA recipients

II.A.2. Award History

The RTRP Qualitative Research Award mechanism was offered for the first time in FY16. Since then, 19 applications have been received, and 7 have been recommended for funding.

II.B. Award Information

The intent of the FY19 RTRP Qualitative Research Award is to support multi-institutional partnerships for qualitative research studies that will help researchers and clinicians better understand the experiences of individuals involved in the reconstructive transplant process. This includes those who are considering, or who have already received, reconstructive transplant surgery and/or the reality of lifelong immunosuppression, as well as caregivers, potential donors and their families, and clinicians. This understanding will, in turn, support the ultimate goal of improving transplant outcomes and the quality of life for individuals who receive these life-changing procedures.

Qualitative research is a form of social inquiry that focuses on understanding how people interpret and make sense of their experiences and the world in which they live. Observations that drive a research idea may be derived from basic discovery, population-based studies, a clinician’s first-hand knowledge of patients, or anecdotal data. Qualitative research is intended to be exploratory, open-ended, and unbiased. The information gathered should be meaningful and culturally appropriate to the participant, unanticipated (not hypothesis-based) by the research team, and rich and explanatory in nature.

There are several challenges that impede VCA-related quality of life and psychosocial research. One of these challenges is the relatively small number of VCA procedures that have been performed, with fewer than 200 face, hand, or arm transplants total worldwide to date. To confound this challenge, there is significant heterogeneity among this population with respect to the type, location, and severity of the injury being treated with the transplant. For example, quality of life may vary in hand/arm transplant recipients depending on whether the transplant was unilateral or bilateral, or above or below the elbow. Further, different quality of life domains may exist for upper extremity versus craniofacial transplant recipients. Recipient experiences may also vary, depending on locality and cultural community, as well as the VCA center where they were treated. For all of these reasons, collaboration across multiple VCA centers is considered most ideal for capturing the elements of variability.

New for FY19: The FY19 RTRP Qualitative Research Award is intended to support multi-institutional partnerships among two to four investigators, referred to as the Initiating Principal Investigator (PI) and the Partnering PI(s), each of whom will receive a separate
award. Therefore, all applications must include at least two PIs representing at least two separate institutions. Single PI and single institution applications are not permitted.

The Initiating PI will be responsible for the majority of the administrative tasks associated with application submission. Initiating and Partnering PIs each have different submission requirements, as described in Section II.D.2, Content and Form of the Application Submission; however, all PIs should contribute significantly to the development of the proposed research project, including the Project Narrative, Statement of Work (SOW), and other required components. If recommended for funding, each PI will be named to an individual award within the recipient organization. Multi-institutional collaborations are required.

Important aspects of this award mechanism include:

- **Qualitative Approach:** The research approach must be predominantly qualitative and well-justified. Qualitative findings may lead the research in a more quantifiable direction, in which case a mixed methods approach is acceptable. The specific theoretical basis (e.g., interactionism, phenomenology, critical theory) for the study should be stated and should drive the framing of the research problem.

- **Multi-institutional Research Team:** The study team must include two to four PIs representing two or more institutions. At least one member of the study team must have a demonstrated track record in qualitative research, to include publication and/or funding history. It should be evident in the application that qualitative expertise was involved in the development of the study design.

- **Study Design:** The proposed study design, sampling technique, data collection, and recording method(s) should be appropriate to yield trustworthy, credible, robust, and confirmable results. The documentation of procedures, decisions, and rationale for decisions and conclusions should support consistency, dependability, and duplicability of results and prevent biases and preconceptions. The data analysis plan should be consistent with the research problem and theoretical basis for the study, and ongoing feedback from participants should be incorporated throughout the project, especially regarding interpretation of data and study conclusions.

- **Impact:** The short- and long-term impact of the proposed research should be clearly articulated. Projects must address at least one of the FY19 RTRP Qualitative Research Award Focus Areas.

- **Military Relevance:** All projects should be responsive to the healthcare needs of military Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic injury and/or their family members, caregivers, or clinicians, as well as the general public. Collaboration with military and VA researchers and clinicians is encouraged.

Preliminary and/or published data relevant to reconstructive transplant and the proposed research project are encouraged, but not required.
The anticipated combined total costs (direct and indirect) budgeted for the entire period of performance for the Initiating and all Partnering PIs’ applications will not exceed $1.5M. Refer to Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions, for detailed funding information.

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately $3M to fund approximately two Qualitative Research Award applications. Funding of applications received is contingent upon the availability of Federal funds for this program, as well as the number of applications received, the quality and merit of the applications as evaluated by scientific and programmatic review, and the requirements of the Government. Funds to be obligated on any award resulting from this funding opportunity will be available for use for a limited time period based on the fiscal year of the funds. It is anticipated that awards made from this FY19 funding opportunity will be funded with FY19 funds, which will expire for use on September 30, 2025.

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2020. For additional information refer to Section II.F.1, Federal Award Notices.

The types of awards made under the Program Announcement will be assistance agreements (grants or cooperative agreements). The level of involvement on the part of the Department of Defense (DoD) during project performance is the key factor in determining whether to award a grant or cooperative agreement.

An assistance agreement (grant or cooperative agreement) is appropriate when the Federal Government transfers a “thing of value” to a “state, local government,” or “other recipient” to carry out a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by a law of the United States, instead of acquiring property or service for the direct benefit and use of the U.S. Government. An assistance agreement can take the form of a grant or cooperative agreement. If “no substantial involvement” on the part of the funding agency is anticipated, a grant award will be made (31 USC 6304). Conversely, if substantial involvement on the part of the funding agency is anticipated, a cooperative agreement will be made (31 USC 6305) and the award will identify the specific substantial involvement. Substantial involvement may include collaboration, participation, or intervention in the research to be performed under the award. The award type, along with the start date, will be determined during the negotiation process.

No animal research is permitted under this program announcement.

Research Involving Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human Cadavers: All DoD-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command (USAMRDC) Office of Research Protections (ORP), Human Research Protection Office (HRPO), prior to research implementation. This administrative review requirement is in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee (EC) review. Local IRB/EC approval at the time of submission is not required. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and requirements governing all research involving human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers that is supported by the DoD. These laws and requirements will necessitate information in addition to that supplied to the IRB/EC. Allow a minimum of 2 to 3 months for HRPO regulatory review and approval processes. Additional time for regulatory reviews may
be needed for clinical studies taking place in international settings. When possible, protocols should be written for research with human subjects and/or human anatomical substances that are specific to the DoD-supported effort outlined in the submitted application as a stand-alone study. Submission to the HRPO of protocols involving more than the scope of work in the DoD-funded award will require HRPO review of the entire protocol (DoD and non-DoD funded). DoD human subjects protection requirements may be applied to non-DoD funded work and necessitate extensive revisions to the protocol. Applications that involve recruitment of human subjects must indicate the quarterly enrollment targets across all sites in Attachment 5: Statement of Work. Successful applicants will work with the USAMRAA to establish milestones for human subjects recruitment. Continued support for the project will be based upon satisfactory progress in meeting the established milestones. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, and the Human Subject Resource Document available on the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) for additional information.

**Research involving human subjects is permitted; however, clinical trials are not allowed under this program announcement.**

**New FY19 Definition:** A clinical trial is a research study in which one or more human subjects are prospectively assigned to one or more interventions (which may include placebo or other control) to evaluate the effects of the interventions on biomedical or behavioral health-related outcomes.

**Use of DoD or VA Resources:** If the proposed research involves access to active duty military patient populations and/or DoD resources or databases, the application must describe the access at the time of submission and include a plan for maintaining access as needed throughout the proposed research. Access to target active duty military patient population(s) and/or DoD resource(s) or database(s) should be confirmed by including a letter of support signed by the lowest-ranking person with approval authority. A list of websites that may be useful in identifying additional information about ongoing DoD and VA areas of research interest or potential opportunities for collaboration can be found in Appendix 2.

If the proposed research involves access to VA patient populations, VA study resources and databases, and/or VA research space and equipment, VA PIs/Co-PIs must have a plan for obtaining and maintaining access throughout the proposed research. Access to VA patients, resources, and/or VA research space should be confirmed by including a letter of support from the VA Facility Director(s) or an individual designated by the VA Facility Director(s), such as the Associate Chief of Staff for Research and Development (ACOS/R&D) or Clinical Service Chief. If appropriate, the application should identify the VA-affiliated non-profit corporation (NPC) as the applicant institution for VA PIs. If the VA NPC is not identified as the applicant institution for administering the funds, the application should include a letter from the VA ACOS/R&D confirming this arrangement and identifying the institution that will administer the funds associated with the proposed research.

Access to certain DoD or VA patient populations, resources, or databases may only be obtained by collaboration with a DoD or VA investigator who has a substantial role in the research and may not be available to a non-DoD or non-VA investigator if the resource is restricted to DoD or
VA personnel. Investigators should be aware of which resources are available to them if the proposed research involves a non-DoD or non-VA investigator collaborating with the DoD and/or VA. If access cannot be confirmed at the time of application submission, the Government reserves the right to withdraw or revoke funding until the PI has demonstrated support for and access to the relevant population(s) and/or resource(s). Refer to Section II.D.2.b.ii, Full Application Submission Components, for detailed information.

The CDMRP intends that information, data, and research resources generated under awards funded by this Program Announcement be made available to the research community (which includes both scientific and consumer advocacy communities) and to the public at large. For additional guidance, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section K.

II.C. Eligibility Information

II.C.1. Eligible Applicants

II.C.1.a. Organization: All organizations, including international organizations, are eligible to apply.

Government Agencies Within the United States: Local, state, and Federal Government agencies are eligible to the extent that applications do not overlap with their fully funded internal programs. Such agencies are required to explain how their applications do not overlap with their internal programs.

As applications for this Program Announcement may be submitted by extramural and intramural organizations, these terms are defined below.

Extramural Organization: An eligible non-DoD organization. Examples of extramural organizations include academic institutions, biotechnology companies, foundations, other Federal Government organization other than the DoD, and research institutes.

Intramural DoD Organization: A DoD laboratory, DoD military treatment facility, and/or DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center.

Note: Applications from an intramural DoD organization or from an extramural Federal Government organization may be submitted to Grants.gov through a research foundation.

The USAMRAA makes awards to eligible organizations, not to individuals.

II.C.1.b. Principal Investigator

Independent investigators at all academic levels (or equivalent) may be named by the organization as the Initiating PI or a Partnering PI on an application.

An eligible PI, regardless of ethnicity, nationality, or citizenship status, must be employed by, or affiliated with, an eligible organization.
The CDMRP encourages all PIs to participate in a digital identifier initiative through Open Researcher and Contributor ID, Inc. (ORCID). Registration for a unique ORCID identifier can be done online at https://orcid.org/.

II.C.2. Cost Sharing

Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.

II.C.3. Other

Organizations must be able to access .gov and .mil websites in order to fulfill the financial and technical deliverable requirements of the award and submit invoices for payment.

There are no limitations on the number of applications for which an investigator may be named as a PI.

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3.

Refer to Section II.H.2, Administrative Actions, for a list of administrative actions that may be taken if a pre-application or application does not meet the administrative, eligibility, or ethical requirements defined in this Program Announcement.

II.D. Application and Submission Information

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application(s).

Extramural Submission: An application submitted by an organization to Grants.gov.

Intramural DoD Submission: An application submitted by a DoD organization to eBRAP.

II.D.1. Address to Request Application Package

eBRAP is a multifunctional web-based system that allows PIs to submit their pre-applications electronically through a secure connection, to view and edit the content of their pre-applications and full applications, to receive communications from the CDMRP, and to submit documentation during award negotiations and period of performance.

Extramural Submissions:

- Pre-application content and forms must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.
- Full application packages must be accessed and submitted at Grants.gov.
Intramural DoD Submissions:

- Pre-application content and forms must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.
- Full application packages must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.

Contact information for the CDMRP Help Desk and the Grants.gov Contact Center can be found in Section II.G, Federal Awarding Agency Contacts.

II.D.2. Content and Form of the Application Submission

Submission is a two-step process requiring both pre-application and full application as indicated below. The submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods.

Pre-Application Submission: All pre-applications for both extramural and intramural organizations must be submitted through eBRAP (https://eBRAP.org/).

Full Application Submission: Full applications must be submitted through the online portals as described below.

Extramural Organization Submissions: Full applications from extramural organizations must be submitted through Grants.gov Workspace. Applications submitted by extramural organizations (e.g., research foundations) on behalf of intramural DoD or other Federal organizations or investigators will be considered extramural submissions. Applications from extramural organizations, including non-DoD Federal organizations, received through eBRAP will be withdrawn. See definitions in Section II.C.1, Eligible Applicants.

Intramural DoD Organization Submissions: Intramural DoD organizations may submit full applications to either eBRAP or Grants.gov. Intramural DoD organizations that are unable to submit to Grants.gov should submit through eBRAP. Intramural DoD organizations with the capability to submit through Grants.gov may submit following the instructions for extramural submissions through Grants.gov or may submit to eBRAP.

For Both Extramural and Intramural Applicants: eBRAP allows an organization’s representatives and PIs to view and modify the full application submissions associated with them. eBRAP will validate full application files against the specific Program Announcement requirements, and discrepancies will be noted in an email to the PI and in the “Full Application Files” tab in eBRAP. It is the applicant’s responsibility to review all application components for accuracy as well as ensure proper ordering as specified in this Program Announcement.

The application title, eBRAP log number, and all information for the PI, Business Official(s), performing organization, and contracting organization must be consistent throughout the entire pre-application and full application submission process. Inconsistencies may delay application processing and limit or negate the ability to view, modify, and verify the application in eBRAP. If any changes need to be made, the applicant should contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507 prior to the application submission deadline.
The Initiating PI must complete the pre-application submission process and submit the contact information for each Partnering PI. Each Partnering PI will then be notified of the pre-application submission separately by email. *Each Partnering PI must follow the link in the notification email in order to associate his/her full application package with that of the Initiating PI. After following the link, each Partnering PI must verify his/her contact information, organization, and designation as an extramural or intramural submission within eBRAP.* If not previously registered, the Partnering PI(s) must register in eBRAP. A new pre-application based on this research project should not be initiated by the Partnering PI(s). Applicants are urged to complete these steps as soon as possible. If they are not completed, the Partnering PI(s) will not be able to view and modify his/her application during the verification period in eBRAP. If these steps are not completed, an intramural partner will not be able to submit the Partnering PI’s required full application package components to eBRAP.

II.D.2.a. Step 1: Pre-Application Submission Content

*During the pre-application process, eBRAP assigns each submission a unique log number. This unique eBRAP log number is required during the full application submission process.*

To begin the pre-application process, first select whether the submitting organization is extramural or intramural, then confirm your selection or cancel. **Incorrect selection of extramural or intramural submission type will delay processing.**

If an error has been made in the selection of extramural versus intramural and the pre-application submission deadline has passed, the PI or Business Official must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507 to request a change in designation.

All pre-application components must be submitted by the Initiating PI through eBRAP (https://eBRAP.org/).

The applicant organization and associated PIs identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

PIs with an ORCID identifier should enter that information in the appropriate field in the “My Profile” tab in the “Account Information” section of eBRAP.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B, for additional information on pre-application submission):

- **Tab 1 – Application Information**

  Submission of application information includes assignment of primary and secondary research classification codes, which may be found at [https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm](https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). Note that the codes have recently been revised. Applicants are strongly encouraged to review and confirm the codes prior to making their selection.
• **Tab 2 – Application Contacts**

Enter contact information for the PI. Enter the organization’s Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (the “person to be contacted on matters involving this application” in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF424 Research & Related Form). The Business Official must be either selected from the eBRAP list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.

Select the performing organization (site at which the PI will perform the proposed work) and the contracting organization (organization submitting on behalf of the PI, which corresponds to Block 5 on the Grants.gov SF424 Research & Related Form), and click on “Add Organizations to this Pre-application.” The organizations must be either selected from the eBRAP drop-down list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.

It is recommended that applicants identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.

• **Tab 3 – Collaborators and Key Personnel**

Enter the name, organization, and role of all collaborators and key personnel associated with the application.

**FY19 RTRP Programmatic Panel members** should not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to panel members and pre-applications or applications, refer to Section II.H.2.c, Withdrawal, or contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

The Initiating PI must enter the contact information for each Partnering PI in the Partnering PI section.

• **Tab 4 – Conflicts of Interest**

List all individuals other than collaborators and key personnel who may have a conflict of interest in the review of the application (including those with whom the PI has a personal or professional relationship).

• **Tab 5 – Pre-Application Files**

**Letter of Intent (LOI) (one-page limit):** Provide a brief description of the research to be conducted. Include the **FY19 RTRP Qualitative Research Award Focus Area** under which the application will be submitted. LOIs are used for program planning purposes only (e.g., reviewer recruitment) and will not be reviewed during either the peer or programmatic review sessions.

• **Tab 6 – Submit Pre-Application**

This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.
II.D.2.b. Step 2: Full Application Submission Content

*The CDMRP cannot make allowances/exceptions to its policies for submission problems encountered by the applicant organization using system-to-system interfaces with Grants.gov.*

Each application submission must include the completed full application package for this Program Announcement. The full application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through Grants.gov ([https://www.grants.gov/](https://www.grants.gov/)) for extramural organizations or through eBRAP ([https://ebrap.org/](https://ebrap.org/)) for intramural organizations. See Table 1 below for more specific guidelines.

II.D.2.b.i. Full Application Guidelines

Extramural organizations must submit full applications through Grants.gov. Applicants must create a Grants.gov Workspace for submission, which allows the application components to be completed online and routed through the applicant organization for review prior to submission. Applicants may choose to download and save individual PDF forms rather than filling out webforms in Workspace. A compatible version of Adobe Reader must be used to view, complete, and submit an application package consisting of PDF forms. If more than one person is entering text into an application package, the same version of Adobe Reader software should be used by each person. Check the version number of the Adobe software on each user’s computer to make sure the versions match. Using different versions of Adobe Reader may cause submission and/or save errors – even if each version is individually compatible with Grants.gov. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, and the “Apply For Grants” page of Grants.gov ([https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/apply-for-grants.html](https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/apply-for-grants.html)) for further information about the Grants.gov Workspace submission process. Submissions of extramural applications through eBRAP may be withdrawn.

**Table 1. Full Application Submission Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural Submissions</th>
<th>Intramural DoD Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Application Package Location</strong></td>
<td><strong>Download application package components for W81XWH-19-RTRP-QRA from Grants.gov (<a href="https://www.grants.gov">https://www.grants.gov</a>) and create a Grants.gov Workspace. Workspace allows online completion of the application components and routing of the application package through the applicant organization for review prior to submission.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full Application Package Components</strong></td>
<td><strong>Tab 1 – Summary:</strong> Provide a summary of the application information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SF424 Research & Related Application for Federal Assistance Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural Submissions</th>
<th>Intramural DoD Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tab 2 – Application Contacts:</td>
<td>This tab will be pre-populated by eBRAP; add Authorized Organizational Representative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 3 – Full Application Files:</td>
<td>Upload files under each Application Component in eBRAP. Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 4 – Application and Budget Data:</td>
<td>Review and edit proposed project start date, proposed end date, and budget data pre-populated from the Budget Form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 5 – Submit/Request Approval Full Application:</td>
<td>After all components are uploaded and prior to the full application submission deadline, enter your password in the space provided next to “Enter Your Password Here” and press the “Submit Full Application” button. eBRAP will notify your Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official by email.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components:
- **Attachments**
- **Research & Related Personal Data**
- **Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)**
- **Research & Related Budget**
- **Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form**
- **Research & Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form** (if applicable)

### Application Package Submission

**Create a Grants.gov Workspace.**
Add participants (investigators and Business Officials) to Workspace, complete all required forms, and check for errors before submission.

**Submit a Grants.gov Workspace Package.**
An application may be submitted through Workspace by clicking the “Sign and Submit” button on the “Manage Workspace” page, under the “Forms” tab. Grants.gov recommends submission of the application package at least **24-48 hours prior to the close date** to allow time to correct any potential technical issues that may disrupt the application submission.

**Note:** If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or if the Project Narrative or the budget needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a “Changed/Corrected Application” with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID **prior to** the application submission deadline.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural Submissions</th>
<th>Intramural DoD Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Application Verification Period</strong></td>
<td><strong>Application Verification Period</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The full application package submitted to Grants.gov may be viewed and modified in eBRAP until the end of the application verification period. During the application verification period, the full application package may be modified <em>with the exception of the Project Narrative and Research &amp; Related Budget Form.</em></td>
<td>After eBRAP has processed the full application, the organizational Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official and PIs will receive email notification of this status and will be able to view and modify application components in eBRAP. During the application verification period, the full application package may be modified <em>with the exception of the Project Narrative and Research &amp; Related Budget Form.</em> Your Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official should log into eBRAP to review and to approve prior to the application verification deadline.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Further Information</strong></th>
<th><strong>Further Information</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tracking a Grants.gov Workspace Package.</strong> After successfully submitting a Workspace package, a Grants.gov Tracking Number is automatically assigned to the package. The number will be listed on the “Confirmation” page that is generated after submission. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.</td>
<td>Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV, for further information regarding eBRAP requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Both Extramural and Intramural Organizations:** Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP are strongly recommended, but not required. *The Project Narrative and Research & Related Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.* Prior to the full application deadline, a corrected or modified full application package may be submitted. Other application components may be changed until the end of the application verification period. Verify that subaward budget(s) and budget justification forms are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period. After the end of the application verification period, the full application cannot be modified.

The CDMRP requires separate full application package submissions for the Initiating PI and each Partnering PI, even if the PIs are located within the same organization. Initiating and Partnering PIs will each be assigned a unique eBRAP log number. Each full application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number. *Note: All associated applications (Initiating PI’s and each Partnering PI’s) must be submitted by the full application submission deadline.*
The full application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number to avoid delays in application processing.

II.D.2.b.ii. Full Application Submission Components

- **Extramural Applications Only**
  
  **SF424 Research & Related Application for Federal Assistance Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information.

- **Extramural and Intramural Applications**

  **Attachments:**

  
  *Each attachment to the full application components must be uploaded as an individual file in the format specified and in accordance with the formatting guidelines listed in the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4.*

For all attachments, ensure that the file names are consistent with the guidance. Attachments will be rejected if the file names are longer than 50 characters or have incorrect file names that contain characters other than the following: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, and period. In addition, there are file size limits that may apply in some circumstances. Individual attachments may not exceed 20 MB, and the file size for the entire full application package may not exceed 200 MB.

  - **Attachment 1: Project Narrative (10-page limit):** Upload as “ProjectNarrative.pdf”. The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

  Describe the proposed project in detail using the outline below.

  - **Background/Research Problem:** Identify the research problem to be addressed and discuss why it is important. State the theoretical basis for the study; it should be clear how this drives the framing of the research problem. Explain why a qualitative approach is appropriate to address the research problem. Cite any relevant literature and describe how the research team’s previous experience in qualitative research will facilitate the successful completion of the project. *Preliminary and/or published data relative to reconstructive transplant and the proposed research project are encouraged but not required.*

  - **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project’s specific aims to be funded by this application, as well as the overall study design, including data collection, subject recruitment, and data analyses. If the proposed work is part of a larger study, present only tasks that would be funded under this FY19 RTRP Qualitative Research Award.
- **Study Design and Feasibility:** Describe the overall study design. It should be clear that the proposed research is exploratory and unbiased. If the methods will evolve from and be informed by the research itself, describe the method(s) used to maintain the rigor of these processes. Ensure that all interview and survey questions are open-ended so that participants can respond in their own words and elaborate as needed. If a mixed-methods approach is used, clearly explain how the qualitative findings will lead to the quantitative component. Address potential problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches. The following important components of qualitative research should be addressed:

  - **Data Collection:** Describe the proposed methods for sampling, collection, interviewing, and recording documentation in sufficient detail for analysis. Discuss how these methods are systematic, rigorous, and appropriate to address the qualitative research questions. If methods will evolve from and be informed by the research itself, describe how the rigor of these processes will be maintained. Describe and articulate specific benchmarks to ensure the research is progressing in an efficient, timely, and thorough manner. Describe how the collected data will be stored and managed in a way that is appropriate for human subjects research, with consideration of human subjects protection, confidentiality, any data ownership and sharing issues, etc.

  - **Subject Recruitment:** Include a detailed plan for recruitment of subjects. Describe the target population, demonstrate access to that population, provide a table of anticipated enrollment counts for each study site, and describe the efforts that will be made to achieve accrual goals. Discuss past efforts in recruiting human subjects from the target population (if applicable). Address any potential barriers to accrual and plans for addressing unanticipated delays, including a mitigation plan for slow or low enrollment. Identify other ongoing studies that may compete for the same patient population and how they may impact enrollment progress. *For clinical studies proposing to include military personnel, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for more information.*

  - **Data Analysis:** Describe the data analysis plan for the proposed research as well as the plan for developing the rules for coding, if applicable, and discuss how these methods are appropriate to the qualitative research. Describe the process for obtaining ongoing feedback from participants, especially with respect to interpretation of data and study conclusions. Describe how the analyses are systematic, rigorous, and appropriate to address the qualitative research questions.

  - **Credibility:** Describe how the plan for documenting procedures, interviewing, developing the rules for coding, making decisions and conclusions, including the rationale for the decisions and conclusions made, supports consistency, dependability, and duplicability of results. Describe the steps that will be taken to control biases and preconceptions. Explain how the project’s proposed design and analyses will yield trustworthy, credible, and confirmable results.
Multi-Institutional Partnership

- Describe how the proposed project incorporates the unique skills of each partner and will result in a level of productivity greater than that achievable by each PI working independently.

- Describe how the proposed partnership involves a substantial contribution by each partner and the reciprocal flow of ideas and information. Provide the time commitment for each partner.

- Describe how the proposed multi-institutional collaboration will facilitate completion of the study aims, including the availability and utilization of shared resources, as well as access to the target human subjects population in sufficient numbers (and diversity, if applicable) to complete enrollment.

- Describe the communication plan and provide evidence of institutional support for resolving potential intellectual and material property issues and removing institutional barriers to achieving high levels of cooperation.

Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation: Combine and upload as a single file named “Support.pdf”. Start each document on a new page. If documents are scanned to PDF, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. The Supporting Documentation attachment should not include additional information such as figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, or drawings. These items should be included in the Project Narrative.

There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested or viewed as an extension of the Project Narrative will result in the removal of those items or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

- References Cited: List the references cited (including URLs, if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).

- List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.

- Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether or not Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present Government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.
• Publications and/or Patents: Include a list of relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If articles are not publicly available, then copies of up to five published manuscripts may be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.

• Letters of Organizational Support: Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable), signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project. Letters of support not requested in the Program Announcement, such as those from members of Congress, do not impact application review or funding decisions.

• Letters of Collaboration: Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work. If an investigator at an intramural organization is named as a collaborator on an application submitted through an extramural organization, the application must include a letter from the collaborator’s Commander or Commanding Officer at the intramural organization that authorizes the collaborator’s involvement.


• Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section K, for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.

• Quad Chart: Provide a quad chart for the project using the template available on eBRAP (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm).

• Use of DoD Resources (if applicable): Provide a letter of support signed by the lowest-ranking person with approval authority confirming access to active duty military populations and/or DoD resources or databases.

• Use of VA Resources (if applicable): Provide a letter of support from the VA Facility Director(s) or individual designated by the VA Facility Director(s), such as the ACOS/R&D or Clinical Service Chief, confirming access to VA patients, resources, and/or VA research space. For VA PIs, if the VA NPC is not identified as the applicant institution for administering the funds, include a letter from the VA ACOS/R&D confirming this arrangement and identifying the institution that will administer the funds associated with the proposed research.

○ Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as “TechAbs.pdf”. The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. Do not include proprietary or confidential information. Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.
Technical abstracts should be written using the outline below.

- **Background/Research Problem:** Identify the research problem to be addressed and discuss why it is important. State the theoretical basis for the study; it should be clear how this drives the framing of the research problem. Explain why a qualitative approach is appropriate to address the research problem.

- **Objective(s):** State the objective(s) to be reached.

- **Specific Aims/Study Design:** State the specific aims of the proposed research project and briefly describe the study design and type(s) of analyses.

- **Impact:** Briefly describe the short- and long-term impact of this study on reconstructive transplant research, patient care, and/or quality of life, including the impact of the proposed research on at least one of the [FY19 RTRP Qualitative Research Award Focus Areas](#).

- **Military Relevance:** Briefly explain how the proposed project will have immediate or potential long-term benefit for the healthcare needs of military Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic injury and/or their family members, caregivers, or clinicians, as well as the general public.

  - **Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit):** Upload as “LayAbs.pdf”. The lay abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. **Do not include proprietary or confidential information.** Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed. **Do not duplicate the technical abstract.** Minimize the use of acronyms and abbreviations, where appropriate. The lay abstract is an important component of the application review process because it addresses issues of particular interest to the consumer community. Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below.

    - Clearly describe the objectives and rationale for the application in a manner readily understood by readers without a background in science or medicine.

    - Describe how the proposed research addresses at least one of the [FY19 RTRP Qualitative Research Award Focus Areas](#).

    - Describe the ultimate applicability and impact of the research.

      - What types of patients will it help and how will it help them? Include the current available statistics to the related injury/condition.

      - What are the potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks?

      - What is the projected time it may take to achieve a patient-related outcome?
Briefly describe how the proposed project will benefit Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic injury and/or their family members, caregivers, or clinicians, as well as the general public.

What are the likely contributions of the proposed research to advancing the field of reconstructive transplant research?

Attachment 5: Statement of Work (three-page limit): Upload as “SOW.pdf”. The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For the Qualitative Research Award mechanism, use the SOW format example titled, “SOW for Clinical Research.” The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching.

The SOW should include a list of major tasks that support the proposed specific aims, followed by a series of subtasks outlined related to the major tasks and milestones within the period of performance. The SOW should describe only the work for which funding is being requested by this application and, as applicable, should also:

- Include the name(s) of the key personnel and contact information for each study site/subaward site.
- Indicate the number (and type, if applicable) of research subjects projected or required for each task and at each site. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for additional information regarding regulatory requirements.
- For studies with prospective accrual of human subjects, indicate quarterly enrollment targets.
- If applicable, indicate timelines required for regulatory approvals relevant to human subjects research (e.g., Investigational New Drug and Investigational Device Exemption applications) by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or other Government agency.

Each PI must submit an identical copy of a jointly created SOW. The contributions of the Initiating PI and each Partnering PI should be noted for each task.

Attachment 6: Impact Statement (one-page limit): Upload as “Impact.pdf”. Describe the potential short- and long-term impact of this study on the field of reconstructive transplant research, patient care, and/or quality of life, including an assessment of the likelihood that a successful outcome of the proposed project will lead to a practical application in individuals recovering from traumatic injury. Describe how the study will improve our understanding of individuals involved in the reconstructive transplant process, including those who are considering or who have already received reconstructive transplant surgery, and/or the reality of lifelong immunosuppression, as well as their families, caregivers, clinicians, and potential donors and their families. Address the impact on at least one of the FY19 RTRP Qualitative Research Award Focus...
Areas. Although not all-inclusive, the following are examples of ways in which research projects may have an impact, if successful:

- Has the potential to advance the field of reconstructive transplant research
- Has the potential to change the standard of care
- Contributes to the development or validation of evidence-based policy or guidelines for patient evaluation and care

○ Attachment 7: Military Relevance Statement (one-page limit): Upload as “MilRel.pdf”. Demonstrate how the proposed research is responsive to the healthcare needs and quality of life of military Service members and/or Veterans recovering from traumatic injury and/or their family members, caregivers, or clinicians, as well as the general public. If the active duty military, Veteran, or military family member or caregiver population(s) will be used in the proposed research project, describe the population(s), the appropriateness of the population(s) for the proposed research, and the feasibility of using the population(s). If a non-military population will be used for the proposed research project, explain how the population simulates the military population. If applicable, show how the proposed research project aligns with DoD and/or VA areas of research interest.

○ Attachment 8: Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments, if applicable (no page limit): Upload as “Surveys.pdf”. A copy of the most recent version of surveys, questionnaires, data collection forms, rating scales, interview guides, or other instruments should be included in this attachment. For each instrument, describe how the information collected is related to the objectives of the study. Describe the PI’s prior experience using the proposed data collection tools and the psychometrics previously generated from their use.

○ Attachment 9: Representations, if applicable (extramural submissions only): Upload as “RequiredReps.pdf”. All extramural applicants must complete and submit the Required Representations template available on eBRAP (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For more information, see the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, Section B, Representations.

○ Attachment 10: DoD Military Budget Form(s), if applicable: Upload as “MFBudget.pdf”. If a military facility (Military Health System facility, research laboratory, medical treatment facility, dental treatment facility, or a DoD activity embedded with a civilian medical center) will be a collaborator in performance of the project, complete the DoD Military Budget Form, available for download on the eBRAP “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm), including a budget justification, for each military facility as instructed. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.8, for detailed information.
• **Extramural and Intramural Applications**

To evaluate compliance with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 USC §1681 et seq.), the DoD is collecting certain demographic and career information to be able to assess the success rates of women who are proposed for key roles in applications in science, technology, engineering, and/or mathematics (STEM) disciplines. To enable this assessment, each application must include the following forms completed as indicated.

**Research & Related Personal Data:** For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.3, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.2, for detailed information.

**Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded):** For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

- PI Biographical Sketch (five-page limit): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf”. The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page ([https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm](https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm)) in eBRAP. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) Biographical Sketch may also be used. All biographical sketches should be submitted in uneditable PDF format.

- PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf”.

- Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit each): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf”.

- Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf”.

**Research & Related Budget:** For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.

**Budget Justification (no page limit):** Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf”. The budget justification for the entire period of performance must be uploaded to the Research & Related Budget after completion of the budget for Period 1.

*Initiating and Partnering PIs must have a separate budget and justification specific to their distinct portions of the effort that the applicant organization will submit as separate Grants.gov or eBRAP application packages. The Initiating PI should not include budget information for Partnering PI(s) even if they are located within the same organization. Refer to Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions, for detailed information.*
**Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form:** For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.5, for detailed information.

- **Extramural Applications Only**

  **Research & Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.

  - **Extramural Subaward:** Complete the Research & Related Subaward Budget Form through Grants.gov. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.) Verify subaward budget(s) and budget justification forms are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period.

  - **Intramural DoD Collaborator(s):** Complete the DoD Military Budget Form and upload to Grants.gov attachment form as Attachment 10. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.) Each Intramural DoD Collaborator should include costs per year on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs.

  **DoD Military Budget Form:** A military facility collaborating in the performance of the project (but not participating as a Partnering PI) should be treated as a subaward for budget purposes. **Note:** Applicants should complete the [DoD Military Budget Form(s) (Attachment 10)](attachment) to show all direct and indirect costs. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.8, for detailed information.

---

**Application Components for each Partnering PI**

Each Partnering PI must follow the link in the email from eBRAP and, if not registered in eBRAP, complete the registration process prior to the application submission deadline in order to associate his/her full application package with that of the Initiating PI.

For each Partnering PI, the Initiating PI must identify whether each Partnering PI will be named on an extramural or intramural application (in accordance with the guidelines in Section II.C.1.a, Organization) and the appropriate mode of submission (Grants.gov for extramural and eBRAP for intramural). Each Partnering PI must verify his/her contact information and mode of submission within eBRAP to ensure proper submission of his/her application.

The application submission process for each Partnering PI uses an abbreviated full application package that includes:
• Extramural and Intramural Applications

Attachments:

○ Attachment 5: Statement of Work (three-page limit): Upload as “SOW.pdf”. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.2, for detailed information on completing the SOW. Each PI must submit an identical copy of a jointly created SOW. The contributions of the Initiating PI and each Partnering PI should be noted for each task.

○ Attachment 9: Representations (Extramural Submissions only): Upload as “RequiredReps.pdf”. All extramural applicants must complete and submit the Required Representations template available on eBRAP (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For more information, see the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, Section B, Representations.

○ Attachment 10: DoD Military Budget Form: Upload as “MFBudget.pdf”. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs.

Research & Related Personal Data: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov) refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.3, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.2, for detailed information.

Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded): For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

○ PI Biographical Sketch (five-page limit): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf”. The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the “Funding Opportunities & Forms” web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) in eBRAP. The NIH Biographical Sketch may also be used. All biographical sketches should be submitted in the PDF format that is not editable.

○ PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf”.

○ Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit each): Upload as “Biosketch_LastName.pdf”.

○ Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as “Support_LastName.pdf”.
**Research & Related Budget:** For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, and for intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.

**Budget Justification (no page limit):** Upload as “BudgetJustification.pdf”.

*Initiating and Partnering PIs must each submit a budget and justification specific to his/her own portion of the efforts as part of his/her separate Grants.gov or eBRAP application packages. The Research & Related Budget for each Partnering PI should not include budget information for the Initiating PI, even if they are located within the same organization. Refer to Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions, for detailed information.*

**Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form:** For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.5, for detailed information.

- **Extramural Applications Only**

  **Research & Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form:**

  ○ **Extramural Subaward:** Complete the Research & Related Subaward Budget Form through Grants.gov. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.)

  ○ **Intramural DoD Collaborator(s):** Complete the DoD Military Budget Form and upload to Grants.gov attachment form as Attachment 10. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.8, for detailed information.)

**II.D.3. Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM)**

Applicant organizations and all sub-recipient organizations must have a DUNS number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the SAM with an “Active” status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Verify the status of the applicant organization’s Entity registration in SAM well in advance of the application submission deadline. Allow several weeks to complete the entire SAM registration process. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements at the time the Federal awarding agency is ready to make a Federal award, the Federal awarding agency may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a Federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a Federal award to another applicant. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

**II.D.4. Submission Dates and Times**

All submission dates and times are indicated in Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. The pre-application and application
submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in submission rejection.

Applicant Verification of Full Application Submission in eBRAP

Following retrieval and processing of the full application, eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI by email to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the full application submission. eBRAP will validate retrieved files against the specific Program Announcement requirements, and discrepancies will be noted in both the email and in the “Full Application Files” tab in eBRAP. eBRAP does not confirm the accuracy of file content. It is the applicant’s responsibility to review all application components and ensure proper ordering as specified in the Program Announcement. If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated full application package must be submitted prior to the application submission deadline. The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.

Extramural Submission: The full application package submitted to Grants.gov may be viewed and modified in eBRAP until the end of the application verification period. During the application verification period, the full application package, with the exception of the Project Narrative and Budget Form, may be modified.

Intramural DoD Submission: After eBRAP has processed the full application, the organizational Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official and PI(s) will receive email notification of the status and will be able to view and modify application components in eBRAP. During the application verification period, the full application package, with the exception of the Project Narrative and Budget Form, may be modified. The Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official should log into eBRAP to review and to approve the application package prior to the application verification deadline.

For All Submissions: Verify that subaward budget(s) with budget justification are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period.

II.D.5. Funding Restrictions

The maximum period of performance is 3 years.

The anticipated combined total costs (direct and indirect) budgeted for the entire period of performance for the Initiating PI and all Partnering PIs’ applications will not exceed $1.5M. If indirect cost rates have been negotiated, indirect costs are to be budgeted in accordance with the organization’s negotiated rate. Collaborating organizations should budget associated indirect costs in accordance with each organization’s negotiated rate. The combined budgeted total costs approved by the Government will not exceed $1.5M or use an indirect cost rate exceeding each organization’s negotiated rate. A separate award will be made to each PI’s organization. The PIs are expected to be balanced partners in the research, and direct cost funding should be divided accordingly, unless otherwise warranted and clearly justified.
All direct and indirect costs of any subaward or contract must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.

The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum 3 years.

For this award mechanism, direct costs must be requested for:

- Travel costs for the PIs to present project information or disseminate project results at one DoD meeting (e.g., Military Health System Research Symposium) during the period of performance. These travel costs are in addition to those allowed for annual scientific/technical meetings.

May be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary
- Research supplies
- Research-related subject costs
- Clinical research costs (clinical trials are not allowed)
- Support for multi-institutional collaborations, including travel
- Travel costs for one investigator to travel to one scientific/technical meeting per year in addition to the required meeting described above. The intent of travel costs to scientific/technical meeting(s) is to present project information or disseminate project results.

Awards made to extramural organizations will consist solely of assistance agreements (grants and cooperative agreements). For extramural awards with an intragovernmental component, direct transfer of funds from an extramural award recipient to a DoD or other Federal agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Funding to intramural DoD and other Federal agencies will be managed through a direct funds transfer. Intramural applicants are responsible for coordinating through their agency’s procedures the use of contractual or assistance funding awards or other appropriate agreements to support extramural collaborators. Application packages from associated extramural partners will be funded through assistance agreements.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. For Federal agencies or organizations collaborating with Federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5.

Funds to be obligated on any award resulting from this funding opportunity will be available for use for a limited time period based on the fiscal year of the funds. The time is considered when establishing the award’s period of performance. It is anticipated that awards made from this
FY19 funding opportunity will be funded with FY19 funds, which will expire for use on September 30, 2025.

II.D.6. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for detailed formatting guidelines.

II.E. Application Review Information

II.E.1. Criteria

II.E.1.a. Peer Review

To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

- **Research Problem**
  - How well the research problem is identified and the relevance of the proposed research project to reconstructive transplantation is justified.
  - How well the selection of a qualitative approach is justified.
  - How well the research questions or topics are described and appropriate to address the research problem.
  - How clearly the specific theoretical basis for the study is stated and is shown to drive the framing of the research question(s).

- **Study Design**
  - How well the project meets the definition of qualitative research.
    - The extent to which the research is exploratory and unbiased.
    - Whether questions are open-ended, giving participants the opportunity to respond in their own words and to elaborate on the questions.
    - If a mixed-methods approach is used, whether qualitative findings will lead to the quantitative component.
  - How appropriate the proposed design of the study, the sampling technique, the data collection and recording method(s), and the data storage and management plans are to address the research question and to yield trustworthy, credible, robust, and confirmable results.
If methods will evolve from and be informed by the research itself, such as the use of snowball sampling techniques, how well the application describes the method(s) used to maintain the rigor of these processes.

How well the application demonstrates access to, and ability to recruit, the appropriate population(s) (e.g., military, Veteran, or family/caregiver) or has demonstrated access to proposed resources/databases.

How well the plan for documentation of procedures, decisions, and rationale for decisions and conclusions supports consistency, dependability, and duplicability of results and prevents biases and preconceptions.

- How consistent the data analysis plan is with the research problem and theoretical basis of the study.
- How well the project will obtain ongoing feedback from the participants, especially regarding interpretation of data and study conclusions.

If applicable, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.

How well the application acknowledges potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.

**Impact**

- How well the proposed research project addresses at least one of the FY19 RTRP Qualitative Research Award Focus Areas.
- How well the proposed research project addresses a critical problem in reconstructive transplant research, patient care, and/or quality of life.
- How likely a successful outcome of the proposed study will lead to a practical application in individuals recovering from traumatic injury.
- If successful, how the study will improve our understanding of individuals involved in the reconstructive transplant process, including those who are considering or have already received reconstructive transplant surgery and/or the reality of lifelong immunosuppression, as well as their families, caregivers, clinicians, and potential donors and their families.

**Personnel**

- How appropriate the relevant education, training, and experience of the PIs and other key personnel are to accomplish the proposed project.
- How well the PIs’ records of accomplishment demonstrate their ability to accomplish the proposed research project.
○ Whether someone on the research team has a demonstrated track record in qualitative research, as evidenced by publication and/or funding history.

○ To what extent the levels of effort are appropriate for successful conduct of the proposed work.

• **Multi-Institutional Partnership**

○ How well the proposed project incorporates the unique skills of each partner and will result in a level of productivity greater than that achievable by each PI working independently.

○ How well the evidence supports substantial contribution of all partners to the development and implementation of the research plan.

○ How well the proposed multi-institutional collaboration will facilitate completion of the study aims.

○ How well the communication plan and institutional support will facilitate the success of the partnership and will resolve any potential intellectual and material property issues.

In addition, the following **unscored** criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

• **Environment**

○ To what extent the scientific environment is appropriate for the proposed research project.

○ How well the research requirements are supported by the availability of and accessibility to facilities and resources (including collaborative arrangements).

○ To what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.

○ Whether the quality and extent of organizational support are appropriate for the proposed research project.

• **Budget**

○ Whether the **total** maximum costs are equal to or less than the allowable total maximum costs as published in the Program Announcement.

○ Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research.

• **Application Presentation**

○ To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.
II.E.1.b. Programmatic Review

To make funding recommendations and select the application(s) that, individually or collectively, will best achieve the program objectives, the following criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:

- Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers

- Relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY19 RTRP, as evidenced by the following:
  - Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
  - Program portfolio composition
  - Programmatic relevance
  - Relative impact and military relevance

II.E.2. Application Review and Selection Process

All applications are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumers in a two-tier review process. The first tier is **peer review**, the evaluation of applications against established criteria to determine technical merit, where each application is assessed for its own merit, independent of other applications. The second tier is **programmatic review**, a comparison-based process in which applications with high scientific and technical merit are further evaluated for programmatic relevance. Final recommendations for funding are made to the Commanding General, USAMRDC, on behalf of the DHA and the OASD(HA). **The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding.** Funding recommendations depend on various factors as described in Section II.E.1.b, Programmatic Review. Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at [https://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess](https://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess). A PI Information Paper describing the funding recommendations and review process for the award mechanisms for the RTRP will be provided to the PI and posted on the CDMRP website.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a statement declaring that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review and approval process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization’s application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review and approval process may also result in suspension or debarment from Federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with 18 USC 1905.
II.E.3. Integrity and Performance Information

Prior to making an assistance agreement award where the Federal share is expected to exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, as defined in 2 CFR 200.88, over the period of performance, the Federal awarding agency is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is available in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS).

An applicant organization may review FAPIIS, accessible through SAM, and submit comments to FAPIIS on any information about the organization that a Federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently available in FAPIIS.

The Federal awarding agency will consider any comments by the applicant, in addition to other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant’s integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards when determining a recipient’s qualification prior to award, according to the qualification standards of the Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations (DoDGARs), Section 22.415.

II.E.4. Anticipated Announcement and Federal Award Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated in Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity.

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

II.F. Federal Award Administration Information

II.F.1. Federal Award Notices

Awards supported with FY19 funds are anticipated to be made no later than September 30, 2020. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for additional award administration information.

After email notification of application review results through eBRAP, and if selected for funding, a representative from USAMRAA will contact the Business Official authorized to negotiate on behalf of the PI’s organization.

Only an appointed USAMRAA Grants Officer may obligate the Government to the expenditure of funds. No commitment on the part of the Government should be inferred from discussions with any other individual. The award document signed by the Grants Officer is the official authorizing document.

Federal Government Organizations: Funding made to Federal Government organizations (to include intramural DoD organizations) will be executed through the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR) or Funding Authorization Document (FAD) process. Transfer of
funds is contingent upon appropriate safety and administrative approvals. Intramural applicants and collaborators are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective Resource Manager/Task Area Manager/Comptroller or equivalent Business Official.

After email notification of application review results through eBRAP, and if selected for funding, a representative from the CDMRP will contact the Business Official authorized to negotiate on behalf of the PI’s organization.

II.F.1.a. PI Changes and Award Transfers

An organizational transfer of an award supporting the Initiating PI or a Partnering PI is discouraged and will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and only allowed at the discretion of the Grants Officer. An organizational transfer of an award will not be allowed in the last year of the (original) period of performance or any extension thereof.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section B, for general information on organization or PI changes.

II.F.2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Applicable requirements in the DoDGARs found in 32 CFR, Chapter I, Subchapter C, and 2 CFR, Chapter XI, apply to grants and cooperative agreements resulting from this Program Announcement.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for general information regarding administrative requirements.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for general information regarding national policy requirements.

Refer to full text of the latest DoD R&D General Terms and Conditions, the USAMRAA General Research Terms and Conditions with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and Non-Profit Organizations: Addendum to the DoD R&D General Terms and Conditions and the USAMRAA General Research Terms and Conditions with For-Profit Organizations for further information.

II.F.3. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section A, for general information on reporting requirements. If there are technical reporting requirement delinquencies for any existing USAMRAA-sponsored awards at the applicant organization, no new awards will be issued to the applicant organization until all delinquent reports have been submitted.

Annual progress reports as well as a final progress report will be required. In addition, quarterly progress reports will be required. Quad charts will be required with each quarterly, annual, and final progress report. The Initiating PI and each Partnering PI must all submit individual quarterly, annual, and final progress reports as required by the individual award agreements.
In-person presentations may be requested.

Awards resulting from this Program Announcement will incorporate additional reporting requirements related to recipient integrity and performance matters. Recipient organizations that have Federal contract, grant, and cooperative agreement awards with a cumulative total value greater than $10,000,000 are required to provide information to FAPIIS about certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings that reached final disposition within the most recent 5-year period and that were connected with performance of a Federal award. Recipients are required to disclose, semiannually, information about criminal, civil, and administrative proceedings as specified in the applicable Representations (see General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, Section B).

II.G. Federal Awarding Agency Contacts

II.G.1. CDMRP Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the pre-application or intramural application submission through eBRAP should be directed to the CDMRP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507

Email: help@eBRAP.org

II.G.2. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to extramural application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. Federal holidays). Note that the CDMRP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726; International 1-606-545-5035

Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for “send me change notification emails” by following the link on the “Synopsis” page for the Program Announcement or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the Grants.gov application package. If the Grants.gov application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.
II.H. Other Information

II.H.1. Program Announcement and General Application Instructions Versions

Questions related to this Program Announcement should refer to the Program name, the Program Announcement name, and the Program Announcement version code 20190530a. The Program Announcement numeric version code will match the General Application Instructions version code 20190530.

II.H.2. Administrative Actions

After receipt of applications, the following administrative actions may occur:

II.H.2.a. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Pre-application (LOI) was not submitted.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.

II.H.2.b. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.

II.H.2.c. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the application:

- An FY19 RTRP Programmatic Panel member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. A list of the FY19 RTRP Programmatic Panel members can be found at https://cdmrp.army.mil/rtrp/panels/panels19.

- The application fails to conform to this Program Announcement description.

- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.

- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in the preparation, research or other duties for submitted applications. For FY19, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (https://cdmrp.army.mil/about/2tierRevProcess). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies may be administratively withdrawn.

Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review or approval process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.

Applications from extramural organizations, including non-DoD Federal agencies, received through eBRAP may be withdrawn.

Applications submitted by an intramural DoD organization may be withdrawn if the intramural organization cannot coordinate the use of contractual, assistance, or other appropriate agreements to provide funds to extramural collaborators.

Submission of the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.

A clinical trial is proposed.

An application for which the Initiating PI does not meet the eligibility criteria.

All associated (Initiating and Partnering PI) applications are not submitted by the deadline.

The application is not multi-institutional.

II.H.2.d. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending organizational investigation. The organization will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.
## II.H.3. Application Submission Checklist

<p>| Application Components                                      | Action                                                                 | Initiating PI Completed | Partnering PI Completed |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|                                                                      |                         |                         |
| SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only) | Complete form as instructed                                          |                         |                         |
| Summary (Tab 1) and Application Contacts (Tab 2) (Intramural submissions only) | Complete tabs as instructed                                           |                         |                         |
| SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only) | Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name “ProjectNarrative.pdf” |                         |                         |
| SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only) | Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name “Support.pdf” |                         |                         |
| SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only) | Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name “TechAbs.pdf” |                         |                         |
| SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only) | Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name “LayAbs.pdf”      |                         |                         |
| SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only) | Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name “SOW.pdf”    |                         |                         |
| SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only) | Impact Statement: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name “Impact.pdf”  |                         |                         |
| SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only) | Military Relevance Statement: Upload as Attachment 7 with file name “MilRel.pdf” |                         |                         |
| SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only) | Surveys, Questionnaires, and Other Data Collection Instruments: Upload as Attachment 8 with file name “Surveys.pdf” if applicable |                         |                         |
| SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only) | Representations (extramural submissions only): Upload as Attachment 9 with file name “RequiredReps.pdf” if applicable |                         |                         |
| SF424 Research &amp; Related Application for Federal Assistance (Extramural submissions only) | DoD Military Budget Form(s): Upload as Attachment 10 with file name “MFBudget.pdf” if applicable |                         |                         |
| Research &amp; Related Personal Data                            | Complete form as instructed                                           |                         |                         |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Components</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Initiating PI Completed</th>
<th>Partnering PI Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)</td>
<td>Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)</td>
<td>Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)</td>
<td>Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)</td>
<td>Attach Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Budget (Extramural submissions only)</td>
<td>Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget (Intramural submissions only)</td>
<td>Complete the DoD Military Budget Form and Justification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form</td>
<td>Complete form as instructed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX 1: ACRONYM LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACOS/R&amp;D</td>
<td>Associate Chief of Staff for Research and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDMRP</td>
<td>Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>Defense Health Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHP</td>
<td>Defense Health Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoDGARs</td>
<td>Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUNS</td>
<td>Data Universal Numbering System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eBRAP</td>
<td>Electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>Ethics Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>Eastern Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAD</td>
<td>Funding Authorization Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAPIIS</td>
<td>Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRPO</td>
<td>Human Research Protection Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRB</td>
<td>Institutional Review Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>Letter of Intent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIPR</td>
<td>Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPC</td>
<td>Non-Profit Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASD(HA)</td>
<td>Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORCID</td>
<td>Open Researcher and Contributor ID, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORP</td>
<td>Office of Research Protections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Principal Investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDT&amp;E</td>
<td>Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTRP</td>
<td>Reconstructive Transplant Research Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM</td>
<td>System for Award Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOW</td>
<td>Statement of Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEM</td>
<td>Science, Technology, Engineering, and/or Mathematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAMRAA</td>
<td>U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAMRDC</td>
<td>U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USC</td>
<td>United States Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Department of Veterans Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCA</td>
<td>Vascularized Composite Allotransplantation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 2: DOD AND VA WEBSITES

PIs are encouraged to integrate and/or align their research projects with DoD and/or VA research laboratories and programs. Collaboration with DoD and/or VA investigators is also encouraged. Below is a list of websites that may be useful in identifying additional information about DoD and VA areas of research interest, ongoing research, or potential opportunities for collaboration.

Air Force Office of Scientific Research
https://www.wpafb.af.mil/afrl/afosr/

Air Force Research Laboratory
https://www.wpafb.af.mil/afrl

Armed Forces Institute of Regenerative Medicine
https://www.afirm.mil

Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute
https://www.usuhs.edu/afri/

Center for Neuroscience and Regenerative Medicine
http://www.cnrmstudies.org/

Clinical and Rehabilitative Medicine Research Program
https://mrmc.amedd.army.mil/index.cfm?pageid=medical_r_and_d.crmrp.overview

Combat Casualty Care Research Program
https://ccc.amedd.army.mil

Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs
https://cdmrp.army.mil

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
https://www.darpa.mil

Defense Health Agency
https://health.mil/dha

Defense Health Agency (DHA) J9, Research and Development Directorate

Defense Technical Information Center
https://www.dtic.mil

Defense Threat Reduction Agency
https://www.dtra.mil/

Military Health System Research Symposium
https://mhsrs.amedd.army.mil/SitePages/Home.aspx

Military Infectious Diseases Research Program
https://midrp.amedd.army.mil

Military Operational Medicine Research Program
https://momrp.amedd.army.mil

National Center for Telehealth and Technology

National Museum of Health and Medicine
https://www.medicalmuseum.mil/index.cfm

Naval Health Research Center
https://www.med.navy.mil/sites/nhrc

Naval Medical Research Center
www.med.navy.mil/sites/nmrc

Navy and Marine Corps Public Health Center
https://www.med.navy.mil/sites/nmcphc

Office of Naval Research
https://www.onr.navy.mil

Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics
https://www.acq.osd.mil/
Telemedicine and Advanced Technology Research Center
https://www.tatrc.org/

Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences
https://www.usuhs.edu/research

U.S. Air Force 59th Medical Wing
https://www.59mdw.af.mil/

U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory
www.usaarl.army.mil

U.S. Army Center for Environmental Health Research
https://usacehr.amedd.army.mil

U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research
https://usaisr.amedd.army.mil/

U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity
https://www.usamraa.army.mil/

U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command
https://mrdc.amedd.army.mil/

U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases
https://www.usamriid.army.mil/

U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine
https://www.usariem.army.mil/

U.S. Army Research Laboratory
https://www.arl.army.mil

U.S. Army Sharp, Ready & Resilient Directorate
http://readyandresilient.army.mil

U.S. Department of Defense Blast Injury Research Program
https://blastinjuryresearch.amedd.army.mil

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of Research and Development
https://www.research.va.gov

U.S. Naval Research Laboratory
https://www.nrl.navy.mil

Walter Reed Army Institute of Research
https://www.wrair.army.mil/